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## Abbreviations

| $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | deuterated acetone |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | micromolar |
| Abl | Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog |
| AKT | v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog |
| ALK | anaplastic lymphoma kinase |
| aPK | atypical protein kinase |
| AR | amphiregulin |
| ATP | adenosine triphosphate |
| BAFF | B-cell activating factor |
| Bcl-2 | B-cell lymphoma 2 |
| BSA | bovine serum albumin |
| BTC | betacellulin |
| CAMK | calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase |
| CD ${ }_{3}$ OD | deuterated methanol |
| CDCl | cyclin-dependent chloroformases |
| CDKs | cyclic guanosine monophosphate |
| cGMP | casein kinase 1 |
| CK1 | CDK-like kinases |
| CLK | chronic myelogenous leukemia |
| CML | cysteine |
| Cys (C) | double mutated (T790M/L858R) EGFR |
| DM | Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium |
| DMEM | dimethylformamide |
| DMF | dimethylsulfoxide |
| DMSO | dithiothreitol |
| DTT | deubiquitinating enzymes |
| DUB | ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| EDTA | epidermal growth factor |
| EGF | epidermal growth factor receptor "also named ErbB1" |
| EGFR | conventional protein kinase |
| ePK | epiregulin |
| EPR | fas-associated protein with death domain |
| FADD | fetal bovine serum |
| FBS | fibroblast growth factor |
| FGF | green fluorescent protein |
| GFP | gastrointestinal stromal tumor |
| GIST | G protein coupled receptors |
| GPCR | glycogen synthase kinase |
| GSK | heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor |
| GTP | Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor |
| HB-EGF | HER (ErbB) |


| IAP | Inhibitors of apoptosis |
| :--- | :--- |
| IC $_{50}$ | half maximal inhibitory concentration |
| IKK | IкB kinase |
| IL-1 $\beta$ | Interleukin-1 beta |
| IкB | Inhibitors of $\kappa B$ |
| JAK | janus kinase |
| JAMM | JAB1/MPN/Mov34 enzymes |
| Km | Michaelis constant |
| Lys | lysine |
| mabs | monoclonal antibodies |
| MAP | mitogen-activated protein |
| MAPK/ERK | mitogen-activated protein/extracellular-signal-regulated kinases |
| Met (M) | methionine |
| MHz | megahertz |
| MJD | Machado Joseph Disease proteases |
| MOE | molecular operating environment |
| MOPS | 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid |
| MTT | thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide |
| MVB | multivesicular bodies |
| NEMO | nuclear factor-kappa B essential modulator |
| NF-кB | nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells |
| NGF | nerve growth factor |
| NIK | NF-кB-inducing kinase |
| nM | nanomolar |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NRTKs | reareptor guanylate cyclases |
| NSCLC | non-receptor tyrosine kinases |
| OUT | non-small cell lung cancer |
| PBS | otubain proteases |
| PDB | phosphate-buffered saline |
| PDGF | protein data bank |
| PDGFR | platelet-derived growth factor |
| PDHK | platelet-derived growth factor receptor |
| PI3K | pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase |
| PIKK | phosphoinositide 3-kinase |
| PKA | phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related kinase |
| PKC | protein kinase A |
| PKG | protein kinase C |
| ppm | protein kinase G |
| PTKs | part per million |
| PTMs | RAS |
| RAS | RET |


| RIO | right open reading frame |
| :--- | :--- |
| rt | room temperature |
| RTKs | receptor tyrosine kinases |
| SDS | sodium dodecyl sulphate |
| Syk | spleen tyrosine kinase |
| TEA | triethylamine |
| TGF $\alpha$ | transforming growth factor alpha |
| Thr (T) | threonine |
| TK | tyrosine kinase |
| TKIs | tyrosine kinase inhibitors |
| TKL | tyrosine kinase-like kinases |
| TNF | tumor necrosis factor |
| TNFR | tumor necrosis factor receptor |
| TNF- $\alpha$ | tumor necrosis factor alpha |
| TRADD | tumor necrosis factor receptor type 1-associated death domain |
| TRAF2 | TNF receptor-associated factor 2 |
| TRAF3 | TNF receptor-associated factor 3 |
| Ub | ubiquitin |
| UBC | ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme |
| Ubl | ubiquitin-like |
| UCHs | ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases |
| ULPs | Ubl-specific proteases |
| UPS | ubiquitin/proteasome system |
| USPs | ubiquitin specific proteases |
| VEGF | vascular endothelial growth factor |
| VEGFR | vascular endothelial growth factor receptor |
| Wt | wild-type |


#### Abstract

The inhibition of signal transduction pathways, e.g. of EGFR kinase signaling, is a proven strategy in the treatment of cancers with several drugs clinically approved. Treatment with EGFR inhibitors suffers some limitations such as that certain cancers are originally insensitive or mutations emerge that cause drug resistance. The NF-кB pathway is also known to play a role in cell proliferation and survival and therefore, the inhibition of the NF-кB activation could be used in the treatment of cancer. Herein, a new class of quinazoline derivatives have been designed and synthesized to realize two strategies to overcome the above mentioned drawbacks. The first strategy included structural modifications which resulted in compounds that retain potency towards mutant EGFR. In addition, several compounds were identified to be more potent than Gefitinib towards cancer cell lines with wild-type and mutant EGFR. The second strategy involved the synthesis of compounds with dual inhibitory activity towards the EGFR and the NF$\kappa B$ pathway. These compounds act as potent anticancer agents that are able to overcome the problem of cancers which are insensitive or resistant to the EGFR inhibitors. Several derivatives were obtained with enhanced potency towards both targets. The main structural requirements essential for activity for each target has been identified and the cellular mechanism of action was discovered for one of the potent compounds. The presented inhibitors open up new approaches to overcome the limitations associated with clinically approved EGFR inhibitors.


## Zusammenfassung

Die Hemmung von Signaltransduktionswegen, z.B. der EGFR-Kinase-Signalweges, ist eine bewährte Strategie für die Krebstherapie und hat bereits einige klinisch zugelassene Medikamente hervorgebracht. Die Behandlung mit EGFR-Inhibitoren stößt oft an ihre Grenzen, so sprechen z.B. nicht alle Tumore an und einige werden aufgrund von Mutationen resistent. Der NF-kB-Signalweg spielt ebenfalls eine wichtige Rolle bei Zellproliferation und -überleben, so dass er ebenfalls ein vielversprechender Angriffspunkt bei Krebs sein könnte. In dieser Arbeit wurde eine neue Klasse von Chinazolinderivaten entworfen und synthetisiert, um zwei neue Strategien zur Überwindung der o.g. Nachteile umzusetzen. Die erste Strategie zielte auf die Einführung von Modifikationen ab, die auf eine Steigerung der Hemmaktivität gegenüber mutierter EGFR-Kinase abzielten. Dieses Ziel wurde erreicht, und zusätzlich wurde im Vergleich zu Gefitinib eine potentere Hemmung des Wachstums von Krebszellen mit Wildtyp- und mutierter EGFR-Kinase beobachtet. Die zweite Strategie beinhaltete die Synthese von Derivaten mit dualer Hemmwirkung sowohl auf den EGFR- als auch auf den NF-kBSignalweg. Diese neuen Verbindungen versprechen eine gesteigerte Anti-TumorWirkung und sind möglicherweise in der Lage, auch die gegen reine EGFR-Inhibitoren unempfindlichen oder resistenten Tumore zu bekämpfen. Einige Derivate mit verbesserter Wirksamkeit bei beiden Targets konnten entwickelt werden. Die wichtigsten strukturellen Voraussetzungen für die Aktivität bei jedem Target konnten identifiziert und der zelluläre Wirkmechanismus für eines der Derivate nachgewiesen werden. Die vorgestellten Inhibitoren könnten neue Wege zur Überwindung der eingeschränkten Wirksamkeit der bisherigen EGFR-Hemmstoffe aufzeigen.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Kinases

A kinase is a type of enzyme that catalyze the transfer of phosphate groups from high-energy donor molecules, such as ATPs to specific substrates, a process referred to as phosphorylation. ${ }^{1,2}$ Kinases are part of the larger family of phosphotransferases which is a subclass of transferases. ${ }^{2}$ Kinases are used extensively to transmit signals and control complex processes in cells. One of the largest groups of kinases is protein kinases, which act on and modify the activity of specific proteins. Various other kinases act on small molecules such as lipids, carbohydrates, amino acids, and nucleotides, either for signaling or to prime them for metabolic pathways. Kinases are often named after their substrates. ${ }^{1 \text {, }}$ 3

### 1.2 Protein Kinases

A protein kinase is a kinase enzyme that catalyze the transfer of the $\gamma$ phosphate of a purine nucleotide triphosphate (i.e. ATP and GTP) to the protein substrate ${ }^{4}$ (Figure 1) ${ }^{5}$. Protein kinases mediate most of the signal transduction in eukaryotic cells and also control many other cellular processes, including metabolism, transcription, cell cycle progression, cytoskeletal rearrangement and cell movement, apoptosis, and differentiation. Protein phosphorylation also plays a critical role in intercellular communication during development, in physiological responses and in homeostasis, and in the functioning of the nervous and immune systems. ${ }^{6}$ They are among the largest families of genes in eukaryotes ${ }^{6-10}$ with more than 500 members within the human genome. ${ }^{3,6}$ Mutations and dysregulation of protein kinases play fundamental roles in human disease, therefore, protein kinases is a very attractive target class for therapeutic interventions in many disease states such as cancer, diabetes, inflammation, and arthritis. ${ }^{11}$ Accordingly, targeting the protein kinases could be used successfully in disease therapy ${ }^{3}, 6,11,12$ with over a hundred different protein kinase inhibitor already entered clinical trials. ${ }^{13}$


Figure 1: Protein phosphorylation (taken from Ref. ${ }^{5}$ ).

### 1.2.1 Protein Kinase Groups

The protein kinases are generally classified depending on the receiving amino acid of their substrates into serine/threonine or tyrosine or dual substrate kinases. ${ }^{14}$ Also, the eukaryotic protein kinase superfamily could be split into two groups: "conventional" (ePK) and "atypical" protein kinases (aPKs). The largest group are the ePKs which have been further sub-classified into 8 groups by examining sequence similarity between catalytic domains, the presence of accessory domains, and by considering any known modes of regulation ${ }^{15}$ (Figure 2) ${ }^{8}$.


Figure 2: Conventional protein kinase groups (taken from Ref. ${ }^{8}$ )

### 1.2.1.1 Conventional Protein Kinases

The 8 ePK groups are: ${ }^{15}$
i) AGC: Named after the Protein Kinase A, G, and C families (PKA, PKC, PKG). ${ }^{16 \text {, }}$ 17
ii) CAMK: Best known for the Calmodulin/Calcium regulated kinases (CAMK) in CAMK1 and CAMK2 families, this also has several families of non-calcium regulated kinases. ${ }^{17,18}$
iii) CK1: Casein kinases are named after the use of casein as a convenient substrate for experimental examination of kinase activity. The CK1s represent a typically small but essential ePK group found in all eukaryotes. ${ }^{19}$
iv) CMGC: The CMGC including cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAP kinases), glycogen synthase kinases (GSK) and CDK-like kinases (CLK) are an essential and typically large group of kinases found in all eukaryotes. ${ }^{20-23}$
v) RGC: Receptor Guanylate Cyclases. This small group contains an active guanylate cyclase domain, which generates the cGMP second messenger, and
a catalytically inactive kinase domain, which appears to have a regulatory function. ${ }^{24}$
vi) STE: The STE group includes many protein kinases involved in MAP kinase cascades, transducing signals from the surface of the cell to the nucleus. ${ }^{17,25}$
vii) TK: Tyrosine Kinase (TK) group members phosphorylate tyrosine residues specifically and so are different from dual specificity kinases which phosphorylate serine/threonine as well as tyrosine. ${ }^{26,27}$
viii) TKL: Tyrosine kinase-like kinases are serine-threonine protein kinases named so because of their close sequence similarity to tyrosine kinases. ${ }^{28,29}$
ix) Other: This group consists of several families, and some unique kinases that are clearly ePKs but do not fit into the other ePK groups.

### 1.2.1.2 Atypical Protein Kinases

The aPKs are a small set of protein kinases that do not share clear sequence similarity with ePKs. To date, four groups of aPKs have been shown to display protein kinase activity, ${ }^{15}$ and these groups are: ${ }^{6,11}$
alpha, ${ }^{30}$ PIKK (phosphatidyl inositol 3-kinase-related kinases), ${ }^{31}$ PDHK (pyruvate dehydrogenase kinases) ${ }^{32}$ and RIO (right open reading frame). ${ }^{33}$

### 1.2.2 Protein Kinase Inhibitors

Protein kinases have now become the second most important group of drug targets, after G-protein-coupled receptors, and this increased the interest in developing orally active protein kinase inhibitors. ${ }^{11}$

Small-molecule inhibitors of protein kinases typically prevent either autophosphorylation of the kinase or subsequent phosphorylation of other protein substrates. ${ }^{13}$ Protein kinases have well formed binding sites for adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the phospho-donor for the phosphorylation of protein substrates, and this contributed to their high druggability. ${ }^{13}$ In the beginning, the discovery of small molecules that inhibit protein kinase through targeting the ATP site was criticized regarding their ability to achieve cellular potency and target selectivity. ${ }^{13}$ The first argument was that the inhibitor at the ATP binding site would not be able to potently block the protein kinase activity and signal transduction due to the ineffective competition against the high intracellular ATP concentration. ${ }^{13}$ This was based on the fact of the great intracellular concentration of ATP (around 1-2 mM), whereas most protein kinases have affinities for ATP in the $10-300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ range. ${ }^{13}$ The second argument was the difficulty of development of a selective ATP-competitive inhibitor due to the overall sequence homology for the amino acid residues within the kinase ATP binding sites. ${ }^{13}$

Development of the first protein kinase inhibitors took place in the early 1980's and they were naphthalene sulphonamides such as N -(6-aminohexyl)-5-chloro-1naphthalenesulphonamide (W7). ${ }^{11,34}$ These derivatives were already developed as
antagonists of the calcium-binding protein calmodulin, and were also found to inhibit several protein kinases at higher concentrations. ${ }^{11}$ It was seen that replacing the naphthalene ring by isoquinoline caused the derivatives to lose their calmodulin antagonistic activity, while retained the protein kinases inhibitory activity such as in compound "H8" (Figure 3). ${ }^{11}$ Fasudil hydrochloride (Figure 3) is an isoquinolinesulphonamide that progressed to human clinical trials in the early 1990s although being of relatively low potency and inhibit several protein kinases. ${ }^{11}$

$\mathrm{H}_{8}$


Fasudil

Figure 3: Isoquinoline derivatives as protein kinase inhibitors
The bisindolyl maleimide derivatives have been of great interest after the discovery that staurosporine (Figure 4) ${ }^{13}$ was a nanomolar inhibitor of PKC. ${ }^{11,35}$ Staurosporine is a natural antifungal agent that is produced by bacteria of the genus Streptomyces. Although, several bisindolyl maleimides were shown to lack specificity, and inhibited several other protein kinases, ${ }^{36,37}$ yet some have progressed to human clinical trials. ${ }^{11}$ Other staurosporine-derived kinase inhibitors that are in clinical testing include 7hydroxystaurosporine (UCN-01; Figure 4) and N -benzoyl staurosporine (PKC412; Figure 4). ${ }^{11,13}$

Other examples of natural products that are potent inhibitors of protein kinases include the alkaloid the flavonoid rohitukine, ${ }^{13,38}$ the purine olomoucine, ${ }^{13,39}$ and their structurally related cyclin-dependent kinases inhibitors flavopiridol ${ }^{13,} 40$ and Rroscovitine ${ }^{13,41}$ (Figure 4). ${ }^{13}$
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Figure 4: Natural product based protein kinase inhibitors. ${ }^{13}$
To date, thirteen small-molecule therapeutic protein kinase inhibitors have been FDA approved within the $\mathrm{US}^{4}$ (Figure 5). All are indicated for the treatment of oncological
diseases. These compounds can be generally classified depending on the protein kinase that they target which include BCR-ABL fusion protein kinase (an oncogene for chronic myeloid leukemia), EGFR (human epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases), ${ }^{13}$ VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase), ALK (anaplastic lymphoma kinase), B-Raf and JAK (Janus kinase) (Table 1). ${ }^{4}$ Some of the compounds also inhibit other kinases in addition to those described above (Table 1). Understanding of how these drugs bind to their target kinases has facilitated their discovery and many other kinase inhibitors in clinical development. ${ }^{13}$
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Figure 5: US FDA-approved, small-molecule protein kinase inhibitors.
Table 1: US FDA-approved direct kinase inhibitors by competing for the ATP-binding pocket. ${ }^{4}$

| Agents | Target for therapeutic activity | US FDA-approved indication |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Imatinib | BCR-ABL, PDGFR and KIT | CML and GIST |
| Dasatinib | BCR-ABL | CML |
| Nilotinib | BCR-ABL | CML |
| Gefitinib | EGFR | Non-small cell lung cancer |
| Erlotinib | EGFR | Non-small cell lung cancer and pancreatic cancer |
| Lapatinib | EGFR and ErbB2 | Breast cancer |
| Sunitinib | VEGFR2, PDGFR and KIT | Renal cell carcinoma, GIST, pancreatic cancer |
| Sorafenib | VEGFR2 and PDGFR | Renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma |
| Pazopanib | VEGFR2, PDGFR and KIT | Renal cell carcinoma |
| Crizotinib | ALK/c-MET | Non-small cell lung cancer |
| Vemurafenib | BRAF | Melanoma |
| Vandetanib | VEGFR-2, EGFR, and RET | Medullary thyroid cancer |
| Ruxolitinib | JAK1/JAK2 | Myelofibrosis |

### 1.2.3 Classification of Protein Kinase Inhibitors

Small-molecule protein kinase inhibitors can be categorized into three classes according to their binding mode: type I, type II, and type III. ${ }^{42-45}$

### 1.2.3.1 Type I inhibitors:

Type I inhibitors are ATP-competitive compounds targeting the ATP binding site in the active form of a kinase. Type I inhibitors bind to the hinge region through at least one hydrogen bond donor or acceptor group (Figure 6). ${ }^{45,46}$ Although, type I inhibitors usually face problems to achieve high selectivity yet some selectivity is gained by targeting the hydrophobic back pocket whose access is controlled by the gatekeeper residue. Examples of marked drugs which are type I inhibitors include gefitinib, erlotinib, sunitinib, and dasatinib (Figure 5). ${ }^{45}$


Figure 6: (a) Pharmacophore model for type I inhibitors shown with ATP in the PKA binding site (PDB 1ATP) (taken from Ref. ${ }^{45}$ ). (b) Schematic representation showing the binding of ATP to the hinge region and the ATP binding site divided into subregions (taken from Ref. ${ }^{44}$ ).

### 1.2.3.2 Type II inhibitors:

Type II inhibitors are ATP-competitive compounds which also target the ATP binding site but in the inactive form of a kinase. Binding to the hinge region in type II inhibitors is not essential. ${ }^{47}$ All type II compounds target an extended hydrophobic deep pocket created by conformational changes in the protein which is not available in an activated kinase (Figure 7). ${ }^{45}$

Type II inhibitors can achieve higher selectivity than type I compounds, since the deep pocket is only known so far in few kinases. A type II inhibitor can act as type I inhibitor in another kinase, such as with imatinib which acts as a type II inhibitor of Abl kinase, and as a type I inhibitor for Syk. ${ }^{48}$ Examples of marked drugs which are type II inhibitors include imatinib, sorafenib, and nilotinib (Figure 5). ${ }^{45}$


Figure 7: Pharmacophore model for type II inhibitors shown with Imatinib (Figure 5) in the binding site of Abl kinase (PDB 1IEP) (taken from Ref. ${ }^{45}$ ).

### 1.2.3.3 Type III inhibitors:

Type III inhibitors are allosteric inhibitors which are not ATP-competitive since they bind to binding sites that are far from the ATP binding site. Type III inhibitors bind to the kinase despite its activation state and don't target the hinge region. ${ }^{45}$ High selectivity and potency is expected with type III inhibitors due to the high specificity of the allosteric sites for a certain kinase. Only few examples of type III inhibitors are known since only few kinases may have allosteric binding sites. ${ }^{45, ~ 49-51 ~}$

### 1.3 Protein Tyrosine Kinases

Protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) are a class of enzymes involved in tyrosine phosphorylation through the transfer of the $\gamma$-phosphate of ATP to tyrosine residues on protein substrates. ${ }^{52,53}$ PTKs activity is essential in multiple cellular signaling pathways that are responsible for critical functions in the cell such as growth, proliferation, migration, synthesis and apoptosis. ${ }^{52}$ Tyrosine phosphorylation modulates enzymatic activity and creates binding sites to be engaged in downstream signaling proteins. The cells include two classes of PTKs which are the transmembrane receptor PTKs and the nonreceptor PTKs. ${ }^{53}$

### 1.3.1 Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs)

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are cell surface glycoproteins which play an important role in transmitting the extracellular signal to the cytoplasm. ${ }^{52,53}$ RTKs require binding of their cognate ligands to be activated. ${ }^{53}$ The activation takes place on two stages; the first stage involves a dimerization of the receptor leading to conformational changes. This is followed by tyrosine phosphorylation on the receptors themselves (autophosphorylation). ${ }^{52}$ These processes will further initiate a cascade of phosphorylations which activate successive proteins until the signal reaches the nucleus leading to the expression of the specific genes ${ }^{52}$ (Figure 8) ${ }^{54}$. Several fundamental cellular processes are controlled by RTKs including cell cycle, cell migration, cell
metabolism and survival, as well as cell proliferation and differentiation. ${ }^{55}$ The RTK family includes the receptors for insulin and for many growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and nerve growth factor (NGF). ${ }^{53}$ RTKs can be divided into 20 subfamilies sharing a domain for the catalytic tyrosine kinase function. ${ }^{56,57}$ In all the RTKs, the extracellular portion is separated from the intracellular tyrosine kinase region through a single transmembrane domain. ${ }^{57,58}$


Figure 8: Activation of the receptor tyrosine kinase. Figure shows the dimerization, autophosphorylation and then initiation of signaling cascades to finally produce a cellular response (taken from Ref. ${ }^{54}$ ).

### 1.3.2 Nonreceptor tyrosine kinases (NRTKs)

The NRTKs are cytoplasmic enzymes which are essential components of the signaling cascades triggered by cell surface receptors such as RTKs, G protein-coupled receptors and immune system receptors. NRTK's includes several kinases such as Src, the Janus kinases (JAKs) and Abl. ${ }^{53}$

### 1.4 Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family is a RTK which comprises four members: the EGFR/ErbB1 (the first molecularly cloned RTK), ${ }^{59}$ HER2/ErbB2, HER3/ErbB3 and HER4/ErbB4. All receptors have a two cysteine-rich domains extracelluarly and a tail of long C-terminal having nearly all the autophosphorylation sites in the intracellular portion. ${ }^{57}$ EGFR family receptors can form various homo- or heterodimers, depending on the activating ligand, to generate a complex signal transduction network. ${ }^{57,60,61}$ Examples of EGF-related growth which activate the EGFR family include EGF, transforming growth factor- $\alpha$ (TGF $\alpha$ ), epiregulin (EPR), betacellulin
(BTC), heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF), amphiregulin (AR) and the large family of alternatively-spliced neuregulins. ${ }^{57,62}$ The different growth factors have diverse binding specificities and affinities to EGFR, HER3 and HER4, with no identified ligand for HER2 yet ${ }^{57}$ (Figure 9) ${ }^{63}$.


Figure 9: The 4 members of the ErbB receptor family with their activating ligands. Green and red arrows show the possible different dimers formed between the family members during the activation (taken from Ref. ${ }^{63}$ ).

### 1.4.1 EGFR

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) which is also known as HER-1 or ErbB-1, was the first member of the EGFR family. ${ }^{64}$ EGFR is involved in signal transduction pathways concerned with various processes, including cell cycle progression, inhibition of apoptosis, tumor cell motility and invasion ${ }^{65}$ (Figure 10) ${ }^{66}$.

EGFR is a glycoprotein of $170-\mathrm{kd}$ and with a normal expression range in cells from 40,000 to 100,000 receptors per cell. ${ }^{64,67}$ EGFR tyrosine kinase function is present in the intracellular domain, alongside EGFR also consists of an extracellular domain and a transmembrane region. ${ }^{64}$ The most important ligands that bind and activate the EGFR are the epidermal growth factor (EGF) and the transforming growth factor- $\alpha$. Other ligands which also bind to EGFR include amphiregulin, heparin-binding EGF, and betacellulin. ${ }^{64,}$ ${ }^{68}$ Receptor homo- or heterodimerization at the cell surface results from ligand binding with EGFR, this is followed by internalization of the dimerized receptor and then autophosphorylation of the intracytoplasmic EGFR tyrosine kinase domains. ${ }^{64,}{ }^{69}$ Phosphorylated tyrosine kinase residues will then stimulate intracellular signal transduction cascade by acting as binding sites for signal transducers and activators of intracellular substrates such as Ras. ${ }^{64}$


Figure 10: Schematic representation showing the involvement of EGFR in the transmission of signals regulating cell growth and metastasis. Green boxes indicate the different methods for inhibition of EGFR either by mAb "monoclonal antibodies" or TKI "Tyrsoine kinase inhibitors" (taken from Ref. ${ }^{66}$ ).

### 1.4.1.1 EGFR mutation

It was discovered in 2004 that a group of somatic mutations take place in the EGFR kinase domain which results in higher possibility of response to TKIs which was observed in a subpopulation of NSCLC patients. ${ }^{70-72}$

Patients with EGFR mutations was found to respond favorably to EGFR TKIs beside having clinically remarkable results, with rapid, nearly complete reduction of their cancers. EGFR mutations were more common in TKI-responsive NSCLC patients, i.e., females, never-smokers, Asians, and those with adenocarcinoma histology. ${ }^{70,73,74}$ Nearly $90 \%$ of the EGFR mutations observed were of either types: ${ }^{.70-72,75,76}$ (Figure 11) 1) small, inframe deletions in exon 19 clustered around the catalytic site of the receptor. 2) the single point mutation L858R, which lies within the TK activation loop in exon 21.

Mutations were seen to preserve the ligand dependence of receptor activation while modifying the downstream signaling pattern. Whereas, the antiapoptotic downstream activation signals (via Akt) is greatly enhanced in EGFR mutated cells with minimal effect on proliferative signals (via MAPK/ERK). ${ }^{70,77,78}$

Enhanced inhibition of biochemical signaling by small molecule TKIs is seen in NSCLC cells with mutated EGFR than with wild type receptors. ${ }^{70,78,79}$ This is because the mutations taking place in critical residues of the catalytic domain near the ATP binding site, causes change in the physical structure and enhanced drug binding. ${ }^{70,80}$ Clinical significance appears since low doses of TKIs are needed for complete suppression of the mutated EGFR signaling, in contrast to the wild type receptor which needs higher plasma drug levels. ${ }^{70}$


Figure 11: Different EGFR kinase domain mutations in NSCLC with frequencies indicated (taken from Ref. ${ }^{81}$ ).

Other reported rare types of mutations in EGFR TK domain, which is not clear yet if they are TKI-sensitizing as the common types, include exon 20 insertions, exon 18 point mutations, and exon 20 point mutations. On the contrary, at least some of the minor mutations are associated with resistance to TKI agents. ${ }^{70,} 82,83$

The mechanism by which EGFR mutations cause rapid and remarkable responses to EGFR TKI therapy include at least two hypotheses.

1) The "oncogene addiction" hypothesis states that the cancer with mutated receptor and constantly transducing high levels of antiapoptotic (prosurvival) signals, become solely dependent on this signaling and loses its flexibility to adapt to signaling via other parallel pathways. ${ }^{70,84,85}$ Accordingly, sudden interruption of EGFR signaling by TKIs for EGFR mutated cells that are "addicted" to EGFR prosurvival signaling, causes massive cell death. ${ }^{70}$
2) The "oncogenic shock" hypothesis states that some quantity of EGFR-generated proapoptotic signals are still present even if prosurvival signals dominate in cells. ${ }^{70,86}$ Accordingly, both signals are inhibited when TKIs block the receptor signaling. Since the prosurvival signals decay much more rapidly than proapoptotic signals, a proapopotic signaling predominate temporarily leading to irreversible apoptotic cascade causing cell death. ${ }^{70}$

### 1.4.1.2 EGFR resistance

Most of the patients responding to EGFR TKI treatments will eventually develop resistance and suffer a clinical relapse. Nearly $50 \%$ of the acquired TKI resistance cases are attributed to a secondary EGFR mutation, the point mutation T790M in exon 20 at the "gatekeeper" threonine residue. ${ }^{70,82,87}$ Mutations at the gatekeeper threonine residue usually lead to kinase-targeted drug resistance. ${ }^{70,88}$ In the T790M EGFR mutation, there is an exchange of a threonine residue by a bulkier methionine residue which causes steric
hindrance and blocking of the ATP-catalytic pocket for the binding of gefitinib or erlotinib (Figure 12). ${ }^{89}$


Figure 12: Crystal structure of wild type EGFR complexed with the reversible ATP competitive drug Erlotinib (PDB 1M17). ${ }^{90}$ (a) Show hydrogen bonds (dotted lines) formed between the quinazoline core of the drug and the enzyme. (b) Modeled drug resistance mutation T790M (magenta) showing steric clash with the drug. The T to M mutation prevented the formation of the water-mediated hydrogen bond between N 3 of the quinazoline and the side chain (taken from Ref. ${ }^{89}$ ).

A second mechanism of EGFR TKI resistance is the MET amplification which offers a comparable pathway for activation of intracellular proliferation signals and so can prevent the blocking effect of the EGFR TKI. ${ }^{70,91}$ Other mechanisms proposed to be involved in developing TKIs resistance include signaling via parallel redundant pathways, constitutive activation of downstream mediators, altered receptor trafficking, efflux of the drug from the cell, and mutation of the drug target itself. ${ }^{70,92,93}$

### 1.4.1.3 EGFR and cancer

EGFR overexpression was observed in many solid tumors such as breast cancer (up to $2 \times 10^{6}$ EGFR molecules per cell), ${ }^{64,94,95}$ head-and-neck cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), renal cancer, ovarian cancer, and colon cancer. ${ }^{64,96}$ Smaller percentage of bladder cancers, pancreatic cancers, and gliomas were also found to overexpress EGFR. ${ }^{64,}{ }^{68}$ EGFR overexpression results in more aggressive growth and invasiveness characteristics of cells due to intense signal generation and activation of downstream signaling pathways. ${ }^{64,97}$ EGFR overexpression is found in about $40-80 \%$ of the NSCLC cases. ${ }^{64}$ It is also reported that $84 \%$ of squamous cell tumors, ${ }^{69} 68 \%$ of large cell and $65 \%$ of adenocarcinomas are positive for EGFR. ${ }^{64}$

Generally, EGFR overexpression is associated with late stage of disease progression and is usually correlated with high metastatic rate, poor tumor differentiation, and increased rate of tumor proliferation. ${ }^{57,64} 98,99$ The main mechanism leading to EGFR
overexpression is the gene amplification with more than 15 copies per certain tumor cell. ${ }^{57,100}$

Tumorigenic mutations can change the EGFR activity through receptor activation without ligand binding. Human cancer mutations have seen to cause EGFR deletions leading to change in the extracellular receptor ligand binding domain which result in a constantly active EGFR kinase function. ${ }^{57,101}$

Autocrine stimulation via growth factor loops is a potent mechanism for constitutive EGFR activation in several cancers. TGF $\alpha$ is the main ligand involved in the activation of the autocrine growth receptor. ${ }^{57,102,103}$ Glioblastomas and squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck were found to coexpress the TGF $\alpha$ and EGFR which is correlated with poor prognosis. ${ }^{57,104}$

EGFR transactivation and EGFR-related signaling in cancer cells was found to take place through G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR)-induced cleavage of EGF-like growth factors. ${ }^{57,105}$ This takes place through a metalloprotease activation by GPCR stimulation leading to the cleavage of a transmembrane EGF-like ligand precursor allowing EGFR transactivation by the released growth factor. ${ }^{57,106}$

### 1.4.1.4 EGFR as a target for anti-cancer therapies

EGFR is considered as an excellent target for anti-cancer therapy since abnormal EGFR signaling is implicated in many cancers and appears to be correlated with poor prognosis. ${ }^{57,107}$ Inhibition of the oncogenic EGFR tyrosine kinase activity takes place by two main approaches. The first one is the use of monoclonal antibodies "mabs" which is directed to block the extracellular receptor domain. The second approach is the use of small-molecule compounds which inhibit the intracellular EGFR tyrosine kinase activity (TKI; also known as "nibs") through interacting with the ATP-binding domain ${ }^{52,64}$ (Figure 10).

Cetuximab (IMC-C225) is an example of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody which binds to the EGFR and prevents the receptor tyrosine kinase activation, thus causing an antiproliferative effect on several cancer cells including pancreatic, renal and breast carcinomas. ${ }^{57,64,108,109}$ The most important small-molecule EGFR inhibitors that block EGFR activation are ATP analogues of the quinazoline and pyridopyrimidine family. ${ }^{57,}$ ${ }^{110,} 111$ Gefitinib (Iressa) is an example of a quinazoline derivative showing significant anti-tumor effect on human breast and colon cancer cells. ${ }^{57,112}$

### 1.4.1.5 Development of small molecule EGFR Inhibitors

In 1995 a SAR study was conducted on a series of compounds derived from tenmembered nitrogen-containing bicyclic scaffolds and it concluded that the quinazoline nucleus was the best scaffold for developing EGFR inhibitors. ${ }^{113,114}$ It was found that any modification in the nitrogen substitution pattern in the bicyclic ring resulted in less active compounds, especially when the quinazoline (I) is replaced by a quinoline (II) ring which resulted in 200 -fold drop in affinity (Figure 13). ${ }^{113,114}$ This was explained by a hypothesis based on modeling studies that there is water-mediated hydrogen bond formed between
the N3 of the quinazoline and the side chain of the gatekeeper Thr790 residue of EGFR ${ }^{113,115}$ (Figure 14a). This provided a rationale for the importance of the N3 of the quinazoline core for activity and helped in the development of another series of compounds where the quinazoline N3 is replaced by C-CN group (III, Figure 13). ${ }^{113,} 116$ This modification replaced the hypothetical water molecule and acted as a hydrogen bond acceptor for the Thr790 hydroxyl group (Figure 14b). ${ }^{113}$
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Figure 13: ${ }^{113}$ Replacing the quinazoline nucleus in I by the quinoline nucleus in II resulted in 200-fold drop in affinity of the EGFR inhibitory activity. While replacing the quinazoline II by a 3-cyanoquinoline III results in equipotent compounds.


Figure 14: Binding modes of 4-anilinoquinazoline- and 3-quinolinecarbonitriles-based EGFR inhibitors. (a) Proposed binding mode of a 4-anilinoquinazoline to the ATP-binding site of EGFR showing hydrogen bonding interactions (dotted lines) of the inhibitor with the hinge region and via a mediated water molecule (W). (b) Binding mode of 3-quinolinecarbonitriles to displace the proposed water molecule and to form a direct hydrogen bond to the side chain of gatekeeper residue (Thr790). (c) The irreversible inhibitor Neratinib in complex with drug resistant EGFR-T790M (PDB code: 2JIV). The compound forms a covalent bond with the side chain of Cys797 of the ATP pocket (taken from Ref. ${ }^{113}$ ).

A second generation of EGFR TKIs has then been developed to overcome the resistance caused by T790M mutation and other acquired resistance mechanisms to gefitinib and erlotinib. At least one of two strategies is employed by the second generation EGFR TKIs to achieve better effectiveness over the first generation compounds which include:

1) Introduce in the compounds certain groups that are able to form covalent, irreversible bonds with EGFR which will prolong the inhibition of EGFR signaling resulting in an enhanced efficacy. ${ }^{70}$ Cells with acquired resistance to first generation TKIs were effectively killed by using the irreversible TKIs. ${ }^{70,117}$
2) The use of drugs able to target several kinases and block multiple signaling pathways in the cancer cell by using either a combination of agents or a single multitargeted drug. ${ }^{70,}$ ${ }^{118}$ Cells are flexible in having a variety of possible signal transduction routes but in the same time, this could help the appearance of resistant clones that could bypass the inhibited receptor in case of cancer cells treated with targeted anticancer agents. ${ }^{70,117}$ HER-2 and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) are secondary targets combined with EGFR inhibition by novel NSCLC drugs. ${ }^{70}$

### 1.5 NF-кB signaling in health and disease

### 1.5.1 Introduction to $\mathbf{N F}-\kappa \mathbf{B}$ protein family

Nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-кB) is a protein family consisting of five members of highly regulated dimeric transcription factors. The five proteins are Rel (c-Rel), RelA (p65), RelB, NF-кB1 (p50), and NF-кB2 (p52) and all of them share a common Rel homology domain (RHD) ${ }^{119}$ (Figure 15$)^{120}$. NF-кB exists in an inactive form and are activated through homo- ${ }^{119,} 12$ and hetero-dimerization ${ }^{119,122}$ in response to proinflammatory stimuli such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin- $1 \beta$ (IL$1 \beta$ ). ${ }^{123}$ The active transcription factors are able to bind to DNA at specific promoter sequences. ${ }^{119}$

The NF-кB nuclear translocation is blocked in the cytosol of unstimualted cells since the inactive dimers of NF- $\kappa$ B are held in complex with inhibitors of $\kappa$ B (IкB). ${ }^{119,124}$ Seven members of the $\mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B}$ family are identified which are $\mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \alpha, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} B \beta, \mathrm{Bcl}-3$, $\mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \varepsilon, \mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \zeta$ and the precursor proteins p100 and p105 (Figure 15) ${ }^{120}$. Post translational processes of the large proteins p105 and p100 results in the formation of p50 and p52 proteins respectively. ${ }^{119}$ The release and translocation of active NF- $\kappa$ B into nucleus takes place when an outside signaling induces I B degradation, phosphorylation, and polyubiquitination ${ }^{123,125-129}$ (Figure 16). The actively translocated NF- $\kappa$ B transcribes then the sets of genes according to the activated NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ dimer. ${ }^{130}$

NF-кB play critical roles in response to inflammation and in immunological reactions ${ }^{131-134}$ as well as being involved in regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration. ${ }^{135-138}$

On the other hand, several inflammatory disorders, such as bowel disease, psoriasis, asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, and sepsis can result from the excessive activation of NF$\kappa B .{ }^{123,}{ }^{139-141}$ In addition, the constitutive activation of NF- $\kappa$ B has been involved in cancer. ${ }^{19}$


Figure 15: The mammalian protein families of NF- $\kappa B$, IкB and IKK with their relevant domains and alternative nomenclatures (provided in parenthesis). The precursor proteins p100 and p105 function as family member of both IKB and NF-КB (after proteasomal processing) (taken from Ref. ${ }^{120}$ ).

### 1.5.2 The NF-кB signaling pathways

Activation of NF-кB can take place mainly through two signaling pathways known as the canonical pathway (or classical) and the non-canonical pathway (or alternative pathway) ${ }^{142-145}$ depending on whether activation involves IкB degradation or p100 processing. ${ }^{146}$ Upon stimulation, both pathways will induce phosphorylation of the IкB kinase (IKK) complex, consisting of two catalytically active kinases, IKK $\alpha$ and IKK $\beta$, and the regulatory subunit IKK $\gamma$ (NEMO) "NF-kappa B essential modulator". This is followed by the phosphorylation of IкB proteins which are targets for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, leading to the translocation of the NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ dimers to the nucleus to stimulate the expression of the target gene (Figure 16). ${ }^{147}$ Post translational modifications (PTMs) further regulate transcriptional activity of nuclear NF-кB. ${ }^{147,148}$
In the canonical pathway, which is the predominant NF-кB signaling pathway, ${ }^{146}$ upon stimulation by binding of certain ligands, signaling pathways will cause the activation of the IKK $\beta$ which leads to the phosphorylation, polyubiquitination and degradation of IкB proteins. ${ }^{147,148}$

In the non-canonical pathway, which operates mainly in B-cells, ${ }^{146}$ activation of NF$\kappa$ B through this pathway occurs by fewer stimuli such as BAFF (B cell activating factor) and lymphotoxin- $\beta$. ${ }^{147,{ }^{148} \text { Upon stimulation, the protein kinase NIK is activated which in }}$ turns activate the $\mathrm{IKK} \alpha$ complex through phosphorylation which then phosphorylates p100 causing its processing and the liberation of p52/RelB active heterodimer. ${ }^{147,148}$


Figure 16: The canonical and non-canonical NF-кB pathways. In the canonical pathway, the IKK complexes containing NEMO are activated which in turn leads to the phosphorylation and degradation of IкB $\alpha$ releasing NF-кB dimers (including p65/p50). In the non-canonical pathway, NEMO-independent activation of IKK $\alpha$ through the kinase NIK. IKK $\alpha$ induces the phosphorylation and processing of p100 to p52 resulting in the activation of predominantly p52/RelB complexes. ${ }^{120}$ (diagram taken from Ref. ${ }^{147}$ ).

### 1.5.3 The Ubiquitin/Proteasome System (UPS)

Addition of ubiquitin (Ub) and ubiquitin-like (Ubl) modifiers to proteins helps to modulate function and is considered a key step in protein degradation, epigenetic modification and intracellular localization. ${ }^{149}$ Ubiquitination regulates several steps in the NF-кB pathway, where the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway plays a crucial role in both the canonical and non-canonical pathways of NF-кB activation. Ubiquitin targets IкB for degradation, processing of NF- KB precursors, p 105 and p 100 , by proteasome to the mature forms and activation of the IкB kinase (IKK). ${ }^{146}$ In addition, recent studies revealed that ubiquitination play a key role in activating protein kinases in the $\mathrm{NF}-\kappa \mathrm{B}$ pathway through a degradation-independent mechanism. ${ }^{146,150,151}$

Ubiquitination is a reversible covalent modification that is catalysed by three enzymatic steps. In the first step, an ATP-dependent reaction takes place where the ubiquitin is activated by a ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1). In the second step, transferring of the activated ubiquitin to a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2 or UBC) takes place to form an E2-Ub thioester. Finally, the ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3) mediates the attachment of ubiquitin to a target protein through an isopeptide bond formed
between the ubiquitin C terminus and the $\varepsilon$-amino group of a lysine residue in the target protein ${ }^{146}$ (Figure 17) ${ }^{152}$. Ubiquitin contains seven lysine residues that can be attached to other ubiquitins to form a polyubiquitin chain. ${ }^{146}$ A polyubiquitin chain that targets a protein for degradation by the proteasome is linked mainly through Lys 48 and Lys 11 of ubiquitin. While, Lys-63-linked polyubiquitin chains function as scaffolds to assemble signaling complexes participating in diverse cellular processes ranging from DNA repair to activation of NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ signaling (Figure 17). ${ }^{152}$


Figure 17: The ubiquitin/proteasome system (taken from Ref. ${ }^{152}$ ).

### 1.5.4 Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUB)

Protein ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by the proteasome require the participation of both ubiquitinating enzymes and deubiquitinating enzymes. ${ }^{153}$ Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) and Ubl-specific proteases (ULPs) are proteases that counteract $\mathrm{Ub} / \mathrm{Ubl}$ ligases and serve to deconjugate the $\mathrm{Ub} / \mathrm{Ubl}$-modified substrates. ${ }^{149}$ The DUBs encoded by the human genome are approximately 100 and can be grouped based on their sequence homology within the catalytic domain into five classes. These include 4 classes of cysteine proteases: the Ubiquitin C-terminal Hydrolases (UCHs; 4 members), the Ubiquitin Specific Proteases (USPs; 57 members), the Machado Joseph Disease proteases (MJD; 4 members), and the Otubain proteases (OTU; 13 members). The fifth class is composed of the JAB1/MPN/Mov34 enzymes (JAMM; 8 members), which are metalloproteases. ${ }^{154}$ DUBs function at multiple steps in the ubiquitin system: (1) DUBs are required to generate free Ub monomers from ubiquitin precursors, (2) DUBs counter the action of ubiquitin ligases, (3) DUBs function at the proteasome to edit ubiquitin chains, to remove ubiquitin prior to substrate degradation in the proteasome, and to recycle monomeric ubiquitin, and (4) DUBs function at the MVB to promote recycling of monomeric ubiquitin by removing ubiquitin prior to internalization of substrates into the MVB ${ }^{154,155}$ (Figure 18) ${ }^{154}$.


Figure 18: DUBs function at multiple steps in the ubiquitin system (taken from Ref. ${ }^{154}$ ).
Recently, several studies revealed the involvement of deubiquitinating enzymes in cancers as well as in other diseases. Several types of deubiquitinating enzymes were found to be upregulated in cancer cells. ${ }^{153}$ In addition, certain DUBs mutation in cases of human cancers demonstrates their involvement as true oncogenes and tumor supressors. ${ }^{156}$

The ubiquitination-proteasome pathway play vital role in cancer development and progression due to its proteolytic involvement in the regulation of protein turnover. ${ }^{153}$ It has been reported that the ubiquitination-proteasome pathway play a critical role in the pathogenesis of breast cancer by affecting the downregulation of growth factor receptors, such as EGFR/ErbB-1, Neu/ErbB-2, and ErbB- 3/HER3. ${ }^{153,157}$ Also, the Nuclear factorkappa B (NF-кB) plays a pivotal role in many aspects of tumor development, progression, and therapy, and its activation relies primarily on the ubiquitinationmediated degradation of its inhibitor ІкB. ${ }^{153,158}$

### 1.5.5 $\mathrm{NF}-\kappa \mathrm{B}$ role in cancer

NF-кB-dependent transcription regulates key cellular processes such as cell growth, proliferation, and survival, therefore dysregualtion of NF- $\kappa$ B pathways could result in cancer. ${ }^{159}$ It has been reported that some cancer cells such as breast, liver, prostate, pancreatic and gastric cancer have been found to involve constitutive activation of NF$\kappa$ в. ${ }^{135,160-164}$

The role of NF-кB in cancer is thought to be related to the transcription control of key antiapoptotic genes that encode B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) and inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) family proteins. ${ }^{119,165}$ These antiapoptic genes upon overexpression can prevent the tumor cells from undergoing programmed cell death and as a result contribute in
tumorigenesis and resistance to therapies. ${ }^{119,}{ }^{166}$ In addition, NF- $\kappa B$ is also involved in the regulation of proliferation through cyclins and growth factors. ${ }^{159}$

### 1.5.6 NF-кB inhibition

Inhibition of the NF-кB activity is through several strategies which could be direct or indirect. Direct strategies are to prevent the function of one or more of the NF- $\kappa \mathrm{BB}$ family proteins by inhibitors which may prevent the NF- $\kappa$ B family members dimerization or DNA binding. Indirect strategies include the inhibitors that affect NF- $\kappa$ B function such as molecules upstream of NF- $\kappa$ B e.g. IKK, cytokines and cytokine receptors or prevent NF$\kappa B$ degradation, such as proteasome inhibitors. ${ }^{119,167}$

Certain chemical classes such as the triazine, coumarin, and quinazoline are known to possess an NF-кB inhibitory activity which is predicted to be due to preventing DNA binding through direct interaction with p50. ${ }^{119,} 168-170$

### 1.5.7 Small molecules as NF-кB inhibitors

Several compounds have been reported to have inhibitory activities toward NF- $\kappa$ Bmediated transcriptional activation. Low-molecular-weight compounds, such as MG-132 (1), ${ }^{171,}{ }^{172}$ BAY 11-7085 (2), ${ }^{173}$ and an indane derivative (3), as well as natural products, such as caffeic acid phenylethyl ester (4) ${ }^{174}$ and the sesquiterpene lactone helenalin (5), ${ }^{175,176}$ have been shown to inhibit NF- $\kappa$ B activation (Figure 19). ${ }^{170}$ This was followed by Tobe et al. ${ }^{170}$ reporting quinazoline derivatives (6) as new structural class of NF- $\kappa \mathrm{K}$ activation inhibitors. ${ }^{170}$

(1)

(4)

(2)

(5)

(3)

(6)

Figure 19: ${ }^{170}$ Some low molecular weight compounds shown to inhibit NF-кB activation.

### 1.6 Combination Therapy for cancer

Targeted anticancer therapy which specifically targets key molecules of cancer cells, was successfully developed with an aim of achieving tumor selectivity and limiting nonspecific toxicities. ${ }^{65,177}$

However, an important overall limitation of target-based monotherapy is that the strict specificity of agents used can be overcome by alternative hyper-activated survival pathways in cancer cells. ${ }^{177,178}$ Accordingly, monotherapy treatment could sometimes be
hindered by patient insensitivity and development of resistance. ${ }^{177,179}$ Therefore, research now also supports combinations of agents as significant cancer treatments to overcome resistance and synergistically produce a greater and more durable degree of response for more cancer patients. ${ }^{\text {. } 77,180-182}$

### 1.7 Link between EGFR and NF-кB pathway

A number of studies demonstrated a link between the EGFR receptors and the NF$\kappa B$ activation pathway in different types of cancer. ${ }^{183-185}$ The activation of EGFR receptors leads to the activation of downstream signalling cascades including the RAS/extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK) pathway, the phosphatidylinositol 3kinase/AKT (PI3K/AKT) pathway and the Janus kinase/Signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/ STAT) pathway (Figure 20). ${ }^{186}$ Accordingly, it has been reported that EGFR can activate NF-кB through the PI3K/Akt pathway which leads to the phosphorylation of IкB $\alpha$. ${ }^{184}$

It has also been reported that using a combination of specific inhibitors of NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ and the EGFR family receptors blocks proliferation synergistically at concentrations which are ineffective when used individually. ${ }^{183,187}$ This significantly demonstrates the major advantage that would be achieved in the cancer therapy through inhibiting both pathways simultaneously.


Figure 20: Activation of the the EGFR receptors leads to the activation of downstream signalling cascades which involves the NF-KB activation (taken from Ref. ${ }^{186}$ ).

## 2 Outline of this thesis

### 2.1 Scientific goal

Targeted cancer therapy is a type of cancer treatment which interferes with specific targeted key molecules needed for tumorigenesis, cancer progression and metastasis. Targeted therapy was applied to decrease the side effects on the normal cells than the traditional chemotherapy. Epidermal growth factor receptor was among the first receptors proposed for targeted cancer therapy as being involved in cancer cell proliferation and found to be overexpressed in several types of cancer. Although several EGFR inhibitors such as Gefitinib and Erlotinib have been clinically approved in the treatment of cancer, yet several limitations such as the development of resistance due to mutations or being originally insensitive may hinder their application.

It is also generally accepted that simultaneous blocking of two major signaling pathways would have synergistic anti-tumor effects and might decrease the development of mutations. Accordingly, co-application of EGFR inhibitors with other specific agents having identified complementary cancer pathways, such as NF-кB, would enhance the efficacy of clinically approved EGFR inhibitors even towards previously insensitive tumor cells. While co-administration of anti-tumor therapeutics has proven to be beneficial in several cases, yet could still suffer from certain limitations such as increased toxic side effects and individual pharmacokinetic properties of the drugs. Therefore, a single molecule with dual inhibitory activity is considered more beneficial and advantageous in treatment of several types of cancers.

Accordingly, the main goal of this thesis was the development of new potent anticancer agents that could be effective against cancers that are originally insensitive or resistant to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors. This was achieved through applying two general strategies.

### 2.2 Working Strategy

The first strategy (A) was to introduce structural modifications to the molecules which were expected to result in more potent EGFR inhibitors, especially towards the mutant EGFR. This strategy will help mainly to overcome the problem of cancers that have or develop resistance towards the EGFR inhibitors due to mutation.

The second strategy ( $\mathbf{B}$ ) was through seeking additional target sites such as the NF$\kappa B$ signaling pathway besides the EGFR kinase activity. The resulting dual inhibitory activity would lead to the suppression of two major complementary signaling pathways in cancer cells at the same time. This would have significant clinical advantage in producing a synergistic potent anticancer activity towards several types of cancer that are originally insensitive or resistant to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors.
A) The first strategy was applied by making structural modifications that were expected to result in enhanced activity towards the mutant EGFR. To begin, we started the modifications from the 6 -substitued 4 -anilinoquinazoline scaffold (I) which was known to possess a significant EGFR inhibitory activity. This first strategy involved two parts: 1) Variation of the position 4 substituents and the quinazoline nucleus. 2) Modification of the position 6 side chain.


## A.1) Modifications of the position 4 substituents and the main nucleus (Chapter 3.I)

The first part of the work included the synthesis of irreversible inhibitors by adding to scaffold (I) a Michael acceptor group in position 6 ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ acrylamide) while doing several modifications in position 4 (II). The acrylamide group was known to form a covalent interaction with the enzyme. The compounds were then tested against wild-type and mutant EGFR containing cancer cell lines. This part of the work also included testing the effect of replacing the main quinazoline core with the tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3$d]$ pyrimidine nucleus (III).


## A.2) Modifications of position 6 side chain (Chapter 3.II)

The second part of the work included the modifications in the position 6 side chain of the quinazoline while using a $m$-bromo aniline in position 4 (IV). These modifications were done with an intention to offer chances for extra possible interactions that could take place with the mutant enzyme

(IV)
B) The second strategy was to seek an additional inhibitory activity towards the NF$\kappa$ B pathway beside the EGFR kinase activity. To reach this goal we started by screening most of the previously synthesized compounds for an additional activity towards the NFкB using the U937 cells reporter gene assay.

## Hit identification, Hit optimization and trials for identification of the exact molecular target for the inhibition of the NF-кB pathway (Chapter 3.III)

This part of the work included screening of most of our synthesized compounds for the NF-кB inhibitory activity which resulted in a Hit compound. The Hit compound was the benzylthiourea derivative ( $\mathbf{V}$ ) which showed a $97 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the NF-кB pathway in addition to an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 17.2 nM towards the EGFR enzyme. Further optimization was done to the Hit compound guided by the NF-кB activity. The optimization included 3 parts: 1) Modification of the substituents on the 4 anilino ring while keeping the benzylthiourea moiety. 2) Replacing the thiourea linker with a urea. 3) Modification of the benzyl part linked to the thiourea through removal of the methylene spacer, varying the substituents on the aromatic ring and the use of different heterocyclic rings. Several trials were also done to identify the molecular target for the inhibition of the NF-кB pathway which included testing against different kinases or steps involved in the pathway.


## 3 Results

# 3.I Quinazoline and tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3d]pyrimidine derivatives as irreversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors: influence of the position 4 substituent 

Mostafa M. Hamed, Dalal A. Abou El Ella, Adam B. Keeton, Gary A. Piazza, Matthias Engel, Rolf W. Hartmann, Ashraf H. Abadi

This manuscript has been accepted as a consice article in
MedChemComm, (2013), DOI: 10.1039/C3MD00118K
Paper I


#### Abstract

Herein, we describe new quinazoline and tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives with an acrylamido group at positions 6 and 7 respectively; and with variable anilino, sulfonamido and cycloalkylamino substituents at position 4. The lipophilic and steric properties of the position 4 substituent seem crucial for activity. Several compounds were more active than gefitinib in inhibiting the wild type EGFR enzyme, the autophosphorylation of the mutant EGFR expressing cell line (H1975), and the growth of cell lines with wild type and mutant EGFR tyrosine kinase. Moreover, novel synthesis of the quinazoline nucleus from the formimidate derivative is described.


## Introduction

Members of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family were found to play a vital role in lung tumorigenesis being overexpressed in 40-80\% of non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) tumors. ${ }^{1-4}$ A series of downstream signaling events results from EGFR activation and can mediate cancer cell growth, proliferation, motility, adhesion, invasion, apoptosis inhibition and metastasis as well as resistance to chemotherapy. Accordingly, EGFR inhibitors would be valuable in cancer treatment. ${ }^{1,2}$ Gefitinib, erlotinib, and lapatinib (Figure 1) are examples of small molecules, acting as kinase inhibitors, that have been approved in cancer treatment. ${ }^{5}$ They are used clinically in the treatment of EGFR/HER2-dependent tumors which occur in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) or breast cancer. ${ }^{6}$ They belong to a class of compounds known as 4anilinoquinazolines which are designed mainly to target the ATP binding pocket of the kinase domain. ${ }^{6}$

The quinazoline core is reported to be among the best scaffolds for the development of EGFR inhibitors. ${ }^{7}$ This was justified by a hypothesis explaining the importance of the quinazoline N 3 in the formation of a water-mediated hydrogen bond to the side chain of the gatekeeper Thr790 of EGFR. ${ }^{8,9}$ This aided successfully in designing reversible and irreversible EGFR and HER2 kinase inhibitors. ${ }^{10-13}$ The tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3$d$ ]pyrimidine nucleus is also among the scaffolds showing EGFR inhibitory activity. ${ }^{4}$ The 4-(phenylamino) quinazoline core have also been used to develop several irreversible EGFR inhibitors by introducing a Michael acceptor functional group such as the acrylamide group attached at the $\mathrm{C}-6$ or $\mathrm{C}-7$ positions, e.g. I \& II (Figure 1). These groups form a covalent linkage with the sulfhydryl group of the Cys797 of EGFR and these compounds proved to be potent inhibitors of tumor growth relying on overexpression of EGFR. ${ }^{14-15}$




Figure 1. Reversible and irreversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
Drug resistance was found to develop in approximately half of NSCLC cases that showed an initial response to reversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. This was associated with the emergence of a secondary mutation leading to the substitution of a single amino acid threonine 790 by methionine (T790M) in the ATP binding pocket of EGFR. ${ }^{16-18}$ Several other mechanisms of resistance to reversible EGFR inhibitors have also been reported. ${ }^{19,20}$ The Thr 790 residue in EGFR is present at the entrance of the deep hydrophobic pocket of the ATP binding site. Therefore, its substitution with the bulkier methionine residue caused resistance towards the reversible tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as gefitinib and erlotinib and this had been attributed to an increased enzyme affinity for ATP. ${ }^{21}$ Several studies reported that the irreversible inhibitors ${ }^{22-24}$ are able to overcome this mutation-associated drug resistance. ${ }^{18,25-28}$

Although the T790M mutation takes place in the Thr790 which is present in the deep pocket that is occupied mainly by the position 4 substituents of quinazoline derivatives, yet the introduction of a Michael acceptor group in position 6 of the quinazoline has proven to overcome this mutation-associated drug resistance. While, the role of the

Michael acceptor groups in overcoming this resistance is justified and clear, yet the significant role of the position 4-substituents in the inhibition of the mutant EGFR in presence of Michael acceptor groups is still not clear.

Therefore, we strived to investigate the effect of position 4 substituents on the potency of our potential irreversible inhibitors. In this study we aimed to provide a better understanding about the significant role, nature and size of the position 4 substituents that can be attached to a quinazoline scaffold in the presence of a potential covalent interaction - on the inhibition of the mutant as well as the wild type EGFR kinase. In addition, the importance of the quinazoline core was also tested by replacing it with a tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine nucleus. Accordingly, to apply our study we synthesized quinazoline derivatives having an acrylamido substituent at position 6 and with diverse substituents at position 4. The acrylamido substituent is intended to potentially alkylate cysteine (C797) in the ATP binding site of EGFR, to help in overcoming the mutation-associated drug resistance. Varied substituents at position 4 were added, namely haloanilines, alicyclic amines, alkylanilines, alkoxyanilines, and sulfonamide containing aniline derivatives 4a-4o. Furthermore, a new cost-effective modification for the synthesis of quinazoline nucleus is described. In addition, another series of compounds 10a-10f was synthesized by replacing the quinazoline nucleus with a tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine scaffold with also the same acrylamido substituent at position 7 while keeping the position 4 substituents showing potent inhibitory activity with the quinazoline nucleus. All acrylamido derivatives $\mathbf{4 a - 4 o}$ and 10a-10f have been tested for their inhibitory activity on the recombinant wild type EGFR kinase as well as cell growth inhibition versus cancer cell lines, with mutant EGFR (H1975) and with wild type (SKBR3). In addition, cell based autophosphorylation inhibition was done for selected compounds.

## Chemistry

Synthesis of the quinazoline nucleus started by refluxing of 2-amino-5nitrobenzonitrile with triethyl orthoformate in presence of drops of acetic anhydride to yield the formimidate derivative 1 (Scheme 1). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was confirmed from its IR spectrum showing a band at $2228.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the existence of the $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ group. $1 \mathrm{H}-$ NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ revealed signals at $8.22 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-)$ as singlet, quartet at $4.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{CH} 2)$ and triplet at $1.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{CH} 3)$.

The second step in scheme 1 shows a novel modification for the synthesis of the quinazoline nucleus, whereby the formimidate derivative $\mathbf{1}$, was refluxed in acetic acid with different amines to yield the nitroquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- 2 0}$ and the cyclization was confirmed from the IR spectrum by the disappearance of the band for the cyano group. This novel modification is cost-effective since the quinazoline nucleus is synthesized from the formimidate derivative which is prepared from the much cheaper triethyl orthoformate instead of the usual $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformimidamide derivative prepared from the more expensive DMF-dimethyl acetal. ${ }^{29}$


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) TEOF, (Ac) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 24 ; (ii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; (iv) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCOCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, acetone or $\mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

The suggested mechanism for the formation of the quinazoline nucleus from the formimidate derivative $\mathbf{1}$ is described in scheme 2 as reported in literature for a similar derivative. ${ }^{30}$ It is assumed that the aromatic amines or the cyclohexylamine firstly attacks the carbon of the ethoxy resulting into ejection of the ethoxy group. An amidine intermediate is then formed which cyclizes into the quinazoline skeleton via Dimroth rearrangement where the endocyclic and exocyclic nitrogen atoms switched place to afford the 4 -substituted aminoquinazoline.

Reduction of the nitroquinazoline derivatives was done by refluxing with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ in methanol to yield the aminoquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{3 a - 3 o}$, which were then reacted with acryloyl chloride in acetone or DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to yield the acrylamide derivatives 4a-4o (Scheme 1).


Scheme 2. Suggested mechanism for the formation of the quinazoline nucleus
Synthesis of the tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine derivatives is outlined in scheme 3 according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{4}$ It started by condensing the 4 -oxo-piperidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester with ethyl cyanoacetate under basic conditions followed by cyclization through a Gewald reaction ${ }^{31}$ to construct the thiophene core. The construction of the thieno[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine ring system 6 was done
using a modified Niementowski quinazoline synthesis by condensation of 5 with formamidine acetate. This was followed by chlorination of pyrimidone 6 with phosphorus oxychloride which gave the intermediate 7 . Nucleophilic reaction of 7 with appropriate amines gave 8 a-f, which were then subjected to Boc deprotection using TFA resulting in the intermediates $\mathbf{9}$ a-f. The desired compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ a-f were obtained by reacting the intermediates 9 a-f with acryloyl chloride in acetone at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of sodium bicarbonate to yield the acrylamide derivatives $\mathbf{1 0}$ a-f.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NCCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, rt, 16h; (ii) formamidine acetate, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 h ; (iv) $\mathrm{R}^{-\mathrm{NH}_{2}}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 8 h ; (v) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCOCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Biological Results and Discussion

All synthesized acrylamide derivatives $\mathbf{4 a - 4 o}$ and 10a-10f were tested for their ability to inhibit isolated recombinant wild type EGFR kinase. This was followed by testing the cell growth inhibitory activity on cancer cell lines with wild type EGFR (breast cancer cell line SKBR3) and the gefitinib-resistant (H1975) NSCLC cell line harboring the L858R and T790M mutations. In addition, to correlate the cell growth inhibition with the mutant EGFR kinase inhibition, selected compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit EGFR autophosphorylation in mutant EGFR expressing cell line (H1975) (Table 1).

From the results, it can be seen that several compounds show significant inhibitory activity on the wild type as well as the mutant EGFR kinase which is correlated to the cell growth inhibition. Compounds like $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 f}$ were the most potent versus both cancer cell lines having mutant and wild type EGFR.

Concerning the inhibitory activity on the recombinant wild type EGFR enzyme, it was generally observed that the potent activity was accompanied with di-substitution on the 4 -aniline ring, either with dihalo or alkyl halo groups as in $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}$. In addition, it is the first time to report that replacing the usual aniline derivatives with a cyclohexyl amine as in compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ resulted in an active and potent compound on the wild type EGFR.

Table 1. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the inhibition of recombinant EGFR (active) kinase, cell growth inhibitory activity, EGFR autophosphorylation inhibition in mutant EGFR-expressing cell line. ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cpd. | IC $\mathbf{5 0}^{(n M)}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ <br> Growth inhibition |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ <br> Autophosphorylation inhibition |
|  | Recombinant EGFR kinase | $\begin{gathered} \text { SKBR3 } \\ \text { cells } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} 1975 \\ \text { cells } \end{gathered}$ | Mutant EGFR <br> (H1975) |
| 4a | 2.2 | 0.23 | 0.26 | N.D. |
| 4b | 2.1 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.036 |
| 4 c | 2.2 | 0.63 | 1.86 | N.D. |
| 4 d | 2.3 | 1.42 | 1.82 | N.D. |
| 4 e | 1.5 | 1.86 | 0.39 | 0.111 |
| 4f | 2.5 | 0.36 | 0.40 | N.D. |
| 4 g | 53.6 | 6.89 | 13.87 | 0.931 |
| 4h | 18.9 | 7.70 | 15.96 | 2.0 |
| 4 i | 2.7 | 2.82 | 0.68 | 0.275 |
| 4j | 3.2 | 1.14 | 15.69 | N.D. |
| 4k | 76.5 | 2.50 | >40 | N.D. |
| 41 | 53.3 | >40 | $>40$ | N.D. |
| 4 m | 43.7 | 4.00 | $>40$ | N.D. |
| 4 n | 9.8 | 0.39 | >40 | 4.39 |
| 40 | 3.4 | 0.40 | >40 | 2.8 |
| 10a | 3.95 | 1.4 | 33.8 | 0.28 |
| 10b | 3.71 | 2.3 | >40 | N.D. |
| 10c | 4.40 | >40 | >40 | N.D. |
| 10d | 8.73 | 3.2 | 23.8 | N.D. |
| 10e | 7.38 | 6.2 | 15.2 | 0.13 |
| 10 f | >150 | >40 | >40 | >5.0 |
| Gefitinib | 4 | 5.36 | 11.39 | 13.98 |
| I | 3.5 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.028 |

${ }^{a}$ SE $\leq 5 \%$, N.D.: Not determined.
It has also been found that ortho substitution on the 4-phenyl ring with fluorine is tolerable as in $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}$ which are the most potent compounds. Bulkier groups like "Br" or "Me" in the ortho position, as in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, is still also tolerable while the potency decreased by further increasing the chain length like with the ethyl or methoxy groups, as
in $\mathbf{4 h}$ and $\mathbf{4 g}$. In addition, extended substituents in the para position like ethyl, methoxy, sulfonamide or substituted sulfonamide generally lead to decrease in activity. This indicates that steric hindrance is a limiting factor to substituents at the ortho or para positions. Similarly, compounds with a sole ethyl substitution at the meta position, gave a more potent compound than in the para or ortho position.

Polar substituents such as the sulfonamide group was found to significantly decrease the activity, but when substituted with heterocylic rings such as the pyridine, the activity increased and resulted in highly potent compound. Furthermore, replacing the quinazoline nucleus with tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine nucleus resulted in less potent compounds.

Concerning the activity on the mutant EGFR, several substituents significantly enhanced the activity such as dihalo in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, fluoro methyl in $\mathbf{4 e}$, bromo methoxy in $4 f$ and $m$-ethyl in $\mathbf{4 i}$. Some other substituents were found to affect the mutant EGFR potency and should be avoided. This includes substituents such as sulfonamide or substituted sulfonamide anilines as well as the cyclohexylamine which destroy the activity, while bulky substitutents in the para or ortho positions such as 2,4-dimethoxy, $p$-ethyl or o-ethyl as well as the tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine derivatives significantly decrease the activity towards the mutant EGFR.

Generally, concerning the cell growth inhibitory activity, it was found that the dihalo substituted anilines at position 4 as $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are the most potent compounds. Also it was clear that replacing the methyl group in $\mathbf{4 d}$ by methoxy group in $\mathbf{4 f}$ enhanced the activity on the cellular level against both cell lines. The 3-ethyl group in $\mathbf{4 i}$ was also optimum in producing potent compound towards mutant EGFR-expressing cell line.

Docking of the most active compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 e}$ together with gefitinib and compound $\mathbf{I}$, was done to give a better understanding about their binding modes in the ATP binding site of the double mutated and wild type EGFR. Figure 2 clearly demonstrates that gefitinib as well as the most active compounds exhibit a similar binding mode as the co-crystallized ligand $\mathbf{I}$ towards the wild type EGFR. The 4-anilino substituent of all compounds accommodates the deep hydrophobic pocket of the ATPbinding site. The Michael acceptor group at position 6 of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 e}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ form a covalent interaction with the Cys797, while the side chain of gefitinib extends towards the surface of the pocket.

Figure 3 shows that compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 e}$ and $\mathbf{I}$, having a Michael acceptor group that can potentially form a covalent interaction with Cys797, exhibit a similar binding mode while gefitinib exhibit a totally different binding mode which could explain being very less active towards the double mutated EGFR. The figure also demonstrates that in the presence of a covalent interaction the 4-anilino substituent can still accommodate the back hydrophobic pocket of the mutated EGFR which was not the case with gefitinib.


Figure 2. Docked pose of compounds $\mathbf{4 a}$ "cyan", $\mathbf{4 b}$ "magenta", $\mathbf{4 e}$ "yellow", gefitinib "green" and the cocrystallized ligand I "red" in the ATP binding site of wild type EGFR (PDB entry 2J5F). All compounds exhibit a similar binding mode as the co-crystallized ligand $\mathbf{I}$. The 4 -anilino moiety of all compounds accommodates the deep hydrophobic pocket of the ATP-binding site of wild type EGFR. The position 6 side chain of compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 e}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ form a covalent interaction with residue Cys797 "grey" while that of gefitinib extends to the surface of the pocket.


Figure 3. Docked pose of compounds $\mathbf{4 a}$ "cyan", $\mathbf{4 b}$ "magenta", $\mathbf{4 e}$ "yellow", gefitinib "green" and the cocrystallized ligand I "red" in the ATP binding site of double mutated EGFR (PDB entry 3W2P). All compounds with a Michael acceptor group $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 e}, \mathbf{I}$, and potentially form a covalent interaction with Cys797 "grey" exhibit a similar binding mode while gefitinib exhibits a totally different binding mode. The 4-anilino moiety of all Michael acceptor group containing compounds accommodate the deep hydrophobic pocket of the ATP-binding site of the double mutated EGFR, while this didn't take place in case of gefitinib.

## Conclusions

A series of 6-acrylamide-4-substituted quinazoline derivatives and a series of 7-acrylamide-4-substituted tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine derivatives have been
synthesized. Several potent compounds were obtained and were able to overcome the mutation associated drug resistance. Compounds $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 f}$ were the best compromise showing potent growth inhibitory activities towards cancer cells with mutant or wild type EGFR kinase. Although it is clear that the presence of a potential covalent interaction is the limiting factor and responsible for retaining the activity towards the mutant EGFR, yet the modifications in the substituents on position 4 still have significant influence towards this inhibitory activity which should be taken into consideration to achieve highly potent compounds. Several substituents showed potent inhibitory activity against both mutant and wild type EGFR containing cancer cell lines. While, others seemed to be more potent towards either cell lines such as the $m$-ethyl in $\mathbf{4 i}$ or fluoro methyl in $\mathbf{4 e}$ were more potent towards mutant EGFR expressing cell line. Among the new findings is that substituents like the cyclohexyl amine in $\mathbf{4 0}$ as well as the pyridyl sulfonamide aniline in $4 \mathbf{n}$ resulted in active and potent compounds towards the wild type EGFR while they were not active towards the mutant EGFR. The quinazoline nucleus still remains to be among the best scaffolds since replacing it with a tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine scaffold didn't seem to be beneficial towards the EGFR inhibitory activity.

## Supporting information

## Experimental <br> Chemistry

Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to the residual protonated solvent signals. The purities of the tested compounds $4 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{p}$ and $10 \mathrm{a}-10 \mathrm{e}$ were determined by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry and were higher than $95 \%$ in all cases. Mass spectrometric analysis (HPLC-ESI-MS) was performed on a TSQ quantum (Thermo Electron Corporation) instrument equipped with an ESI source and a triple quadrupole mass detector (Thermo Finnigan). The MS detection was carried out at a spray voltage of 4.2 kV , a nitrogen sheath gas pressure of $4.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$, an auxiliary gas pressure of $1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$, a capillary temperature of $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a capillary voltage of 35 V , and a source CID of 10 V . All samples were injected by an autosampler (Surveyor, Thermo Finnigan) with an injection volume of 10 $\mu$ L. An RP C18 NUCLEODUR 100-3 (125 x 3 mm ) column (Macherey-Nagel) was used as the stationary phase. The solvent system consisted of water containing $0.1 \%$ TFA (A) and $0.1 \%$ TFA in acetonitrile (B). HPLC-Method: flow rate $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. The percentage of B started at an initial of $5 \%$, was increased up to $100 \%$ during 16 min , kept at $100 \%$ for 2 min , and flushed back to $5 \%$ in 2 min . Melting points are uncorrected and were determined on Buchi melting point apparatus (B-540). The IR spectra were measured on Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrometer.

Ethyl $N$-(2-cyano-4-nitrophenyl)formimidate (1). 5 g ( 30.6 mmol ) of 2-amino-5nitrobenzonitrile was refluxed in 50 ml of triethyl orthoformate for 24 hours in the presence of 10 drops of acetic anhydride. The reaction was then concentrated under vacuum and the remaining residue was poured on ice water where a precipitate has been formed. The ppt. was filtered under vacuum and left to dry to give compound 1. Yield $82 \%\left(5.5 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); IR: $2228.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.67$ (d, J $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36$ (q, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of N -(substituted)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2a-20). Compound 1 ( 5 mmol ) was refluxed for 1 hour with the respective amine derivative ( 5 mmol ) in 8 ml glacial acetic acid. A precipitate is formed during the reaction which is filtered on hot and the precipitate is then washed with diethyl ether to give the corresponding nitroquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{2 a - 2 0}$. If a precipitate is not formed, the solution is poured on ice water and the formed precipitate is filtered followed by washing with diethyl ether to give the corresponding nitroquinazoline derivative.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-bromo-6-fluorophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2a). Yield 67\% (1.21 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56$ (dd, $J=8.9$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=362.75 (M+H).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2b). Yield $71 \%(1.28 \mathrm{~g}$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta$ $160.60,158.60,157.48\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=254.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 154.40,146.12,131.02,129.90$, $128.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.45,120.90,120.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.47,118.37\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=9.2\right.$ Hz). 115.26. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $362.99(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-bromo-2-methylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2c). Yield $62 \%$ (1.11 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 9.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 161.08,158.93,154.46,153.48,145.91,138.83$, 138.82, 134.16, 130.83, 130.82, 130.21, 127.24, 120.95, 115.20, 18.22. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=359.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2d). Yield 65\% (1.16 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 158.53,157.48,152.96,144.50,138.02,137.21,131.95,129.34$, 126.56, 124.87, 122.02, 120.74, 118.81, 114.39, 22.59. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $358.86(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2e). Yield 67\% (0.99 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $159.85,157.94,155.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=245.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 152.95,144.50,129.48,129.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=\right.$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.68,125.87,125.24\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.05\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.80$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.94,113.94,14.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 298.95 (M+H).
$N$-(4-bromo-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2f). Yield 75\% (1.4 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.58 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.55 (dd, $J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 158.59,157.46,155.19,152.99,144.55,139.35,132.37,130.59$, 129.57, 129.36, 126.62, 120.67, 115.88, 107.08, 56.14. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=374.73 (M $+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2g). Yield 70\% (1.14 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 160.40,159.11,158.16,155.24,153.04,144.24,129.24,128.88,126.40$, $120.90,119.02,114.01,104.62,99.24,55.60,55.40$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z $=327.15(\mathrm{M}+$ H).
$N$-(2-ethylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2h). Yield $66 \%$ ( 0.97 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 160.51,158.20$, 153.07, 144.49, 140.91, 135.94, 129.40, 128.90, 128.29, 127.43, 126.70, 126.55, 120.98, 114.02, 24.11, 14.35.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(3-ethylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2i). Yield $69 \%$ ( 1.01 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 159.95,158.71,154.53$,
145.94, 145.60, 139.63, 130.90, 129.40, 127.13, 125.00, 122.80, 120.90, 120.67, 115.60, 29.48, 15.97.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-ethylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2j). Yield $67 \%$ ( 0.98 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49$ (dd, $J$ $=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.88,157.83,153.12,144.48,140.30,136.00,129.44,127.85,126.57,123.15$, 120.86, 114.40, 27.81, 15.74.

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (2k). Yield 78\% (1.34 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.57 (dd, $J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.72,157.40,153.03$, $144.68,141.53,139.28,129.63,126.76,126.30,122.17,120.83,114.44$. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=346.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-carbamimidoyl-4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (21). Yield $75 \% ~\left(1.45 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.71,158.13,157.47,153.04,144.66,141.00,139.86,129.62,126.77$, 126.23, 122.07, 120.87, 114.46. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=387.87 (M+H).

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)- N -(thiazol-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (2m). Yield $73 \%$ ( 1.56 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.72$ (s, 1H), $10.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 168.80, 158.66, 157.40, 153.04, 144.69, 141.82, 137.32, 129.66, 126.80, 126.55, 124.51, 122.06, 120.87, 114.47, 108.19. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $428.79(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)- N -(pyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (2n). Yield $75 \%$ ( 1.58 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66$ (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (ddd, $J$ $=8.9,7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.0,5.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.64,157.35,153.03,144.70,141.98,140.23,140.21$, $136.69,136.67,129.65,127.35,126.79,121.94,120.84,115.72,114.48,113.65$. LC/MS $(+$ ESI): m/z = $423.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-cyclohexyl-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (20). Yield 55\% (0.74 g, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 160.76,159.34,154.42,145.33,130.43,126.73,120.63,115.20$, 51.30, 33.05, 26.39, 26.04. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=273.17(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compunds (3a-3o). According to the reported procedure, ${ }^{1}$ a mixture of the respective nitroquinazoline derivative $\mathbf{2 a - 2 0}$ ( 3 mmol ) and stannous chloride ( 15 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at reflux for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The excess MeOH was removed under reduced pressure; the remaining residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 200 ml ) and basified with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting mixture was filtrated under vacuum followed by separation of the organic phase from the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), these organic fractions were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding aminoquinazoline derivatives 3a-30.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(2-bromo-6-fluorophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3a). Yield 75\% ( 0.75 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ (dd, $J=8.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $5.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z $=332.85(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3b). Yield $78 \%$ ( 0.78 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 156.47,156.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}\right.$ $=251.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 149.85,147.34,142.55,128.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{C-F}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.61,127.35\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}\right.$ $=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.97\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.87,119.12\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=23.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{F}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .116 .35,100.82$. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=332.84(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromo-2-methylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3c). Yield $78 \%$ ( 0.77 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.47 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 157.84,151.60,148.04,144.58,138.37$, $137.05,133.76,130.29,129.87,128.81,124.41,118.54,117.41,101.75,18.21$. LC/MS $(+$ ESI): m/z = $329.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3d). Yield $80 \%$ ( 0.79 g , solid);
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 155.80,149.68,147.26,142.65,139.54,136.84,131.74,128.67$, $123.79,123.68,120.98,116.91,116.68,100.96,22.68$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(2-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3e). Yield $82 \%$ ( 0.66 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.15-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 156.88,155.13\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=245.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 150.11,147.20,142.48,128.57,127.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{F}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.92\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.26,124.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.66$, $123.49\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.34,101.00,14.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. LC/MS $(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=268.97(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromo-3-methoxyphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3f). Yield $83 \%$ ( 0.86 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.48,155.17,148.32,148.12,140.05,138.45,132.28,126.07$, 124.36, 116.33, 115.63, 106.92, 104.50, 101.23, 56.09. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $344.88(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3g). Yield $80 \%\left(0.70 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (d, $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $157.45,156.80,153.54,150.29,147.10,142.16,128.58,126.49,123.24,121.01,116.26$, 104.29, 100.56, 99.01, 55.72, 55.33. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $297.19(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(2-ethylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3h). Yield 79\% ( 0.62 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26$ (m, 3H), $7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 157.69,150.40,147.01,142.17,140.61,137.17$, 128.47, 128.39, 128.18, 126.27, 126.11, 123.36, 116.07, 101.16, 24.08, 14.09.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(3-ethylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3i). Yield $77 \%\left(0.61 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.08$, 149.93, 147.22, 143.85, 142.55, 139.93, 128.64, 128.24, 123.54, 122.42, 121.06, 119.27, 116.72, 101.16, 28.34, 15.63. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $265.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(4-ethylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3j). Yield $78 \%$ ( 0.62 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.25$ (s), $8.29(\mathrm{~s}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}), 2.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 156.09,149.95$, 147.10, $142.51,138.35,137.51,128.57,127.53,123.43,121.95,116.61,101.16,27.66,15.78$.

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (3k). Yield $82 \% \quad(0.77 \mathrm{~g}$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta$ 155.64, 149.45, 147.46, 143.17, 142.82, 137.43, 128.73, 126.24, 123.98, 120.52, 116.82, 100.81. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=316.15 (M+H).

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-carbamimidoylbenzenesulfonamide (31). Yield $85 \%$ ( 0.91 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.04,155.66,149.52,147.40,142.82,142.60,138.03,128.73,126.12$, 123.90, 120.41, 116.81, 100.86.

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-(thiazol-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (3m). Yield $79 \% ~\left(0.94 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 155.60, 149.36, 147.48, 144.70, 135.47, 128.61, 126.49, 124.41, 124.00, 122.07, 121.54, 120.47, 116.83, 108.00, 100.82 .

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-(pyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (3n). Yield $83 \% ~\left(0.97 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (ddd, $J=8.7,7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.1,5.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.56, 152.86, 149.34, 147.54, 143.71, $142.72,139.89,134.51,128.66,127.42,124.07,122.00,120.39,116.88,116.06,113.31$, 100.79. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $392.92(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-cyclohexylquinazoline-4,6-diamine (3o). Yield $80 \%$ ( 0.58 g , solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=42.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=42.8,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=33.1,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=36.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.02-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): \delta 158.45,152.40,147.24,143.95,129.83,123.51,102.34$, 84.10, 50.28, 33.49, 26.52, 26.09. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=243.21(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (4a-4j, 40). A mixture of the corresponding aminoquinazoline derivative $\mathbf{3 a - 3 j}$, $\mathbf{3 o}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone ( 10 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. This is then followed by dropwise addition of acryloyl chloride ( 1.3 mmol ) and then was stirred for 30 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was neutralized using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The formed solid was then filtered and the purified using column chromatography with ethylacetate as eluent.

## General procedure for the synthesis of compounds ( $4 \mathrm{k}-4 \mathrm{n}$ ).

Same above procedure except that the solvent used in the reaction was DMF instead of acetone and the eluent in column chromatography was Dichloromethane:Methanol 100:5.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((2-bromo-6-fluorophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4a). Yield $56 \%$ ( 0.21 g , solid); m.p. $303-304^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.78$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $386.99(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4b). Yield $58 \%$ ( 0.22 g , solid); m.p. $234-236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.67-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=386.99(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-bromo-2-methylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4c). Yield $59 \%$ ( 0.22 g , solid); m.p. $261-262^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.17 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.29,158.44,153.60$, $146.55,137.62,137.14,136.40,132.77,131.59,129.38,128.96,128.33,127.29,126.93$, 118.41, 115.00, 112.28, 17.77. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=383.03 (M+H).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4d). Yield $63 \%$ $\left(0.24 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); m.p. $296-297^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.47$ (s, 1H), 9.83 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.53 (dd, $J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.37 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 163.30, 157.37, 153.23, 146.73, 138.97, 136.96, 136.51, 131.81, 131.55, 128.43, 127.39, 127.15, 124.57, 121.71, 117.73, 115.44, 112.38, 22.65. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = 383.05 (M+H).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((2-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4e). Yield 65\% ( 0.21 g , solid); m.p. $229-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.75$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ (dd, $J=17.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.34 (dd, $J=17.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.83 (dd, $J=10.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 163.31,158.37,155.30\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=\right.$ 246.1 Hz ), 153.57, 146.55, 136.47, 131.58, 128.41, 128.36, 127.32, 126.96, 126.49 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.46,124.72\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .115 .06$, $112.22,14.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{C-F}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=323.18(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-bromo-3-methoxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4f). Yield $62 \%$ $\left(0.25 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); m.p. $268-269^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.33$, 157.28, 155.13, 153.16, 146.74, 140.38, 136.58, 132.22, 131.53, 128.49, 127.45, 127.18, 115.50, 115.35, 112.27, 106.60, 103.98, 56.04. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $399.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4g). Yield 68\% (0.24 g, solid); m.p. $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.45$ (s, 1H), $9.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.32$, 158.46, 158.10, 154.31, 153.77, 146.38, 136.29, 131.62, 128.28, 127.40, 127.25, 126.68, 120.47, $115.04,111.94,104.41,99.13,55.68,55.35$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $351.18(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((2-ethylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4h). Yield $61 \%$ ( 0.19 g , solid); m.p. $148-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=17.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.84,159.56,154.32,146.90,141.22$,
137.33, 136.77, 132.01, 129.05, 128.68, 127.89, 127.42, 127.11, 126.70, 115.38, 112.90, 112.87, 24.54, 14.60. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $319.21(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(4-((3-ethylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4i). Yield 65\% (0.21 g, solid); m.p. $216-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (dd, $J=7.6,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.28,157.56,153.41,146.71,143.88$, 139.34, $136.36,131.58,128.34,128.22,127.32,127.05,123.03,121.68,119.88,115.45,112.58$, 28.23, 15.50. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=319.19 (M+H).
$N$-(4-((4-ethylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4j). Yield 63\% (0.20 g, solid); m.p. $229-230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.77 (d, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.28,157.59$, 153.44, 146.68, 139.05, 136.95, 136.32, 131.58, 128.31, 127.58, 127.31, 127.00, 122.60, 115.40, 112.56, 27.67, 15.71. MS (+ESI): m/z = $319.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-sulfamoylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4k). Yield 59\% (0.22 g, solid); m.p. $269-271{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.52$ (s, 1H), 10.09 (s, 1H), $8.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.85 (dd, $J=10.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $163.38,157.32,153.06,146.86,142.61,138.19,136.72,131.50,128.54,127.52,127.32$, 126.23, 121.40, 115.57, 112.24. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=370.09 (M+H).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-( $N$-carbamimidoylsulfamoyl)phenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (41). Yield, $55 \%$ ( 0.23 g , solid); m.p. $282-284^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.51$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94$ (dd, $J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.35,158.07,157.32,153.11,146.84$, $142.02,138.80,136.68,131.53,128.50,127.46,127.28,126.11,121.28,115.55,112.30$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=412.10 (M+H).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((4-( $\boldsymbol{N}$-(thiazol-2-yl)sulfamoyl)phenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4m). Yield $60 \% ~\left(0.27 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); m.p. $279-280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.29$ (s,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12$ (dd, $J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 163.36,162.27,157.31,153.04,146.86,142.64,138.18,136.74,131.52,128.54$, 127.48, 127.30, 126.23, 121.39, 116.20, 116.17, 115.59, 112.28. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $453.13(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(4-((4-( $N$-(pyridin-2-yl)sulfamoyl)phenyl)amino) quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (4n). Yield $63 \%$ ( 0.28 g , solid); m.p. $210-212^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.78$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.82-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 166.25,159.27,154.50$, 147.75, 144.40, 144.22, 141.75, 141.69, 138.32, 137.38, 132.07, 129.07, 128.90, 128.79, 128.44, 122.63, 117.20, 117.03, 115.83, 112.73. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=447.14(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-(cyclohexylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)acrylamide (40). Yield $68 \%(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$, solid); m.p. $182-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ $-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.13,158.25$, $154.10,146.21,135.58,131.62,127.94,127.06,126.52,114.99,112.82,49.35,31.89$, 25.37, 25.07. LC/MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=297.21(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

6-tert-butyl 3-ethyl 2-amino-4,5-dihydrothieno[2,3-c]pyridine-3,6(7H)-dicarboxylate (5). According to the reported procedure. ${ }^{2}$
tert-butyl 4-oxo-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine-7(8H)carboxylate (6). According to the reported procedure. ${ }^{2}$
tert-butyl 4-chloro-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidine-7(8H)carboxylate (7). According to the reported procedure. ${ }^{2}$

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (8a-8f).
A mixture of $7(3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding amine ( 3.2 mmol ) in 1 ml ethanol was refluxed for 8 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was partitioned between water and dichloromethane; the organic layer separated, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of Dichloromethane:Methanol (100:3) to give compounds 8a-8e.
tert-butyl 4-((2-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3 -d d]pyrimidine-7(8H)-carboxylate (8a). Yield $53 \%(0.66 \mathrm{~g}$, solid); LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $414.65(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-butyl 4-((4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3 -d $d$ pyrimidine- $\mathbf{7 ( 8 H}$ )-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). Yield $50 \% ~\left(0.72 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.61(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $478.62(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-butyl 4-((4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2, 3- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ] pyrimidine-7(8H)-carboxylate (8c). Yield $46 \% ~\left(0.65 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (t, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z $=474.61(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-butyl 4-((3-ethylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d] pyrimidine-7(8H)-carboxylate (8d). Yield $48 \%\left(0.59 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $410.67(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-butyl 4-((4-ethylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4', 3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d] pyrimidine-7(8H)-carboxylate (8e). Yield $47 \%\left(0.57 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z $=410.72(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-butyl 4-(cyclohexylamino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine-7 $\mathbf{( 8 H )}$-carboxylate (8f). Yield $42 \% ~\left(0.49 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.39$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z=388.66 (M+H).

## General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (9a-9f).

To a mixture of the corresponding intermediate $\mathbf{8 a - 8 f}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) ( 1 mL ) and then warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h , removed the solvent under vacuum, and neutralized the residue by slow addition of sodium bicarbonate solution and then
extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer separated, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give $\mathbf{9 a - 9 f}$ and they were used directly for the next step without further purification.

| Compound | 9a | 9b | 9c | 9d | 9e | 9f |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Yield | 89 | 82 | 86 | 94 | 83 | 85 |
| Amount $(\mathrm{g})$ | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.36 |
| Physical State | solid | solid | solid | solid | solid | solid |
| LC/MS(+ESI): <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})=$ | 314.80 | 378.48 | 374.59 | 310.80 | 310.89 | 288.97 |

## General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (10a-10f).

A mixture of the corresponding intermediate 9a-9f (1 mmol) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (1.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen atmosphere. This is then followed by dropwise addition of acryloyl chloride ( 1.3 mmol ) and then was stirred for 30 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was neutralized using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The formed solid was then filtered and the purified using column chromatography using a mixture of dichloromethane:methanol (100:1) as eluent.

1-(4-((2-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7( $\mathbf{8 H}$ )-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10a). Yield $25 \%$ ( 92 mg , solid); m.p. 189$190^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $23.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45$ $-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=44.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=49.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=368.73(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-((4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-

 d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10b). Yield $28 \%$ ( 121 mg , solid); m.p. 231$233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{t}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=44.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=44.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 432.46 (M+H).
## 1-(4-((4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-

 d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10c). Yield $30 \%$ ( 128 mg , solid); m.p. 216$218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=38.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{t}, J=14.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=45.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=43.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z = $428.63(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.1-(4-((3-ethylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-
7(8H)-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10d). Yield $22 \%$ ( 80 mg , solid); m.p. $105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=37.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=45.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=46.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $364.70(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-((4-ethylphenyl)amino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-

 7(8H)-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10e). Yield $26 \%$ ( 94 mg , solid); m.p. 201-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=36.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=44.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=45.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (+ESI): m/z $=364.75(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.1-(4-(cyclohexylamino)-5,6-dihydropyrido[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7(8H)-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (10f). Yield $23 \%$ ( 78 mg , solid); m.p. $150-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=38.8,16.8,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.6$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS $(+$ ESI): m/z = $342.95(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## Biological screening

 Cell Culture and PlatingCancer cell lines cultured included cell lines with wild type EGFR (SKBR-3 mammary carcinoma) and with mutant EGFR (H1975). Both cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ humidified incubator with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and subcultured twice weekly. Only cultures exhibiting greater than $95 \%$ viability were used in any experiment (determined by trypan blue exclusion). Cells were seeded in 96 -well standard assay plates at a density of 5,000 cells/well for growth assays and 10,000 cells/well in optical quality PerkinElmer ViewPlate for immunofluorescence, then allowed to acclimate overnight before compound addition or stimulation with EGF.

## Cytoblot Assay ${ }^{3}$

Serial dilutions of each compound were added to at least 3 replicate wells each 30 min prior to EGF stimulation ( $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Each plate included a positive control (Iressa, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and negative control (DMSO). Cytoblot assays were conducted in H1975 (EGF mutant) cell line. Phosphorylated EGFR was specifically detected (Cell Signaling Technology anti-PY1068 rabbit monoclonal antibody) to quantify the level of receptor
autophosphorylation in response to EGF stimulation. Secondary goat anti-rabbit conjugate labeled with horseradish peroxidase enzyme was added, followed by addition of enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (ECL; Pierce Pico West). The resulting luminescence was quantitated using a Molecular Devices Paradigm multilabel microplate reader. Raw luminescence data were plotted to generate dose response curves and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

## Growth Assay

SKBR3 and H1975 cells were treated with 8 concentrations of inhibitors ranging from 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ to 8 nM (specifially, the doses tested were $50 \mathrm{uM}, 25 \mathrm{uM}, 10 \mathrm{uM}, 5 \mathrm{uM}, 1 \mathrm{uM}, 0.2 \mathrm{uM}$, 0.04 uM , and 0.008 uM ) followed by EGF stimulation ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) 1 h later. Cells were incubated for an additional 72 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Relative cell growth was determined by addition of Promega CellTiter Glo luciferase-based measure of ATP content, and the resulting luminescence was measured using a Molecular Devices Spectramax Paradigm microplate reader in luminescence mode. Growth inhibition data were analyzed using DMSO as a baseline (negative control equal to $0 \%$ growth inhibition) with GraphPad Prism curve fitting software. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are representative of the results at least two independent concentration-response experiments with three replicates per concentration.

## EGFR kinase phosphorylation assay

Phosphorylation assays were performed in a final volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing 8 mM MOPS ( pH 7.0 ), 0.2 mM EDTA, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl2} ,200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate peptide, 0.25 mM DTT, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, 10 ng EGFR-Kinase (Cat. No. 40187, BPS Bioscience), 10 mM magnesium acetate, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \gamma$-[32P]ATP, and inhibitors at different concentrations or DMSO control ( $1.25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Reactions were started by the addition of the magnesium acetate/ATP mixture. After 30 min incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each reaction was spotted on phosphocellulose P81 paper (Whatman). The P81 paper was then washed 5 times with 50 mM phosphoric acid for 15 min , dried and exposed to a phosphorimager screen, which was scanned and densitometrically analyzed the next day. The sequence of the substrate peptide was derived from phospholipase $\mathrm{C}-\gamma 1$ and had the sequence "KHKKLAEGSAYEEV", according to Fry et al. ${ }^{4}$

## Molecular modeling

The proteins used for the docking was downloaded from the protein data bank (PDB $2 \mathrm{~J} 5 \mathrm{~F}, 3 \mathrm{~W} 2 \mathrm{P}$ ). The proteins were first prepared for docking using MOE software where the proteins were protonated and saved for docking. The ligands were drawn on MOE and energy minimized and then saved as "mol2" file. Docking was done using GOLD software, where the proteins are first prepared by removing the water molecules and extracting the co-crystallized ligands. The docking of the compounds included a covalent interaction which was done by specifying the atoms in the ligand and the protein that will covalently bind together and then docking was done using CHEMPLP as the scoring
function and Goldscore as a rescoring function. The viewing of the results was done using PyMOL software and the side chains from the docked molecules were hidden to facilitate the viewing process.
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## Paper II


#### Abstract

A group of novel anilinoquinazoline derivatives, with variable aryl and heterocyclic substituents at position 6, have been synthesized and tested for their EGFR inhibitory activity. The aryl and heterocyclic rings have been attached to the quinazoline scaffold through different linkages such as an imine, amide and thiourea. Most of the aryl and heterocyclic derivatives showed potent inhibition of wild-type EGFR with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 's in the low nanomolar range. Among these, the thiourea derivatives $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 b}$ and compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ retained significant activity also towards the Gefitinib-insensitive EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M/L858R }}$ mutant, displaying an up to 24 -fold stronger potency than Gefitinib. In addition, cell growth inhibitory activity has been tested versus cancer cell lines with wild-type (KB cells) and mutant EGFR (H1975). Several compounds such as $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{1 1 e}, 11 \mathbf{i}$ and 11j were more potent than the reference compound Gefitinib towards both cell lines, and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ towards H1975 cells. Hence, in particular 6a and 10b might serve as new leads for the development of inhibitors effective against wild-type EGFR and Gefitinib-resistant mutants.


## Introduction

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a membrane bound tyrosine kinase involved in cellular signaling transduction pathways that regulate essential functions such as proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. ${ }^{1}$ EGFR was observed to be overexpressed in several types of cancers such as the non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) which is among the most common causes of cancer-related death. ${ }^{2,3}$ Therefore, EGFR inhibition has been approved as an important target in cancer therapy. ${ }^{4-6}$
Several small molecules inhibiting the EGFR kinase activity such as Gefitinib, Erlotinib and Lapatinib (Figure 1), were designed to bind to the ATP binding pocket and have been used in cancer therapy. ${ }^{7}$ These molecules belong to the 4 -anilinoquinazoline class, ${ }^{8,9}$ along with 4 -anilino-3-quinolinecarbonitrile scaffold are the best known classes for the
development of EGFR inhibitors. ${ }^{10,11}$ In addition, several irreversible inhibitors having a Michael acceptor functional group such as I (Figure 1) were designed to bind covalently with the sulfhydryl group of the Cys 797 of EGFR. ${ }^{12,13}$ Although some irreversible kinase inhibitors have been advanced to clinical studies, the clinical usefulness of these compounds has been hampered mainly by toxicity and pharmacokinetic problems. ${ }^{14}$ Also, the emergence of resistant EGFR mutants limits their efficacy. Therefore, the search of new potent inhibitors which retain activity towards mutated EGFR kinase remains an important and challenging goal.





Figure 1. Reversible and irreversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
In order to develop EGFR inhibitors with improved efficacy, we designed and synthesized novel quinazoline derivatives with several modifications in the position 6 side chain. These modifications included the introduction of different aryl and heterocyclic rings with different linkages to the 4 -anilinoquinazoline scaffold. The different linker types included the imine, amide and thiourea function. We aimed at testing the effect of different aryl groups attached to the linkers at position 6 on the efficacy in EGFR -wild-type and -mutant tumor cell lines and towards the corresponding recombinant EGFR kinases.

## Results and Discussion

## Chemistry

Synthesis of the quinazoline nucleus was done through the formimidate derivative $\mathbf{1}$ which was obtained by refluxing of 2-amino-5-nitrobenzonitrile with triethyl orthoformate in the presence of drops of acetic anhydride (Scheme 1). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was confirmed from its IR spectrum showing a band at $2228.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the existence of the $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ group.

The formimidate derivative 1, was refluxed in acetic acid with 3-bromoaniline to yield the nitroquinazoline derivative 2 . The cyclization was confirmed from the IR spectrum by the disappearance of the $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ group. The suggested mechanism for the formation of the quinazoline nucleus from the formimidate derivative $\mathbf{1}$ is through Dimroth rearrangement as reported for a similar derivative. ${ }^{15}$ Reduction for the nitroquinazoline derivative $\mathbf{2}$ was done by refluxing it with stannous chloride in methanol to yield the aminoquinazoline derivative $\mathbf{3}$ (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) TEOF, (Ac) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 24h; (ii) 3-bromoaniline, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, reflux, 1h; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h .

Different side chains have been introduced to position 6 of the quinazoline scaffold through different linkages. Several imine derivatives were synthesized by refluxing different aryl aldehydes with compound $\mathbf{3}$ in ethanol. A precipitate was formed during the reaction which was filtered while hot, yielding compounds $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{- 4}$. Reaction of compound 3 with thiophosgene gave the isothiocyanate derivative 5 which was stirred in DMF with different amines to give compounds $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) Ar-CHO, Ethanol, reflux, 8h; (ii) $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}$; (iii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt, 16h.

Upon stirring of compound $\mathbf{3}$ with chloroacetyl chloride or chloropropionyl chloride in acetone at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the intermediates $\mathbf{7}$ or $\mathbf{8}$, respectively, were formed. Compounds $\mathbf{9 a - b}$ were obtained upon refluxing compound 7 in methanol with the respective amine, while compounds $\mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{b}$ were synthesized by refluxing the intermediate $\mathbf{8}$ in ethanol with the respective amine in presence of TEA. In addition, different amide derivatives 11a-k were obtained by stirring of the respective aryl or heterocyclic acid chloride with compound $\mathbf{3}$ in acetone at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Scheme 3)

(7) $n=1$

(11)

(11a) $R=4$-aminophenyl
(11b) $R=4$-cyanophenyl
(11c) $\mathrm{R}=4$-acetamidophenyl
(11d) $R=4$-nitrophenyl
(11e) $R=3,5$-dinitrophenyl
(11f) $R=4$-methoxyphenyl
(11g) $R=3,4$-dimethoxyphenyl
(11h) $R=4$-morpholyl
(11i) $R=2$-furyl
(11j) $\mathrm{R}=3$-pyridyl
(11k) $R=4$-pyridyl


(10b) $n=2, R=$

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}$ or $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO} 3$, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (ii) R- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ or EtOH , TEA, reflux, 8 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO} 3$, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Biological screening

All final compounds $\mathbf{4 a - 4 e}$, $\mathbf{6 a}-\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{9 a - b}, \mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{b}$ and 11a-k were tested for their inhibitory potency towards isolated recombinant wild-type and double mutated (T790M/L858R) EGFR kinase as well as towards cell lines growing dependent on either wild-type EGFR (KB cells) or the same double mutant EGFR (H1975 cells). The new compounds were screened at 150 nM towards the recombinant wild-type EGFR kinase, and $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ were determined for compounds showing more than $85 \%$ inhibition in the primary screening. In the case of the Gefitinib-insensitive mutant, the primary screening concentration had to be raised to $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The primary screening dose versus the cell lines was $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and compounds reaching more than $60 \%$ inhibition were selected for the determination of exact $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

From the results in Table 1, it can be seen that the presence of aryl or heterocylic rings in the side chain at position 6 of the quinazoline can give rise to potent EGFR
inhibitors. Concerning the wild-type cell free assay for the aryl imine derivatives, it was observed that ortho and meta substitutions on the phenyl ring gave slightly more potent derivatives than those having a para substitution, as exemplified by the $o$-methoxy $\mathbf{4 e}$ and the $m$-nitro-compound $\mathbf{4 c}$. However, the structure-activity relationships (SAR) of the substitution pattern in the cell free assay were found to be rather flat for this compound class; interestingly, though, there were substantial differences in the cell-based assays (Table 2 and see below).

Table 1. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the $\%$ inhibition of recombinant wild-type and double mutated EGFR (active) kinase. ${ }^{[a]}$

| Comp. | Recombinant wild-type EGFR kinase |  | Recombinant double mutated (T790M/L858R) EGFR kinase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% inhibition at $150 \mathrm{nM}^{\text {[b] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{[\mathrm{cc}]} \end{aligned}$ | \% inhibition at $8 \mu \mathrm{M}^{[b]}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ |
| 4a | 92.2 | 15.3 | 9.6 | N.D. |
| 4b | 90.9 | 17 | 10.3 | N.D. |
| 4 c | 90.4 | 13.3 | 13.5 | N.D. |
| 4 d | 91.8 | 16.2 | 10.2 | N.D. |
| 4 e | 91 | 10.7 | 1.9 | N.D. |
| 6a | 86.1 | 17.2 | 95.3 | 290 |
| 6 b | 91.8 | 10.7 | 86.4 | 1020 |
| 9 a | 96 | 5.2 | 14.8 | N.D. |
| 9 b | 64.2 | N.D. | 0 | N.D. |
| 10a | 91 | 11.8 | 22.0 | N.D. |
| 10b | 90.1 | 23.1 | 93.1 | 480 |
| 11a | 93.5 | 11.9 | 0 | N.D. |
| 11b | 84.5 | N.D. | 0 | N.D. |
| 11c | 92.6 | 12.8 | 3.7 | N.D. |
| 11d | 85.6 | 61.8 | 0 | N.D. |
| 11 e | 80.9 | N.D. | 0 | N.D. |
| 11f | 83.8 | N.D. | 0 | N.D. |
| 11 g | 88.7 | 19.5 | 0 | N.D. |
| 11h | 89.6 | 25.3 | 0.9 | N.D. |
| 11 i | 96.9 | 8.4 | 6.4 | N.D. |
| 11j | 88.7 | 19.8 | 0 | N.D. |
| 11k | 91.1 | 17.5 | 0 | N.D. |
| Gefitinib | 93.2 | 4 | 53.6 | 7200 |

[a] $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are representative of at least two independent concentration-response experiments performed in triplicate per concentration. [b] S.E. $\leq 7 \%$. [c] S.E. $\leq 5 \%$. [d] N.D.: Not determined.

For the amide derivatives 11a-k, it was found that the most potent was the 5membered heterocyclic furyl derivative 11i; however, phenyl derivatives with polar substituents were also tolerated with only little loss of potency toward the purified
enzyme (cf. 11a and 11c). The 6-membered heterocyclic derivatives showed further reduced potency while the least active were the heterocylcoalkyl $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ and the phenyl derivatives with rather lipophilic substituents 11d-f.

Table 2. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the cell growth inhibitory activity.

| Comp. | Cell Growth Inhibition $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{[\mathrm{ax]}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KB cells | H1975 cells |
| 4a | $17.8 \pm 1.3$ | $16 \pm 1.1$ |
| 4b | $50.4 \% \pm 4.5 \%$ @ $25 \mu \mathrm{M}^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $>40$ |
| 4 c | $66.7 \% \pm 11.6 \%$ @ $50 \mu \mathrm{M}^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $>40$ |
| 4 d | >40 | $>40$ |
| 4 e | $47.2 \% \pm 4.6 \%$ @ $50 \mu \mathrm{M}^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $>40$ |
| 6a | $9.02 \pm 1.03$ | $18 \pm 1.1$ |
| 6 b | $29.8 \pm 1.2$ | $35 \pm 1.1$ |
| 9a | $14.6 \pm 1.1$ | $27.9 \pm 1.2$ |
| 9 b | $24.8 \pm 1.1$ | $>40$ |
| 10a | N.D. ${ }^{\text {[c] }}$ | N.D. ${ }^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ |
| 10b | $33.6 \pm 1.2$ | $20.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| 11a | $16.4 \pm 1.2$ | $>40$ |
| 11b | $26.02 \pm 1.3$ | $>40$ |
| 11c | $39.9 \pm 1.1$ | $>40$ |
| 11d | $26.2 \pm 1.1$ | $>40$ |
| 11e | $14.7 \pm 1.0$ | $17.9 \pm 1.1$ |
| 11f | $>40$ | $>40$ |
| 11 g | $>40$ | $>40$ |
| 11h | $>40$ | >40 |
| 11i | $12.3 \pm 1.1$ | $14.3 \pm 1.2$ |
| 11j | $12.04 \pm 1.1$ | $22.35 \pm 1.1$ |
| 11k | $19.8 \pm 1.2$ | $>40$ |
| Gefitinib | $19.5 \pm 1.1$ | $31.2 \pm 1.0$ |

[a] $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\pm$ S.D.) are representative of the results at least two independent concentration-response experiments performed in triplicate per concentration. [b] Full curves could not be established. Maximum \% inhibition $\pm$ S.D. [c] N.D.: Not determined.

By introducing some extensions at the position 6 side chain through the thiourea linkage, it became evident that the heterocycloalkyl derivative $\mathbf{6 b}$ was more potent than the aryl derivative 6a in the biochemical testing. Furthermore, the amino alkyl amide linker type was very well accepted by the enzyme in spite of its increased length; in this
compound class, the unsubstituted benzyl derivative $9 \mathbf{a}$ was the most potent followed by the heterocycloalkyl derivative 10a and finally the substituted aryl derivatives $9 \mathbf{b}$ and 10b.

Next we wanted to test whether the high potencies against wild-type EGFR observed for some of the new compounds in the biochemical assay would also translate in a corresponding inhibition of cell-growth. Indeed, as can be seen from Table 2, the presence of aryl or heteroaryl groups in the position 6 side chain resulted in compounds showing higher potency than Gefitinib towards both the EGFR wild-type (KB) and the double mutant cancer cell line (H1975). This was clearly observed with the amide derivatives having heterocyclic rings such as $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{j}$, the nitrophenyl amide derivative 11e and the benzylthiourea derivative 6a. It was generally observed that in spite of sometimes comparable cell free potencies, both the type of the linker and the aryl or heterocycle in the position 6 side chain greatly determined the activity in the EGFR wild-type and the mutant cancer cell line. Of note, we were able to identify combinations which led to efficient growth inhibition of both cell lines; with respect to the linker, the amide and thiourea function yielded those inhibitors which preserved best their cell free potencies even in the EGFR mutant cell line (cf. 6a, 11i and 11j). However, the nature of the aryl or heterocyclic ring was at least equally important, as it controlled the cellular activity in general but also the ratio of growth inhibition between the wild-type and mutant cell line (compare $\mathbf{4 a}$ with $\mathbf{4 c}$, 11a with 11i). The most favorable scaffolds with respect to cell growth inhibition in both cell lines comprised the amide derivatives linked to heterocyclic rings ( $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 j} \mathbf{j}$ ).

Since it was an important goal of the present study to identify novel lead compounds which preserve efficacy against Gefitinib-insensitive mutated EGFR as a major biological activity, we screened all compounds against recombinant EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R/T790M }}$ double mutant. In agreement with earlier findings, ${ }^{16}$ a considerable loss of potency was noted for Gefitinib towards this clinically relevant mutant; under the conditions of our kinase assay, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ increased from 4 nM to more than $7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1). Since our diversification at the quinazoline 6-position was expected to provide additional functions to interact with residues outside the ATP-binding site or with hydrophobic areas within (cf. docking results below), we anticipated that the potency of at least some compounds would be less strongly affected by the T790M mutation. It turned out that the activity screening against the EGFR double mutant functioned as a highly stringent filter, clearly identifying the most promising modifications of the quinazoline scaffold. These comprised both thiourea derivatives ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ ), and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$, which carried a thiazole sulfonamide moiety (Table 1). Although 6a exhibited a 17 -fold reduction of potency towards the double mutated EGFR relative to the wild-type, this was moderate compared to the 1800 -fold reduction observed with Gefitinib. Consistent with the relative potencies of the three active compounds towards the purified EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R/T790M }}$ double mutant, $\mathbf{6 a}$ conserved best the growth inhibitory activity in the H1975 cells, closely followed by 10b (Table 2). 6b was clearly less potent than its congener $\mathbf{6 a}$ in this cell line, but since this
was also observed before in the EGFR wild-type cells, it might be attributable to a lower cell permeability of $\mathbf{6 b}$. Altogether, the preliminary SAR clearly suggest that modifications in the position 6 side chain can have a significant role in modulating the activity towards the mutant enzyme. The thiourea linker was more effective in retaining the activity when bound to the benzyl group ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ ) than to the hydrophilic morpholine ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) (Table 1), which suggests that hydrophobic interactions involving this molecule part could contribute to the binding affinity. In addition, 10b, possessing a more hydrophilic side chain which might reach to other interaction sites, might represent an interesting alternative scaffold.

However, it became also evident that all other compounds of our series were nearly inactive towards the double mutated EGFR kinase, suggesting that inhibition of H1975 cell growth by some compounds such as $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ is due to off-target effects. The targets remain to be identified but might comprise e.g. further kinases. It might be the goal of future studies to identify the potentially interesting biological activity spectrum of these compounds which enables inhibition of cancer cell growth independent of the EGFR mutation status.


Figure 2. 3D Molecular surface map showing the docked poses of the most active compounds $9 \mathbf{a}$ (yellow) and $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ (blue) in the wild-type EGFR complexed with the reversible ATP competitive drug Gefitinib (red) (PDB entry 2ITY). All compounds show a similar binding mode for the 4 -anilino quinazoline core. While the 4-anilino substituent is accommodated by the deep hydrophobic pocket, the position 6 side chain is interacting with hydrophobic regions at the exterior border of the ATP binding site. Surface color codes: green, hydrophobic areas; pink, hydrophilic regions.

An in silico docking of the most potent compounds 9 a and $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ in the active site of the wild-type EGFR complexed with the reversible ATP competitive drug Gefitinib (PDB entry 2ITY) ${ }^{17}$ predicted that the compounds might exhibit a binding conformation similar to that of Gefitinib (Figure 2). Thus it seems clear that the presence of aryl or heterocyclic rings in the position 6 side chain is tolerable and does not affect the binding mode of the quinazoline-based compounds while offering chances for additional
hydrophobic interactions with hydrophobic and/ or polar regions extending to the surface of the pocket.


Figure 3. Docked poses of the most active compounds 6a (blue), 6b (green), 10b (yellow) and Gefitinib (red) in the active site of the double mutated EGFR (PDB entry 3W2O). The docking results suggest that in particular $6 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ exhibit binding modes different from that obtained with wild-type EGFR.

Furthermore, comparative docking studies were also performed with compounds 6a, 6b and 10b, which had shown markedly higher activities than Gefitinib towards the mutant EGFR kinase. The goal was to investigate whether this particular property could be explained by distinct binding modes. Firstly, using the wild-type EGFR kinase crystal structure, similar poses as with $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ were obtained (data not shown). In contrast, when the docking simulation was repeated using the 3D structure of the EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R/T790M }}$ double mutant, all three compounds exhibited binding modes different from those obtained with the wild-type EGFR kinase. In the binding poses of $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, the molecules seemed to flip in a way that the entire structures including the side chains were placed much deeper in the pocket (Figure 3). This could be facilitated by the wider ATP binding cleft in the mutated enzyme which is due to a conformational shift of the N -lobe in the mutated EGFR catalytic domain. This shift is necessary to accommodate the bulky side chain of M790 adjacent to the regulatory $\alpha$ C-helix, in addition to a slight outward shift of the $\alpha$ C-helix. ${ }^{18}$ It should be noted that essentially the same poses were consistently obtained for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ in all docking runs with the EGFR double mutant, while in the case Gefitinib, no preferred binding mode was observed; poses were either similar to that in Figure 3 (red molecule) or to that in wild-type EGFR. Compound 10b (Figure 3, yellow molecule) was docked more similarly to the Gefitinib pose shown in Figure 3 with respect to the bromophenylamino quinazoline part, while the side chain at position 6 contacted regions outside the ATP-binding pocket as anticipated.

The simulated binding poses provided a preliminary clue that in the EGFR ${ }^{\mathrm{L} 858 \mathrm{R} / 7790 \mathrm{M}}$ double mutant, compounds $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ might exploit an additional hydrophobic cleft which is only formed in the presence of the ${ }^{\mathrm{T} 790 \mathrm{M}}$ mutation; further studies involving cocrystallography are required to experimentally confirm the potentially interesting binding mode.

## Conclusion

We designed and synthesized new quinazoline derivatives having aryl and heterocyclic substituents at position 6 linked through an imine, amide or thiourea to the quinazoline nucleus. Many of the new compounds inhibited wild-type EGFR kinase with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 's in low nanomolar range. Among these, $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{1 1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{j}$ were equally effective towards two model cell lines which grow dependent on wild-type and mutant EGFR, respectively, and displayed a more potent cell growth inhibition than the reference compound Gefitinib. However, at least in the case of $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{j}$, the enhanced potency towards the H1975 cells harboring the EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R/T790M }}$ double mutant might be due to biological activities unrelated to EGFR kinase, because these compounds were inactive towards the purified double mutant. However, our diversification strategy at position 6 yielded two novel derivatives of quinazoline-based EGFR kinase inhibitors which retained significant activity towards the clinically relevant EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R/T790M }}$ mutant, one of which (compound 6a) displayed a 24 -fold stronger potency than Gefitinib. Because $\mathbf{6 a}$ also retained a higher activity than Gefitinib in the H1975 cells, it represents the most promising lead compound of this study. Since our SAR clearly indicated that the cyclic substituent at the position 6 side chain is crucial for the biological activity of all linker chemotypes, replacement of the benzyl in $\mathbf{6 a}$ by substituted derivatives or five- and sixmembered heterocycles would likely result in optimized EGFR kinase inhibitors which are equally potent towards the wild-type enzyme and Gefitinib-resistant mutants.

## Experimental Section

Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to the residual protonated solvent signals. The purities of the tested compounds $\mathbf{4 a - 4 e}, \mathbf{6 a - b}, \mathbf{9 a - b}, \mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{b}$ and 11a-k were determined by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry and were higher than $97.5 \%$ except when mentioned. Mass spectrometric analysis (HPLC-ESI-MS) was performed on a TSQ quantum (Thermo Electron Corporation) instrument equipped with an ESI source and a triple quadrupole mass detector (Thermo Finnigan). The MS detection was carried out at a spray voltage of 4.2 kV , a nitrogen sheath gas pressure of $4.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$, an auxiliary gas pressure of $1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$, a capillary temperature of $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a capillary voltage of 35 V , and a source CID of 10 V . All samples were injected by an autosampler (Surveyor, Thermo Finnigan) with an injection volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. An RP C18 NUCLEODUR 100-3
( $125 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) column (Macherey-Nagel) was used as the stationary phase. The solvent system consisted of water containing $0.1 \%$ TFA (A) and $0.1 \%$ TFA in acetonitrile (B). HPLC-Method: flow rate $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. The percentage of B started at an initial of $5 \%$, was increased up to $100 \%$ during 16 min , kept at $100 \%$ for 2 min , and flushed back to $5 \%$ in 2 min . Melting points are uncorrected and were determined on Buchi melting point apparatus (B-540). The IR spectra were measured on Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrometer. The elemental analysis was measured using an analyzer Model: Euro EA 3000 (Italy) done in the Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology, Al Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

Ethyl $N$-(2-cyano-4-nitrophenyl)formimidate (1). 5 g ( 30.6 mmol ) of 2-amino-5nitrobenzonitrile was refluxed in 50 ml of triethyl orthoformate for 24 hours in the presence of 10 drops of acetic anhydride. The reaction was then concentrated under vacuum and the remaining residue was poured on ice water where a precipitate has been formed. The ppt. was filtered under vacuum and left to dry to give compound 1. Yield $82 \%$ ( 5.5 g , yellow solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=1.35$ (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=13.87,63.65$, $114.95,115.56,122.20,128.84,130.58,143.50,156.08,156.31 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: $v^{\sim}=2228.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$.
$N$-(3-bromophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2). ${ }^{19}$ Compound 1 ( 5 mmol ) was refluxed for 1 hour with 3-bromo aniline ( 5 mmol ) in 8 ml glacial acetic acid. A precipitate is formed during the reaction which is filtered on hot and the precipitate is then washed with diethyl ether to give the corresponding nitroquinazoline derivative 2.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(3-bromophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3). ${ }^{19}$ According to the reported procedure, ${ }^{20}$ a mixture of the nitroquinazoline derivative $2(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stannous chloride ( 25 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was stirred at reflux for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The excess MeOH was removed under reduced pressure; the remaining residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 200 ml ) and basified with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting mixture was filtrated under vacuum followed by separation of the organic phase from the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), these organic fractions were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding aminoquinazoline derivative 3.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (4a-4e). A mixture of compound 3 $(0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding benzaldehyde derivative $(0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ were refluxed for 8 h in ethanol ( 15 ml ). The precipitate formed was filtered while hot and washed with ethanol to give the corresponding imine derivatives $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{4 e}$.

4-(((4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)imino)methyl)phenol (4a). Yield 42\% (115 mg, yellow solid); m.p. 264-266 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=6.91-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (ddd, $J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (ddd, $J=8.2,2.0,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.82$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=113.44,115.65,115.82$, $120.45,121.21,123.94,125.86,127.31,127.67$, 128.92, 130.39, 130.94, 141.07, 147.96, $150.08,153.32,157.37,161.01,161.37 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 60.16, \mathrm{H}$ 3.61, N 13.36, found: C 60.28, H 3.68, O 13.49.

4-(((4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)imino)methyl)benzene-1,3-diol (4b). Yield $39 \%$ ( 110 mg , orange solid); m.p. 241-243 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ $=6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (ddd, $J=5.5,2.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=102.46,108.19,112.12,114.64,115.63,120.61,121.19,124.09,126.01$, $126.81,129.18,130.39,134.47,140.90,146.35,148.30,153.69,157.39,162.84,162.95$, $163.32 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=434.55\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 57.95, H 3.47, N 12.87, found: C 57.98, H 3.52, O 13.02.
$N^{4}$-(3-bromophenyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{6}$-(3-nitrobenzylidene)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (4c). Yield $23 \%$ ( 47 mg , pale yellow solid); m.p. $238-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=7.23-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78-8.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=114.01,115.69,116.37,120.78,121.16,122.57,124.20,125.38$, 125.82, 126.48, 128.83, 130.26, 130.67, 134.79, 137.46, 147.79, 148.29, 148.88, 154.19, 157.71, 159.44 ppm; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 56.27 , H 3.15, N 15.62, found: C 56.33, Н 3.19, O 15.74.
$N^{4}$-(3-bromophenyl)- $N^{6}$-(4-nitrobenzylidene)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (4d). Yield $35 \%$ ( 100 mg , pale yellow solid); m.p. $254-255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=$ 7.29 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-8.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=115.42$, $115.85,120.65,121.18,124.11,124.16,125.78,126.86,129.02,129.73,130.35,141.29$, 141.50, 148.17, 148.86, 149.00, 154.09, 157.63, 159.89 ppm ; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 56.27, H 3.15, N 15.62, found: C 56.37, H 3.19, O 15.76.
$N^{4}$-(3-bromophenyl)- $N^{6}$-(2-methoxybenzylidene)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (4e). Yield $29 \%$ ( 82 mg , pale yellow solid); m.p. 263-264 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=$
$3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=55.80,112.11$, $113.43,116.12,116.19,117.32,120.76,121.14,123.71,124.18,125.50,126.88,127.64$, $128.78,130.26,133.57,148.31,149.82,153.69,156.48,157.58,159.43 \mathrm{ppm}$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 60.98$, H 3.95, N 12.93, found: C 61.12, H 3.94, O 13.02.
$\mathbf{N}$-(3-bromophenyl)-6-isothiocyanatoquinazolin-4-amine (5). Compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( 2 mmol ) was added to a water solution ( 20 ml ) upon which conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ was then added and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thiophosgene $(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added dropwise to the stirred solution and left stirring for 3 hours after which the formed precipitate is filtered and washed with diethyl ether to give compound 5. Yield $81 \%$ ( 580 mg , yellow solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (ddd, $J=8.0,2.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ (dd, $J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.29 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=113.97$, 118.78, 120.53, 121.17, 123.39, 126.99, 128.96, 130.59, 130.64, 134.52, 138.59, 139.28, 150.55, 159.32, 181.28 ppm ; MS (+ESI): m/z = $357.03(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (6a and 6b). A mixture of compound $5(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding amine derivative $(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred at room temperature for 16 h in DMF ( 10 ml ). The solution was then poured on iced water where a precipitate was formed which was then filtered. The solid was then purified using column chromatography using (Ethylacetate/Hexane 8:2) as eluent to give compounds $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (6a). Yield 52\% (168 mg , pale brown solid); purity $95.73 \%$; m.p. $197-198{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,1.9$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.93 (ddd, $J=8.2,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right)$ $\delta=47.51,115.26,117.77,120.43,121.21,123.89,125.86,126.83,127.41,128.21$, $130.41,131.80,131.83,136.98,139.00,141.07,147.51,153.66,157.11,181.56 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=464.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C} 56.90$, H 3.91, N 15.08, found: C 56.97, H 3.95, O 15.16.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)thiourea (6b). Yield $52 \%$ ( 175 mg , pale yellow solid); m.p. $149-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)\right) \delta=2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (ddd, $J=7.9$, $1.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (ddd, $J$
$=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.68 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=40.94,53.06,56.34,66.14,115.21,117.13,120.44,121.18$, 123.90, 125.87, 128.33, 130.39, 131.49, 136.92, 141.01, 147.40, 153.64, 157.04, 180.71 ppm ; MS $(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=487.16(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C} 51.75, \mathrm{H}$ 4.76, N 17.24, found: C 51.87, H 4.80, O 17.41.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (7 and 8). A mixture of intermediate $3(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen atmosphere. This is then followed by dropwise addition of choroacetyl chloride ( 2.2 mmol ) or chloropropionyl chloride ( 2.2 mmol ) and then was stirred for 30 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give compounds $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$, respectively. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was neutralized using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The formed solid was then filtered and the purified using column chromatography with ethylacetate as eluent.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-2-chloroacetamide (7). Yield 75\% ( 590 mg , yellow solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 11.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.27 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=43.41$, $112.99,114.43,121.14,122.71,123.16,126.73,128.46,129.07,130.58,137.88,138.13$, 139.12, 150.57, 159.13, $165.28 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=391.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-chloropropanamide (8) Yield 79\% ( 640 mg , yellow solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=2.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=$ 39.11, 40.66, 111.76, 115.46, 120.88, 121.08, 124.31, 125.82, 127.09, 128.49, 130.25, 136.65, 141.18, 146.68, 153.03, 157.29, $168.21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=405.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (9a and 9b). A mixture of the intermediate $7(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding amine derivative ( 0.6 mmol ) were refluxed for 8 h in methanol ( 15 ml ) in the presence of 5 drops triethyl amine. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was purified using column chromatography with (Dichloromethane/Methanol 100:5) as eluent to yield compounds 9a and 9b.

2-(benzylamino)- N -(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)acetamide
Yield $50 \%$ ( 115 mg , pale brown solid); purity $96.02 \%$; m.p. $189-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.12$ ppm (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=51.87,52.64,111.70,115.40,120.77$, 121.12, 124.21, 125.83, 126.76, 126.98, 128.05, 128.22, 128.45, 130.30, 136.48, 140.14, 141.14, 146.59, 153.00, 157.18, $170.39 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=462.13(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 59.75, \mathrm{H} 4.36, \mathrm{~N} 15.15$, found: C 59.86, H 4.39, O 15.21.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-2-((4-( $N$-(thiazol-2-yl)sulfamoyl) phenyl)amino)acetamide (9b). Yield $40 \%$ ( 120 mg , pale orange solid); purity $96 \%$; m.p. $297-298{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.73 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=49.67,105.50,111.68,112.37$, 115.47, 120.97, 121.06, 124.40, 125.86, 126.86, 127.39, 127.78, 128.68, 129.01, 130.23, 136.20, 141.13, 146.81, 152.30, 153.17, 157.30, 164.82, 166.05 ppm ; MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=610.08$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C 49.18, H 3.30, N 16.06, found: C 49.22, H 3.28, O 16.22.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (10a and 10b). A mixture of the intermediate $8(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding amine derivative ( 0.6 mmol ) were refluxed for 8 h in ethanol ( 15 ml ) in the presence of 5 drops triethyl amine. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was purified using column chromatography with (Dichloromethane/Methanol 100:5) as eluent to yield compounds 10a and 10b.

## $N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-((2-morpholinoethyl)amino)

propanamide (10a) Yield $64 \%$ ( 160 mg , semisolid); purity $95.42 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.72 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=499.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 55.32, H 5.45, N 16.83, found: C 55.39, H 5.48, O 17.01.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-((4-( $N$-(thiazol-2-yl)sulfamoyl) phenyl)amino)propanamide (10b). Yield $67 \%$ ( 210 mg , yellow solid); m.p. 262-264 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.41 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=34.12,43.76,105.96$, $112.10,112.44,115.40,120.81,121.10,124.25,125.82,127.30,127.32,127.91,128.48$,
128.64, 130.28, 136.46, 141.15, 146.69, 152.31, 153.07, 157.25, 165.22, 168.58 ppm ; MS (+ESI): m/z = 624.04 (M+H); Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C} 50.00$, H 3.55, N 15.70, found: C 50.14, H 3.59, O 15.82.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (11b-k). A mixture of intermediate $3(0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone ( 10 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. This is then followed by dropwise addition of corresponding acid chloride derivative ( 0.8 mmol ) and then was stirred for 30 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield compounds 11b-k. Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was neutralized using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The formed solid was then filtered and the purified using column chromatography with ethylacetate as eluent.

4-amino- N -(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)benzamide (11a). Compound 11a was synthesized from its nitro derivative 11d through the same procedure of compound 3. Yield $30 \%$ ( 84 mg , yellow solid); m.p. $288-289{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.12 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, [D$\left.\left.{ }_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=112.63,112.96,115.36$, $120.45,120.66,121.11,124.12,125.71,128.04,128.36,129.42,130.28,137.55,141.26$, 146.49, 152.40, 152.86, 157.21, $165.31 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=433.99(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 58.08$, H 3.71, N 16.13, found: C 58.21, H 3.79, O 16.26.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-4-cyanobenzamide (11b). Yield 27\% ( 77 mg , white solid) ; m.p. $347-349{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.02 (t, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.61 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=114.01,114.35,114.64,118.20,120.89,121.13$, $123.62,127.22,128.71,129.05,130.62,130.76,132.60,136.12,137.89,138.52,138.60$, 149.96, 159.62,164.41 ppm; MS (+ESI): m/z = 444.08 (M + H); Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 59.47$, H 3.18, N 15.76, found: C 59.61, H 3.14, O 15.82.

4-acetamido- N -(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)benzamide (11c). Yield $25 \%$ ( 76 mg , pale yellow solid); m.p. $338-340{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=$ $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.49 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=24.12,113.52,115.33,117.54,118.21,120.71,121.12$, 124.17, 125.78, 128.21, 128.42, 128.64, 130.29, 136.98, 141.20, 142.52, 146.80, 153.14, 157.28, 164.96,168.79 ppm; MS (+ESI): m/z=476.1 (M+H); Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 58.00, H 3.81, N 14.70, found: C 58.13, H 3.79, O 14.84.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-4-nitrobenzamide (11d). Yield 62\% (188 mg, orange solid); purity $95.77 \%$; m.p. $310-312{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , [D $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO) $\delta=7.36-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-$ $8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.18 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=114.39$, 114.51, 121.14, 122.55,123.67, 126.10, 127.87, 129.35, 129.84, 130.51, 137.64, 139.57, 139.69, 146.99, 149.41, 151.30, 158.70, $160.05,164.10 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=464.08(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C 54.33, H 3.04, N 15.08, found: C 54.36, H 3.10, O 15.21.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3,5-dinitrobenzamide (11e). Yield $51 \%$ ( 167 mg , yellow solid); m.p. $351-352{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=7.43$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 11.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.68 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=114.12,115.15,121.11,121.43,121.62,123.33$, 126.87, 128.19, 128.70, 130.52, 136.59, 137.81, 138.83, 139.55, 148.13, 149.55, 150.41, $159.34,161.72 \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=509.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C 49.53, H 2.57, N 16.50, found: C 49.61, H 2.52, O 16.73.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-4-methoxybenzamide (11f). Yield $62 \%$ ( 180 mg , yellow solid); purity $95.12 \%$; m.p. $331-333{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , TFAD) $\delta=4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA}-\mathrm{D}) \delta=57.61,116.27,116.59,117.24,123.63,125.85,126.43,126.92$, 131.06, 132.36, 133.57, 134.05, 135.38, 136.73, 137.23, 142.34, 151.54, 162.02, $166.22,172.54 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=449.08(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 58.81, H 3.81, N 12.47, found: C 58.89, H 3.88, O 12.55.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzamide (11g). Yield $46 \%$ (143, yellow solid); purity $95.54 \%$; m.p. $257-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , TFA-D) $\delta=4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (ddd, $J=8.0,2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,1.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, TFA-D) $\delta=55.76,56.02,111.73,114.29,114.60,116.52,121.46,122.88,123.79$, 124.41, 125.16, 129.06, 131.52, 131.86, 133.26, 134.96, 135.50, 140.12, 149.24, 149.84, 154.06, 160.60, 170.37 ppm ; MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=479.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C 57.63, H 4.00, N 11.69, found: C 57.76, H 4.03, O 11.85.
$N$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)morpholine-4-carboxamide (11h). Yield $24 \%$ ( 65 mg , pale brown solid); purity $95.33 \%$; m.p. 281-283 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=3.46-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (ddd, $J=7.9$, 1.8, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=44.14,66.01,112.12$, 115.37, 120.60, 121.11, 124.05, 125.67, 127.78, 128.16, 130.27, 138.45, 141.27, 145.71, 152.43, 155.09, $157.05 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS $(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=428.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 53.28, H 4.24, N 16.35, found: C 53.37, H 4.22, O 16.52.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)furan-2-carboxamide (11i). Yield 43\% ( 115 mg , white solid); m.p. $334-336{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta=6.76$ (dd, $J$ $=3.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.93$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.61 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, [D$\left.\left.{ }_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=112.32,113.99,114.37$, 115.78, 120.62, 121.13, 123.64, 127.24, 129.08, 130.63, 130.66, 135.62, 138.43, 138.57, 146.42, 146.85, 149.71, 156.43,159.62 ppm; MS (+ESI): m/z=408.99 (M+H); Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 55.76, H 3.20, N 13.69, found: C 55.80, H 3.24, O 13.78.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)nicotinamide (11j). Yield 50\% (135 mg , pale brown solid); purity $96.52 \%$; m.p. $280-281{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=7.29(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=8.0,4.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81$ (dd, $J=4.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.80 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=113.75,115.31,120.80$, 121.12, 123.62, 124.26, 125.88, 128.33, 128.42, 130.07, 130.30, 135.44, 136.41, 141.13, 147.07, 148.70, 152.39, 153.37, 157.34, $164.16 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (+ESI): m/z = $420.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ : C 57.16, H 3.36, N 16.66, found: C 57.28, H 3.33, O 16.78.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)isonicotinamide (11k). Yield 35\% (94 mg , white solid); m.p. $255-256{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta=7.27-7.32$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (dd, $J=4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84$ (dd, $J$ $=4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.86 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta=114.02,115.29,120.82,121.12,121.50,124.28,125.90$, $128.38,128.45,130.31,136.15,141.11,141.42,147.18,150.43,153.47,157.35,164.07$ ppm; MS (+ESI): m/z = $420.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} 57.16$, H 3.36, N 16.66, found: C 57.25, H 3.37, O 16.80.

## Biological screening

## Cell Culture and Plating

Cancer cell lines cultured included cell line with wild-type EGFR (KB-HeLa variant), and (H1975) with mutant EGFR. Both cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ humidified incubator with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and subcultured twice weekly. Only cultures exhibiting greater than $95 \%$ viability were used in any experiment (determined by trypan blue exclusion). Cells were seeded in 96-well standard assay microplates at a density of 5,000 cells/well for growth assays, then allowed to acclimate overnight before compound addition or stimulation with EGF.

## Growth Assay

KB and H1975 cells were treated with 8 concentrations of inhibitors ranging from 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ to 8 nM (Specifially, the doses tested (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were $50,25,10,5,1,0.2,0.04$, and $0.008)$ followed by EGF stimulation ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) 1 h later. Cells were incubated for an additional 72 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Relative cell growth was determined by addition of Promega CellTiter Glo luciferase-based measure of ATP content, and the resulting luminescence was measured using a Molecular Devices Spectramax Paradigm microplate reader in luminescence mode. Growth inhibition data were analyzed using DMSO as a baseline (negative control equal to $0 \%$ growth inhibition) with GraphPad Prism curve fitting software. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are representative of the results at least two independent concentration-response experiments with three replicates per concentration.

## EGFR kinase phosphorylation assay.

Phosphorylation assays were performed in a final volume of $20 \mu 1$ containing 8 mM MOPS ( pH 7.0 ), 0.2 mM EDTA, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2,200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate peptide, 0.25 mM DTT, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, 10 ng wild-type EGFR-Kinase (Cat. No. 40187, BPS Bioscience) or 30 ng mutant EGFR kinase (Cat. No. PV4879, Life Technologies), 10 mM magnesium acetate, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \gamma-\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP, and inhibitors or DMSO control $(1.25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. For $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curves with the wild-type enzyme, the following concentrations of the compounds (in $\mathrm{nM})$ were tested in triplicates: $150,100,50,25,15,10,7.5,5,2.5$. In the case of the mutant enzyme, concentrations (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of $10,8,4,2,1,0.75,0.5,0.35,0.2$, and 0.1 were used. The assays were repeated at least once. Reactions were started by the addition of the magnesium acetate/ATP mixture. After 30 min incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each reaction was spotted on phosphocellulose P81 paper (Whatman). The P81 paper was then washed 5 times with 50 mM phosphoric acid for 15 min , dried and exposed to a phosphorimager screen, which was scanned and densitometrically analyzed the next day. The sequence of the substrate peptide was derived from phospholipase C- $\gamma 1$ and had the sequence "KHKKLAEGSAYEEV", according to Fry et al."

## Molecular modeling

The proteins used for the docking were downloaded from the protein data bank (PDB 2 ITY and 3 W 2 O ). The proteins were first prepared for docking using MOE software in which the proteins were protonated and saved for docking. The ligands were drawn on MOE and energy minimized and then saved as "mol2" file. Docking was done using GOLD software, where the proteins were first prepared by deleting the water molecules and extracting the co-crystallized ligand. The docking was done for compounds 9a and $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ with 2ITY and compounds $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ with 3 W 2 O using CHEMPLP as the scoring function and Goldscore as a rescoring function. The viewing of the results was done using MOE and PyMOL softwares.
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#### Abstract

Although, the use of clinically approved EGFR inhibitors, like Gefitinib, is well known in the treatment of cancer, yet they still suffer certain limitations such as emergence of resistance or presence of cancers being originally insensitive to the EGFR inhibitors. Therefore, treatment with a single, specific agent does not seem particularly promising because of the multigenic alterations of tumors. Hence, the use of a combination therapy during cancer treatment could sufficiently decrease the development of resistance and give at least an additive if not a synergistic effect. Accordingly, in this work we present new thiourea quinazoline derivatives which act as dual inhibitors towards the EGFR and the NF-кB activation pathway which are two complementary signaling pathways in cancer cells. This dual inhibitory activity proved to produce a synergistically potent inhibitory activity towards cells lines which are not very sensitive to Gefitinib. Starting from an identified hit compound 4b, several modifications have been done to it resulting in highly potent compounds, such as $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$, towards both targets. The hit compound was found to inhibit the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway most likely through affecting the deubiquitination step. In addition, one of the most potent compounds $\mathbf{6 c}$ showed much higher selectivity towards EGFR than Gefitinib.


## Introduction

Inhibition of the EGF receptor kinase-mediated signaling is a well established strategy for the treatment of advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer. However, drugs used for the treatment, such as Gefitinib and Erlotinib respond more favorably if the tumor cells harbour a specific activating EGFR mutation which appear to preserve the ligand dependence of receptor activation but alter the pattern of downstream signaling. ${ }^{1}$ This EGFR mutation includes mainly small, in-frame deletions in exon 19, or the single point mutation L858R, ${ }^{1}$ and are found in $\sim 10-50 \%$ of lung cancer patients, of which $\sim 75 \%$ show a response to the TKI inhibitors compared to $\sim 10 \%$ in wild-type case. ${ }^{1,2}$ Hence, only a minor proportion of lung cancer patients can actually profit from the treatment with EGFR inhibitors.

In addition, tumors responsive to initial treatment with EGFR inhibitors often become resistant due to acquisition of a mutation in the ATP binding pocket of EGFR (T790M) which mainly decreases the $K_{m}$ for ATP, thus out-competing the binding of Gefitinib. ${ }^{1,3}$ Even within the same tumor, genetic heterogeneity ${ }^{4}$ might account for a minor population of cells in which EGFR signaling is not essential for cell growth and/or survival, thus resuming cell growth after initial shrinking of the tumor volume. Alternatively, tumor cells might activate distinct pro-survival signaling pathways, as exemplified by the amplification of MET in lung cancers treated with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors. ${ }^{5}$ Selective pressures that are exerted by cytotoxic therapy can lead to the expansion of resistant clones that either existed before the onset of treatment or that formed as a result of new alteration that were gained during the treatment. Whereas sampling and detection sensitivity issues often limit the ability to distinguish between these two possibilities, multiple reports have demonstrated that relapsed clones could be traced to variants present as minor clones before the start of therapy. ${ }^{6-8}$ Therefore, the degree of genetic heterogeneity of a tumor might also contribute to the activation of alternative pro-survival pathways. ${ }^{9}$ At any rate, clinical experience suggests that at least with advanced stage solid tumors, inhibition of only one cancer-relevant signaling pathway is not sufficient to achieve long term remission of the patients. It is generally accepted that simultaneous blocking of two major signaling pathways should have synergistic anti-tumor effects and might counteract the development of mutations. ${ }^{10-13}$ In particular the NF-кB pathway represents another major signaling pathway active in many cancer types such as leukemia, lymphoma, colon cancer and ovarian cancer, ${ }^{14,15}$ where it induces anti-apoptotic proteins and mediates resistance to anticancer drugs and radiation. ${ }^{16}$

Importantly, in lung cancer cell lines, a large siRNA screen identified the NF-кB pathway activity as a key factor that determined the sensitivity towards EGFR inhibitors. Knock down of several components of the NF-кB pathway enhanced cell death induced by EGFR inhibition in cell lines such as EGFR-mutant lung cancer cells. ${ }^{17}$ Validation studies confirmed that activation of NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ signaling conferred resistance to EGFR inhibitors in EGFR dependent tumor models and, conversely, that NF-кB inhibition enhanced sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore, co-inhibition of NF-кB signaling in NSCLC is expected to enhance response rates to EGFR inhibitors and extend the response duration.

While co-administration of anti-tumor therapeutics is a common strategy in several current cancer trials and has proven to be beneficial in some cases, toxic side effects could increase by the number of different agents. ${ }^{18}$ Moreover, the individual pharmacokinetic properties render it difficult to deliver effective amounts of both therapeutics to the tumor cells in a concerted manner to achieve maximum efficacy.

Therefore, it would be a major advantage to combine in a single agent two distinct, but specific inhibitory activities which suppress two major, synergistic signaling pathways in cancer cells at the same time, such as EGFR/NF-кB in lung cancer cells.

In the following, we describe the development of dual EGFR and NF-кB signaling inhibitors based on the quinazoline-4-aminophenyl scaffold. We also provide evidence at least for one compound that suppression of NF- KB activation occurs most likely at the level of deubiquitinating (DUB) enzymes.

## Results and Discussion

## Strategy for Hit identification

With respect to EGFR kinase inhibition, it was known from previous studies that the quinazoline-4-aminophenyl motif is both essential and sufficient to mediate strong inhibition of the kinase in the nM range. ${ }^{19,20}$ On the other hand, the 6- and 7-positions of the quinazoline scaffold offered possibilities for substitutions without strongly compromising the EGFR-directed potency, because these positions pointed towards the outside of the ATP binding pocket (compare e.g., PDB 2ITY). Furthermore, the quinazoline heterocycle was successfully used as a scaffold for the synthesis of potent inhibitors for a range of enzymes beside protein kinases, including endothelin converting enzyme, ${ }^{21}$ Thymidylate synthase, ${ }^{22}$ trypanothione reductase, ${ }^{23}$ Cyclic GMP phosphodiesterase inhibitors, ${ }^{24}$ PDE7, ${ }^{25}$ Pin1, ${ }^{26}$ CDK, ${ }^{27}$ NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, ${ }^{28}$ glucocerebrosidase, ${ }^{29}$ and G9a-like protein lysine methyltransferase. ${ }^{30}$

The quinazoline system could therefore be considered a privileged scaffold, potentially suitable to serve as an affinity anchor for inhibitors of diverse enzymes without evidence of promiscuous properties. Thus, our concept envisaged the expansion of the quinazoline core by suitable moieties in order to confer an additional pharmacologic activity to the resulting compounds while retaining EGFR kinase inhibitory activity. Accordingly, and to achieve the intended dual activity, several quinazoline derivatives with potential EGFR inhibitory activity prepared by us were screened for their inhibitory activity on the NF-кB activation pathway using a reporter gene assay. The compounds selected for screening featured at the 6-position different combinations of linkers, potentially acting as a H -bond donor/acceptor pair, and aliphatic or (hetero)aromatic moieties which may be accommodated in potential hydrophobic binding pockets of new target proteins. Furthermore, we included derivatives with variable substitutions at the 4-position of the quinazoline nucleus. The first group of screened compounds included variations in position 4 with an acrylamide moiety at position 6. The position 4 variations included substituents such as haloanilines, alkylanilines, alkoxyanilines, sulfonamide containing anilines and alicyclic amines I-III (Chart 1). The second group of screened compounds included variations at position 6 in presence of a $m$-bromoaniline at position 4. Position 6 variations included different substituents linked through several linkages to the quinazoline nucleus such as an imine IV, amide V, amino alkyl amide VI and thiourea VII (Chart 1).

Chart 1. General structures of the quinazoline derivatives selected for screening towards the NF-кB inhibitory activity.


Screening of the quinazoline derivatives shown in Chart 1 , resulted in several compounds which suppressed the NF- $\kappa$ B activation at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (e.g. in Table 1), while the most potent hit was the benzylthiourea derivative $\mathbf{4 b}$, exhibiting an almost $100 \%$ reduction of the luciferase read out (Table 1). In comparison, the reference compound Gefitinib showed a considerably weaker inhibition of about $50 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, suggesting that the structural modifications had created a significant inhibitory activity on the NF-кB pathway. Importantly, $\mathbf{4 b}$ still retained a nM activity with respect to EGFR inhibition, though it was about 4-times reduced compared with Gefitinib (Table 1).

## Screening of hit compound (4b) against kinases directly involved in TNF- $\alpha$ Receptor signalling

To rule out that $\mathbf{4 b}$ was a non-selective kinase inhibitor on the one hand, but also to test whether selective inhibition of one of the kinases specifically involved in NF-кB activation in U937 cells was responsible for the novel activity, the hit compound 4b was screened against the panel of kinases shown in Table 2. Only one kinase, RIPK-2, was weakly inhibited by $\mathbf{4 b}$; however, with the estimated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ being above $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, RIPK-2 was unlikely to be the actual target of this compound in the U937 cells, because the higher cellular ATP concentrations tend to reduce the potency further and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the NF-кB suppression was $4.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1). Thus we could conclude that compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ did not affect a kinase which is directly involved in TNF $\alpha$ receptor signaling. Furthermore, the hit compound did not exhibit non-selective kinase inhibition, which encouraged us to carry out an optimization of the potency guided by the NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ reporter gene assay.

Table 1. Recombinant EGFR kinase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \%$ inhibition and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of U 937 reporter gene assay at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration for some of the screened quinazoline derivatives that showed suppression of the NF-кB activation.

| Comp. | Recombinant EGFR kinase | U937 reporter gene assay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IC $\mathbf{5 0}^{(n M)}$ | $\%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I C}_{50} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\mu M}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 17.2 | 97 | 4.1 |
|  | 2.1 | 73.6 | N.D. |
|  | 1.5 | 70 | N.D. |
|  | 8.4 | 39.4 | N.D. |
|  | N.D. | 33.2 | N.D. |
| Gefitinib | 4.0 | 51.3 | 9.7 |

Table 2. Selectivity profiling of compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ against the kinases associated with the TNF- $\alpha$ receptor complex in U937 cells. ${ }^{31}$

| Kinase | \% activity <br> at $\mathbf{1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | Kinase | \% activity <br> at $\mathbf{1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{IKK} \alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | 117 | RIPK2(h) | 54 |
| $\mathrm{IKK} \beta(\mathrm{h})$ | 100 | SAPK2a(h) | 78 |
| $\operatorname{PKCl}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 106 | TAK1(h) | 106 |
| $\operatorname{PKC} \zeta(\mathrm{~h})$ | 92 | TBK1(h) | 92 |

${ }^{a}$ Values represent the mean of two experiments, S.D. < $5 \%$. All kinases were tested using ATP concentrations at the respective $K_{m}$ values.

## Chemistry

The identified hit compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ was subjected to further optimization by a targeted synthesis of analogues. The optimization, using the following schemes, involved
modifications in the substituents at the 4 anilino ring, the side chain attached to the thiourea linker and the thiourea linker itself.

Synthesis of the quinazoline nucleus was done by refluxing of 5-nitro-2aminobenzonitirile with triethyl orthoformate in presence of drops of acetic anhydride to yield the formimidate derivative 1. Cyclization to form the quinazoline nucleus took place by refluxing of $\mathbf{1}$ with different anilines in acetic acid to yield the nitroquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- q}$. Reduction of the nitro intermediates $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- q}$ to their amino derivatives $\mathbf{3 a}$ $\mathbf{q}$ was done by refluxing the nitro derivatives with stannous chloride in methanol under nitrogen atmosphere. The benzyl thiourea derivatives $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{- 4} \mathbf{q}$ were obtained by stirring the aminoquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{3 a - q}$ with benzylisothiocyante in DMF. (Scheme 1)

## Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$



| Comp. | X | R | Comp. | X | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | C | $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | m | C | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ |
| b | C | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |  |  | O |
| c | C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | n | C | $4-\frac{3}{\text { m }}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |
| d | C | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  |  | 0 |
| e | C | 3-Methyl |  |  | O H NH |
| f | C | 2,3-Dimethyl | 0 | C | 4- $-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |
| g | C | 3-Ethyl |  |  | O |
| h | C | 4-isopropyl |  |  | O |
| i | C | 4-t-butyl | p | C | $4-\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-1$ |
| j | C | 4-phenyl |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{O} \mathrm{~N}$ |
| k | C | 4-phenoxy | q | N | - |
| 1 | C | $3-\mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) TEOF, ( Ac$)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 16h; (ii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, reflux, 1h; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 30 min ; (iv) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}-\mathrm{NCS}$, DMF, rt, 5 h .

Reaction of compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ with thiophosgene yielded the isothiocyanate derivative 5 which upon stirring with different amines in DMF gave the thiourea derivatives $\mathbf{6 a - q}$ and 7a-e (Scheme 2). The thiourea derivatives $\mathbf{6 r}$-u were obtained by reacting the
aminoquinazoline derivatives $\mathbf{3 i}-\mathbf{k}$ with the corresponding isothiocyanate derivatives in DMF at room temperature (Scheme 3). The urea derivatives 8a-b were obtained by stirring compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ with different isocyanate derivatives in DMF (Scheme 2).

## Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$



| Comp. | R | Comp. | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 a | H | 7a | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| 6 b | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 7 a | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ |
| 6 c | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | ( H |
| $6 d$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 7b | - N |
| 6 e | 2,4-dichloro |  |  |
| 6 | 3,4-dichloro |  | HN ${ }^{\text {s}}$ |
| 6 g | 3,5-dichloro | 7c | Cl |
| 6 h | $3-\mathrm{Cl}, 4-\mathrm{F}$ |  | C |
| 6 i | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | H |
| 6j | $2-\mathrm{F}, 3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 7d | N |
| 6k | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |  | O |
| 61 | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |  |  |
| $6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 3,5-di-trifluoromethyl |  |  |
| 6n | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | 7 e |  |
| 60 | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |
| 6p |  | 8a | Benzyl |
| 6q |  | 8b | 4-Chlorophenyl |

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}$ (ii) $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt, 5 h (iii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt, 5h (iv) R-NCO, DMF, rt, 5h

## Scheme 3.



| Comp. | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 r | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 4-t-butyl |
| 6 s | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 4-phenoxy |
| 6 t | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 4-phenyl |
| 6 u | 3,5-di-trifluoromethyl | 4-phenyl |

## Identification and validation of quinazoline derivatives displaying NF-кB inhibitory activity

To identify compounds endowed with new desired NF- $\kappa$ B inhibitory properties, we chose a reporter gene assay using the lymphoma cell line U937. Due to its origin from tissue macrophages, ${ }^{32}$ this cell line responds with a strong activation of the NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ pathway after stimulation by LPS or TNF $\alpha$. Inhibition of any of the essential components of the conserved classical (canonical) NF-кB pathway would be expected to result in a decrease of the final luciferase activity-based read out. As potential targets, protein kinases and adaptor proteins of the TNF $\alpha$ receptor complex, IкB kinase, and components of the ubiquitinylation and proteasome complex were conceivable. All of these stages of the NF-кB activation process had been proposed independently as potential targets for pharmacological intervention. Moreover, it was of importance that the U937 lymphoma cell type lacks expression of EGFR, thus excluding any interference due to the intrinsic EGFR inhibitory activity of the compounds.

## Optimization of the hit compound (4b)

With respect to the optimization strategy of the hit compound $\mathbf{4 b}$, we hypothesized that the benzyl function might interact with a lipophilic binding pocket of a new target protein; thus, one strategy was to synthesize and test analogues with different hydrophobic substituents linked to the thiourea moiety while keeping the 3-bromoaniline at position 4. These substituents included halobenzyl, phenyl, substituted phenyl, heterocyclic and alkyl groups. In addition, the 4 -anilino moiety at the quinazoline was considered as another adjustable position to optimize binding to a putative new target without compromising affinity toward EGFR kinase. Therefore we also decided to include several modifications in the substituents at position 4 while keeping the benzylthiourea part at position 6 of the quinazoline. Eventually, we planned to exchange the thiourea function by urea to investigate whether the thion sulfur played a major role. In the cell-based NF- $\kappa$ B reporter gene assay, a primary screening dose of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was
used, after which the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was calculated for the compounds showing more than $80 \%$ inhibition (Table 3).

The optimization started by testing the importance of the methylene spacer between the thiourea and the aromatic ring, this was done by replacing the benzyl group as in ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{7 c}$ ) with their phenyl analogues ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$ ). The results of this modification showed that the phenyl derivatives were more potent than their benzyl analogues. The next step was to confirm the importance of the thiourea group. Accordingly, the thiourea derivatives ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$ ) were compared with their urea analogues ( $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). A direct comparison suggested that the presence of the thiourea moiety is important in order to retain the activity towards the NF-кB pathway. The following step was to know if the aromatic ring linked to the thiourea moiety was essential for activity. Therefore, the aromatic ring was replaced by a methyl group (7a), a morpholine (7e) and an ethyl morpholine ( $\mathbf{7 d}$ ). As indicated by the loss of NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ suppression, the aromatic system was found to be essential for the activity (Table 3).

Next, several substituents were further added to the phenyl thiourea side chain to achieve an enhanced potency for the compounds. Firstly, we introduced several polar groups or heteroatoms on the phenyl ring as in ( $\mathbf{6 0}, \mathbf{6 p}, \mathbf{6 q}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ ) which resulted in a decrease in the activity towards the NF-кB pathway in the U973 cells. This was then followed by adding several lipophilic substituents on the phenyl thiourea side chain which resulted in variable potencies depending on the size and the position of the substituents. This finally resulted in compounds $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$ which potently inhibited the activation of NF-кB in the reporter gene assay (Table 3).

## Structure activity relationship for the NF-кB inhibitory activity

Concerning the modifications of the position 4 anilines in the presence of the benzyl thiourea at position 6, it was found that the aniline moiety should have lipophilic substituents as the presence of polar groups destroys the activity. This was clearly seen with polar substituents such as the hydroxy $\mathbf{4 l}$ and $\mathbf{4 m}$, sulfonamide $\mathbf{4 n}$, substituted sulfonamide $\mathbf{4 o}$ and $\mathbf{4 p}$ or even heterocyclic $\mathbf{4 q}$, which all led to loss of activity (Table 3). Although the findings might be influenced by differences in cell permeability, the uniform reduction of activity by the more polar moieties suggests that the 4 -aminophenyl is not only important for the affinity to EGFR kinase (see below) but also seemed to interact with the novel target(s) in the NF-кB pathway. Fortunately, the SAR for this position showed the same tendency for both targets (see below).

For the lipophilic meta-substituents on the aniline ring, it was found that the most potent were the halogens with the chlorine $\mathbf{4 d}$ showing the best activity. This was followed by bromine $\mathbf{4 b}$, ethyl $\mathbf{4 g}$, methyl $\mathbf{4 e}$ and finally the 2,3-dimethyl $\mathbf{4 f}$. For the Br substituent, it was found to be more tolerable and more potent when present in the para position $\mathbf{4 c}$ followed by the meta $\mathbf{4 b}$ and finally the ortho $\mathbf{4 a}$. For the para position, it was found that bulky groups are tolerated with the alkyl or aryl groups being less potent than
the halogens. The best group in the para position after the bromine was the isopropyl $\mathbf{4 h}$ followed by phenyl $\mathbf{4 j}$ then t-butyl $\mathbf{4 i}$ and finally phenyloxy $\mathbf{4 k}$ was the least active.

Modifications of the position 6 side chain in the presence of $m$-bromaniline in position 4, showed that the lipophilic substituents are optimal. Accordingly, any polar, heterocyclic or alkyl groups in this side chain such as sulfonamide $\mathbf{6 p}$, substituted sulfonamide $\mathbf{6 q}$, pyridyl $\mathbf{7 b}$ or morpholine $\mathbf{7 d}$ and $\mathbf{7 e}$; lead to loss of activity while the only tolerable group was the $p$-hydroxy $\mathbf{6 0}$.

Table 3. \% inhibition, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of Recombinant EGFR kinase and U937 reporter gene assay and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for MDA-MB 231 cell growth inhibition.

| Comp. | Recombinant EGFR <br> Kinase | U937 reporter gene <br> assay | MDA cell <br> growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% inhibition <br> at $\mathbf{1 5 0} \mathbf{~ \mathbf { M }}$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ <br> $(\mathbf{n M})$ | \% inhibition <br> at <br> $\mathbf{1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 13.1 | $>150$ | 85.7 | 6.5 | $>30$ |
| $\mathbf{4 b}$ | 86.1 | 17.2 | 97 | 4.1 | 9.5 |
| $\mathbf{4 c}$ | 47.7 | $>150$ | 92.1 | 3.8 | 15.1 |
| $\mathbf{4 d}$ | 84.8 | 11.4 | 89.7 | 3.7 | 7.3 |
| $\mathbf{4 e}$ | 68.5 | 36.8 | 76.4 | N.D. | 19.5 |
| $\mathbf{4 f}$ | 40.0 | $>150$ | 71.5 | N.D. | 28.7 |
| $\mathbf{4 g}$ | 41.7 | $>150$ | 92.5 | 4.8 | 10.5 |
| $\mathbf{4 h}$ | 4.2 | $>150$ | 95.7 | 4.3 | 12.8 |
| $\mathbf{4 i}$ | 0.9 | $>150$ | 91.9 | 5.51 | 8.7 |
| $\mathbf{4 j}$ | 14.5 | $>150$ | 89.1 | 4.4 | 8.4 |
| $\mathbf{4 k}$ | 21.9 | $>150$ | 73.7 | N.D. | 6.8 |
| $\mathbf{4 l}$ | 60.8 | 63.6 | 44.3 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| $\mathbf{4 m}$ | 44.1 | $>150$ | 24.1 | N.D. | 27 |
| $\mathbf{4 n}$ | 17.7 | $>150$ | 19.2 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 6.7 | $>150$ | 21.7 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| $\mathbf{4 p}$ | 20.9 | $>150$ | 6.6 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| $\mathbf{4 q}$ | 38.5 | $>150$ | 7.7 | N.D. | 17.9 |

N.D.: Not Determined

Table 3. cont.

| Comp. | Recombinant EGFR <br> Kinase |  | U937 reporter gene assay |  | MDA cell <br> growth <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% inhibition at 150 nM | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM}) \end{aligned}$ | \% inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I C}_{50} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\mu M}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 a | 86.5 | 15.8 | 90.7 | 5.2 | 27.9 |
| 6b | 84.3 | 15.8 | 95.8 | 3.5 | 8.5 |
| 6 c | 74.8 | 20.6 | 97.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 6d | 79.6 | 19.5 | 89.5 | 4.9 | >30 |
| 6 e | 66 | 48.9 | 93.1 | 2.9 | 12.2 |
| 6 | 52.9 | 133.1 | 97.2 | 1.9 | 4.8 |
| 6 g | 50.9 | 146.3 | 99.6 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| 6h | 74.1 | 25.3 | 100 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| 6 i | 44.0 | >150 | 99.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| 6 j | 55.6 | 112.4 | 98.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| 6k | 38.1 | >150 | 94.8 | 1.7 | 12.2 |
| 61 | 57.5 | 60.7 | 96.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| 6m | 32.3 | >150 | 100 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| 6n | 70.4 | 35.4 | 96.7 | 2.0 | $>30$ |
| 60 | 91.5 | 8.9 | 85.3 | 6.4 | $>30$ |
| 6p | 92.3 | 9.5 | 29.0 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| 6q | 81.7 | 22.0 | 16.5 | N.D. | >30 |
| 6 r | 8.7 | >150 | 68.8 | N.D. | 2.1 |
| 6 s | 15.8 | $>150$ | 100 | 0.97 | 0.2 |
| $6 t$ | 12.1 | $>150$ | 74.1 | N.D. | 2.5 |
| 6u | 10.2 | >150 | 91.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| 7a | 92.2 | 9.1 | 3.0 | N.D. | >30 |
| 7b | 90.4 | 10.2 | 42.4 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| 7c | 77.5 | 28.3 | 78.6 | N.D. | 23 |
| 7d | 91.8 | 10.7 | 20.9 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| 7e | 84.0 | 26.9 | 40.1 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| 8 a | 89.9 | 8.9 | 42.6 | N.D. | $>30$ |
| 8b | 69.0 | 19.3 | 50.3 | N.D. | $<10$ |
| Gefitinib | 93.2 | 4.0 | 51.3 | 9.7 | 14.2 |
| Bortezomib | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \text { at } 1 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \\ 84,4 \% \text { at } 0,2 \mu \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

N.D.: Not Determined

## Structure activity relationship for the EGFR cell free assay

Since the goal of this study was to identify novel compounds that exhibited dual inhibitory activity both against EGFR and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling, we next determined the potencies of all compounds against recombinant EGFR kinase. A primary screening dose of 150 nM was done after which the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the compounds was calculated. Firstly, concerning the modifications in the aniline at position 4 in presence of the benzyl thiourea at position 6, it was clear that the nature, position and the size of the substituents
greatly affect the activity. It was found that meta position was the optimum for the substitution with a hydrophobic group. The groups which offered the most potent activity are the halogens especially medium sized halogen like chlorine $\mathbf{4 d}$ which was more potent than the bromine $\mathbf{4 b}$. Replacing the halogen with alkyl group such as the methyl $\mathbf{4 e}$ decreased the activity which further decreased with the polar hydroxy group $\mathbf{4 I}$ and the least active was the more bulky ethyl $\mathbf{4 g}$. In addition, any substitutions in the orthoposition as in $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $\mathbf{4 f}$ resulted in significant decrease in activity. Furthermore, all the para-substitutions on the aniline also significantly decreased the activity irrespective to the nature of the substituent.

Replacing the thiourea linkage with a urea gave a more potent derivative in case of the benzyl substituent ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ with $\mathbf{8 a}$ ) while, the urea and thiourea were equipotent in case of the phenyl substituent ( $\mathbf{6 d}$ with $\mathbf{8 b}$ ).

Modifying the position 6 side chain in presence of $m$-bromoaniline at position 4 showed that several substituents are tolerable either lipophilic or hydrophilic with the hydrophilic or heterocyclic ones being more potent such as $\mathbf{6 0}, \mathbf{6 p}, \mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{7 d}$. In addition, multiple and/or bulky lipophilic substituents on the phenyl ring (as in $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 m}$ and $\mathbf{6 r}-\mathbf{6 u}$ ) decreased or abolished the activity. The latter SAR were conflicting with the requirements for potent inhibition of NF- KB activation, thus it was not possible to optimize both biological activities in parallel to the same degree.

## Cellular Effects on the MDA-MB-231 cells

To confirm that the dual inhibitory activity towards the EGFR and NF-кB activation pathway offers a synergistic effect and thus a potential advantage in the cancer therapy over the EGFR inhibitors alone, further testing was performed using the MDA-MB-231 cancer cell line which is known to be rather insensitive to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitor Gefitinib (literature: $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15-20 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{33-35}$ (Table 3). As a general conclusion, it was observed that the potency towards the MDA cells was mainly controlled by the NF$\kappa B$ inhibitory activity of the compounds (Table 3). This seemed reasonable since the potent EGFR inhibitor (Gefitinib) was not sensitive towards this cell line $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=14.2\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The correlation of the MDA cell growth inhibition with the activity of the reporter gene assay provided evidence that tumor cells which are non-responsive towards EGFR inhibition can successfully be defeated by the novel NF-кB suppressive activity.

## Effects on A549 cell growth

The potency of the best compounds to inhibit the cell growth of the lung cancer cell line A549 was also tested. This assay was done to corroborate if the compounds with dual inhibitory activity still offer an advantage towards a cell line which is intermediately sensitive to the potent EGFR inhibitor (Gefitinib). The results showed that the dual inhibitors are more potent than Gefitinib in inhibiting the growth of A549 cancer cell line (Table 4).

Table 4. A549 cell growth inhibitory assay.

| Comp. | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ <br> $\mathbf{A 5 4 9} \mathbf{~ c e l l s ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 b}$ | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | 1.6 |
| $\mathbf{6 h}$ | 1.0 |
| Gefitinib | 9.3 |
| ${ }^{a}$ S.D. $\leq 12 \%$ |  |

## In vitro kinase selectivity profile

Since the novel dual inhibitors were developed based on a kinase inhibitor scaffold, it was straightforward to test whether the suppression of the NF-кB activation was also due to inhibition of a kinase. To this end, an in vitro selectivity profile test on a panel of 106 protein kinase was performed. For the profiling we selected 6c, an optimized compound which had shown potent inhibitory activity against both targets and a slightly stronger EGFR kinase inhibition than $\mathbf{6 h}$. The screening concentration was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and the percentage of activity was calculated and shown in Table 5 (Supporting information). It was found that compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ exhibited an excellent selectivity for the EGFR kinase, with only a weak inhibition towards two other kinases, namely Mnk2 and the Pim-1. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 's for these two kinases were further determined and are presented in Table 6 (Supporting information). It is clear from the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 's that compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ is a highly potent and selective EGFR kinase inhibitor. Compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ was more selective than Gefitinib ${ }^{36}$ and nearly 29 -fold more potent against EGFR kinase than against the second most inhibited kinase, Pim-1.

## Role of EGFR, Mnk2 and Pim-1 on the NF-кB pathway

In order to verify whether the additional targets identified for compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ - though being affected only weakly - were the crucial targets for inhibition of NF-кB activation, three selective inhibitors (CGP 57380, SMI-4a and Gefitinib) of the three respective kinases were tested in the U937 reporter gene assay. They were assayed separately in 3 different concentrations " $5,2.5$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ " (Table 7, Supporting information), and also applied to the cells in different combinations " $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound each" (Table 8, Supporting information) to detect potential synergistic effects of the distinct inhibitory activities.

Testing of these specific inhibitors did not reveal any significant inhibition of NF-кB activation, either alone or in combination. In some cases, a weak inhibition was seen, however, without a clear concentration-dependency; thus it was rather a non-specific effect, maybe due to the lack of clear selectivity of the compounds used, so that several kinases in the TNF $\alpha$ signaling pathway might have been weakly affected. The combination of the inhibitors did not lead to additive or synergistic effects either. Thus,
these kinases could be excluded as potential new targets or a target combination in the U973 cell NF-кB activation pathway.

Given the remarkable selectivity of compound $\mathbf{6 c}$, it was rather unlikely that the new biological target was another protein kinase, although it could not be fully excluded since not the complete kinome was screened, only some representative kinases from each branch. However, if it was a kinase, then it would be from an unknown NF-кB activation pathway induced by TNF $\alpha$, because all kinases identified as part of the TNF- $\alpha$ receptor complex ${ }^{31}$ had been included in the kinase screen, also all other kinases which had been mentioned in literature before to play a role in NF-кB activation. In addition, all growth factor dependent kinase pathways were silenced in the U937 cells because of the serum starvation, e.g. the PI3 kinase pathway in which many NF-кB kinases are activated. Hence, targeting of such inactive kinases by our inhibitor $\mathbf{6 c}$ would not have produced an effect in the reporter gene assay.

## Elucidation of the mechanism of action responsible for NF-кB suppression

Having developed novel dual inhibitors, we aimed at investigating the cellular mechanism of action which was responsible for the observed suppression of NF-кB activation in the reporter gene assay, assuming that it was not the inhibition of another kinase. For this purpose we selected two different sets of test compounds. On the one hand, we included $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$ displaying a markedly enhanced activity against the NF-кB and still potent EGFR inhibitory activity. On the other hand, the original hit compound 4b possessed an advantageous potency towards EGFR kinase - probably due to the lack of lipophilic substituents at the benzyl residue (cf. SAR discussion above) - while it was less potent in the NF-кB reporter gene assay. Because of these somewhat distinct properties and the slightly different chemotype, both groups of compounds, $\mathbf{6 c} / \mathbf{6 h}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, were selected for investigation of the new biological activity. The most obvious biological activity to test was the potential inhibition of the proteasome. The prototype of proteasome inhibitors, Bortezomib, inhibits two of the three distinct proteolytic activities and prevents the degradation of the $\mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B}$ protein, thus blocking the release of the $\mathrm{NF}-\kappa \mathrm{B}$ dimer. ${ }^{37}$

For each of the three proteolytic activities, the trypsin-like, the chymotrypsin-like, and the caspase-like, we used a specific fluorigenic peptide and total protein extract from MDA-MB-231 cells as a source of proteasomal activities, basically as described. ${ }^{38}$ However, whereas Bortezomib used as a positive control inhibited all three proteolytic activites, including the caspase-like activity at higher concentrations, none of the three test compounds showed any inhibitory activity even at $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (data not shown). Thus, the three main proteolytic activities of the proteasome could be excluded as molecular targets.

## NF-кB translocation assay

As an alternative approach to unravel the mechanism involved in NF-кB suppression, we analysed whether the translocation of the RelA subunit of NF-кB (p65) was inhibited by the compounds. To this end, we used a high-content screening system employing a CHO cell line stably expressing a GFP-p65 fusion protein. A cytoplasmic retention of this construct in the presence of the test compounds, as indicated by a diffuse cytoplasmic fluorescence, would signify an inhibition of the upstream NF-кB activation. The system automatically quantifies the ratio of cytoplasmic vs. nuclear fluorescence and provides microphotographs of each well. The cells were first stimulated for 30 min by 25 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{IL}-1 \beta$ after which the translocation of the GFP-NFкB-p65 fusion protein from the cytoplasm to the nucleus was visualized; Bortezomib was used as a positive control, and Gefitinib was also included for comparison. Intriguingly, compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ repeatedly showed a clear concentration-dependent inhibition of the NF-кB-p65 translocation (Figures 1 and 2). Since $\mathbf{4 b}$ suffered from solubility problems in the serum-free F12 medium, Pluronic F-127 was added in some experiments to increase the solubility at higher concentrations, however, inhibition was noted already starting at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ also in the absence of Pluronic F-127. In contrast, Gefitinib was inactive even at high concentrations $(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and so were the phenylthiourea derivatives $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$. Even in the presence of Pluronic F-127, which successfully prevented the precipitation that was observed before in the microphotographs, neither of the compounds prevented the migration of the NF-кB construct to the nucleus. This finding was unexpected given the high similarity of compounds $6 \mathbf{c}$ and 6 h with compound $\mathbf{4 b}$.

## Analysis of the compound's effect on protein ubiquitinylation

To corroborate the results from the NF-кB translocation assay by a different experimental approach, we examined the effect of the test compounds on the polyubiquitinylation level of the cellular proteins. To this end, we incubated HeLa cells with our test compounds for 6 h , isolated the cellular proteins and analyzed the amount of polyubiquitinylated proteins by Western Blotting. Indeed, we observed that compound 4b produced a significant accumulation of polyubiquitinylated proteins already at $7.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 1B). The positive control compound Bortezomib caused the strongest increase, whereas Gefitinib was again completely ineffective in this assay. These results correlated well with the findings from the NF-кB translocation assay. We obtained similar results for compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ in MDA-MB-231 cells (data not shown); however, in this cell type, Bortezomib was not suitable as a positive control, so that we used HeLa cells instead that were previously reported to show the desired polyubiquitinylation response when treated with Bortezomib. ${ }^{39,40}$ Also in this assay, compounds $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$ failed to show any effect. Altogether, our results indicate that the benzylthiourea derivative $\mathbf{4 b}$ and the two phenylthiourea analogues $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$ have qualitatively distinct biological activities with respect to the suppression of the NF-кB activation. At least for compound 4b, we could clearly show that this compound leads to an accumulation of polyubiquitinylated
proteins, which probably blocks the degradation step of the IкB proteins, so that NF-кB is retained in the cytoplasm. Deubiquitinating enzymes are a large family of enzymes that play essential roles at multiple levels of the proteasome degradation pathway, and dysregulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many human diseases, including cancer. ${ }^{41}$ In particular the effectiveness of the proteasome inhibitor Bortezomib in the treatment of multiple myeloma validated the ubiquitin-proteasome system as a promising anti-cancer therapeutic target. ${ }^{42}$ However, extended treatment with Bortezomib was associated with toxicity and drug resistance, limiting its efficacy. ${ }^{43}$

In contrast, therapeutic strategies that target specific aspects of the ubiquitinproteasome pathway upstream of the proteasome, were discussed to have lower toxicity. ${ }^{44}$ Therefore, our new compound $\mathbf{4 b}$, which interferes with the deubiquitinating enzyme level, might display lower mechanism-related toxicity than in the case of proteasome inhibition.

Since compounds 6c and $\mathbf{6 h}$ did not block the translocation of NF-кB-p65 to the nucleus, we wanted to verify that these compounds did not exhibit general cytotoxicity, e.g. via inhibition of the mRNA or protein synthesis machinery, which would have resulted in decreased luciferase read-out in the reporter gene assay as well. Therefore we tested our most potent compounds in another cytotoxicity assay using CHO cells as a non-tumor cell line, again comparing with Gefitinib. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values with this cell line were considerably higher than that obtained previously with either of the two cancer cell lines (Table 9). The selectivity factors calculated for the growth inhibition of the tumor cell vs. the non-tumor cell line were for all compounds, including $\mathbf{4 b}$, was substantially higher than for Gefitinib opening a large potential therapeutic window for this new class of compounds. Thus, a general cytotoxicity could clearly be ruled out. Rather, we were able to demonstrate a pronounced tumor-selectivity for the novel biological activity, although it could not be identified yet for compounds $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$. Future studies will show whether these compounds interfere with the NF- $\kappa$ B dimer directly or disturb the complexation with co-factors which are required for efficient transcriptional activity particularly in tumor cells.
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Figure 1. Results of the NF-kB translocation assay.


Figure 2. Images of the NF- $\kappa \mathrm{B}$ translocation assay where a sharp fluorescent nucleus indicates translocation of the GFP-NFкB, while the diffuse cytosolic staining indicates that the GFP-NFкB resides in the cytoplasm.

Table 9. Cytotoxicity assay using CHO cells (non-tumor) and calculation of selectivity factor in comparison to the tumor cells A549.

| Compound | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ <br> $\mathbf{A 5 4 9}$ cells | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ <br> $\mathbf{C H O}-\mathrm{K1}$ <br> cells | Fold selectivity <br> non-tumor vs. <br> tumor cell line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 b}$ | 2.1 | 34,8 | 16,6 |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | 1.6 | 25,7 | 16,1 |
| $\mathbf{6 h}$ | 1.0 | 52,1 | 52,1 |
| Gefitinib | 9.3 | 43,7 | 4,7 |
| Bortezomib | 0.07 | $<0.06$ | N.A. |

N.A.: not applicable.

## Conclusion

A series of thiourea quinazoline derivatives have been synthesized in order to achieve a dual inhibitory activity towards the EGFR and NF-кB activation pathway. These two complementary pathways are essential for the growth and survival of the cancer cells. Therefore, the dual inhibitory activity would offer a synergistic effect that could be used for cancer cells that are not sensitive or intermediately sensitive to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors alone. We have been able to identify compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ as a good hit (among others that were weaker). Optimization of the hit compound resulted in $6 \mathbf{c}$ and $6 \mathbf{h}$ as best compounds for NF- $\kappa$ B inhibition with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ s in the low micromolar and submicromolar range, respectively. The best compounds $\mathbf{6 c}, \mathbf{6 h}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$
were investigated for their mechanism of NF-кB inhibition. For compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ we identified a mechanism mainly involving the deubiquitination step, whereas for $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 h}$ it remains elusive. There is no inhibition of the proteasome nor do the compounds inhibit the translocation of NF-кB to the nucleus and they don't inhibit the deubiquitination step. However, we can exclude a general cytotoxicity; rather, the compounds display a tumorcell selective cytotoxic effect, which was very promising. Compound 6c also showed much higher selective towards EGFR kinase than Gefitinib.

## Experimental

## Chemistry

Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to the residual protonated solvent signals. The purities of the tested compounds $\mathbf{4 a - 4 q}, \mathbf{6 a - 6 u}, \mathbf{7 a - 7 e}$ and $\mathbf{8 a - 8 b}$ were determined by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry and were higher than $95 \%$ in all cases. Mass spectrometric analysis (HPLC-ESI-MS) was performed on a TSQ quantum (Thermo Electron Corporation) instrument equipped with an ESI source and a triple quadrupole mass detector (Thermo Finnigan). The MS detection was carried out at a spray voltage of 4.2 kV , a nitrogen sheath gas pressure of $4.0 \times 105 \mathrm{~Pa}$, an auxiliary gas pressure of $1.0 \times 105$ Pa , a capillary temperature of $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a capillary voltage of 35 V , and a source CID of 10 V. All samples were injected by an autosampler (Surveyor, Thermo Finnigan) with an injection volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. An RP C18 NUCLEODUR 100-3 (125 x 3 mm ) column (Macherey-Nagel) was used as the stationary phase. The solvent system consisted of water containing $0.1 \%$ TFA (A) and $0.1 \%$ TFA in acetonitrile (B). HPLC-Method: flow rate $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. The percentage of B started at an initial of $5 \%$, was increased up to $100 \%$ during 16 min , kept at $100 \%$ for 2 min , and flushed back to $5 \%$ in 2 min . Melting points are uncorrected and were determined on Buchi melting point apparatus (B-540). The IR spectra were measured on Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrometer.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4b). Yield 52\%; 197-198; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.91$ (s, 1H), $9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ (ddd, $J=7.9,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.56,157.11,153.66,147.51,141.07,139.00,136.98$, 131.83, 131.80, 130.41, 128.21, 127.41, 126.83, 125.86, 123.89, 121.21, 120.43, 117.77, 115.26, 47.51. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=464.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)thiourea (6c). Yield $62 \%$; m.p. $180-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.19$ (s, 1H), 10.06 (s, 1H),
$9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.84$ (m, 2H), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (td, $J=8.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (ddd, $J=7.9,2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.49, 157.15, 153.80, 147.66, 140.96, 140.89, $137.09,132.49,132.03,130.40,130.00,128.10,125.95,124.34,124.05,123.52,122.43$, 121.18, 120.59, 118.36, 115.13. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=483.34(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)thiourea (6h). Yield $53 \%$; m.p. $206-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.19$ (s, 1H), 9.98 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ (ddd, $J=8.1,2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.9,4.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.77,157.17,154.42\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=244.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.87,147.75,140.98$, $137.00,136.59,132.07,130.41,128.21,126.43,125.97,125.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{C-F}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $124.05,121.21,120.59,118.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 118.46,116.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 115.18. $\mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=501.83(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## Biology screening

EGFR kinase phosphorylation assay. Phosphorylation assays were performed in a final volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing 8 mM MOPS ( pH 7.0 ), 0.2 mM EDTA, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2_{2}, 200$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate peptide, 0.25 mM DTT, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{BSA}, 10 \mathrm{ng}$ wild-type EGFR-Kinase (Cat. No. 40187, BPS Bioscience), 10 mM magnesium acetate, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \gamma-\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP, and inhibitors or DMSO control ( $1.25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). For $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curves with the wild-type enzyme, the following concentrations of the compounds (in nM ) were tested in triplicates: 150, 100, $50,25,15,10,7.5,5,2.5$. The assays were repeated at least once. Reactions were started by the addition of the magnesium acetate/ATP mixture. After 30 min incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each reaction was spotted on phosphocellulose P81 paper (Whatman). The P81 paper was then washed 5 times with 50 mM phosphoric acid for 15 min , dried and exposed to a phosphorimager screen, which was scanned and densitometrically analyzed the next day. The sequence of the substrate peptide was derived from phospholipase C- $\gamma 1$ and had the sequence "KHKKLAEGSAYEEV", according to Fry et al. ${ }^{45}$

Reporter Gene Assay. The NF-кB reporter gene assay was performed in U937 cells exactly as previously described. ${ }^{46}$

MDA-MB-231 and A549 cell growth assay. Cells were seeded in 96-well standard assay microplates at a density of 45,000 cells/well for growth assays, then allowed to acclimate overnight before compound addition. After 24 hours cells were treated with the different concentrations of the compounds. Cells were incubated for an additional 48 hours at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of MTT reagent (prepared as $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ PBS) are added
and then incubated for additional 4 hours. After that $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ SDS (prepared as $10 \%$ in 0.01 N $\mathrm{HCl})$ are then added and incubated for additional 1 hour. Absorbance is then measured at wavelength 570 nm in a plate reader (PolarStar, BMG Labtech, Freiburg, Germany).

Cytotoxicity assay for CHO cells. CHO-K1 cells (ACC-110) were obtained from the from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Deutsche Sammlung für Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, DSMZ) and were cultured under conditions recommended by the depositor. Cells were seeded at $6 \times 10^{3}$ cells per well of 96 -well plates in $180 \mu \mathrm{l}$ complete medium ( $\mathrm{F} 12,10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ) and treated with compounds at the indicated concentrations after 2 h of equilibration. Each compound was tested in duplicate. After 5 d incubation, $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ MTT (Thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide) in PBS was added per well and it was further incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The medium was then discarded and cells were washed with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PBS before adding $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 2-propanol/10 N HCl (250:1) in order to dissolve formazan granules. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured using a microplate reader (SpectraMax M5e, Molecular Devices) and cell viability was expressed as percentage relative to the control. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by sigmoidal curve fitting.

High-Content Screening Analysis. The stable CHO/NFкBp65-GFP cell line was obtained from Affymetrix and cultivated as recommended by the distributor. For screening, cells were seeded into 96-well imaging plates (BD Falcon) at $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in F12K medium (GIBCO, Invitrogen) containing 10\% FBS Gold (v/v; PAA) and 100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ hygromycin B (Roche Applied Science) and were incubated for 2 days. The medium was exchanged to F12K medium containing $1 \%$ FBS. Cells were incubated with test compounds at the indicated concentrations for 3.5 h . For induction of NFкB translocation, $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ IL- $1 \beta$ was added and the cells were further incubated for 0.5 h . Cells were washed twice with PBS and nuclei were stained with Hoechst33342 (5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}, 10 \mathrm{~min})$. The translocation of NFкBp65 was analyzed by measuring the GFP fluorescence on an automated microscope (BD Pathway855). Nuclei and cytoplasmic segments were defined in subsequent analyses (AttoVision v1.6.2) and GFP fluorescence intensities were calculated within these segments. The ratio of GFP fluorescence intensity in nuclei and cytosplasm was used as a measure to describe the degree of NFкB nuclear translocation.

Kinase selectivity assay. All kinases were tested using ATP concentrations at the respective $K_{m}$ values and was performed by Merck Millipore Kinase Profiler Service.

Polyubiquitinylation assay. HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (10\% FCS and antibiotics), seeded in 12 well plates, and after confluency starved overnight in DMEM containing $0,1 \%$ FCS. The next day, test compounds or DMSO control were added and the cells incubated for 6 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Supernatants were then removed and the
cells lysed using $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ SDS PAGE buffer. The soluble fraction was subject to Western Blotting, and the membranes were incubated with anti-ubiquitin antibody (Cell Signaling, cat. \#3933, dilution $1: 250$ ) as a primary antibody at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The following day, the Blot was developed using RDY686 labeled goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody and the fluorescence signals detected in a LI-COR Odyssey apparatus.

## Supporting information

Table 5. Kinase inhibition selectivity profile for compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.

| Kinase | \% Activity | Kinase | \% Activity | Kinase | \% Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abl(h) | 142 | IGF-1R(h) | 77 | PKA(h) | 107 |
| ACK1(h) | 72 | IKK $\alpha$ (h) | 122 | PKB $\alpha$ (h) | 112 |
| ALK(h) | 71 | IKK $\beta$ (h) | 101 | PKC $\alpha$ (h) | 102 |
| AMPK 1 1(h) | 103 | IRAK1(h) | 96 | PKC $\delta(\mathrm{h})$ | 96 |
| ASK1(h) | 115 | JAK2(h) | 115 | PKC0(h) | 86 |
| Aurora-A(h) | 83 | JNK1 $\alpha 1$ (h) | 96 | PKC弓(h) | 96 |
| Axl(h) | 93 | JNK2 2 (h) | 103 | PKD2(h) | 99 |
| Blk(h) | 91 | JNK3(h) | 88 | Plk1(h) | 99 |
| BRK(h) | 89 | KDR(h) | 90 | PRAK(h) | 68 |
| BrSK1(h) | 39 | Lck(h) | 71 | PRK2(h) | 95 |
| BTK(h) | 99 | LKB1(h) | 75 | RIPK2(h) | 80 |
| CaMKI(h) | 82 | LOK(h) | 68 | ROCK-II(h) | 106 |
| CDK2/cyclinA(h) | 99 | Lyn(h) | 74 | Rse(h) | 90 |
| CDK5/p25(h) | 99 | MAPK1(h) | 82 | Rsk1(h) | 126 |
| CDK9/cyclin T1(h) | 95 | MAPKAP-K2(h) | 100 | SAPK2a(h) | 93 |
| CHK1(h) | 93 | MEK1(h) | 100 | SAPK2b(h) | 101 |
| CHK2(h) | 65 | MARK1(h) | 96 | SAPK3(h) | 95 |
| CK1 $\mathbf{1}$ 1(h) | 72 | $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{h})$ | 96 | SAPK4(h) | 110 |
| CK2(h) | 93 | MINK(h) | 72 | SGK(h) | 100 |
| CSK(h) | 106 | MKK4(m) | 74 | SRPK1(h) | 89 |
| c-RAF(h) | 77 | MKK6(h) | 98 | STK33(h) | 100 |
| cSRC(h) | 96 | MKK7 ${ }^{\text {(h) }}$ | 112 | Syk(h) | 99 |
| DDR2(h) | 85 | MLCK(h) | 83 | TAK1(h) | 99 |
| DRAK1(h) | 77 | MLK1(h) | 86 | TAO1(h) | 55 |
| eEF-2K(h) | 140 | Mnk2(h) | 22 | TBK1(h) | 91 |
| EGFR(h) | -14 | MSK1(h) | 117 | TGFBR1(h) | 100 |
| EphA5(h) | 101 | MSK2(h) | 100 | Tie2 (h) | 115 |
| EphB4(h) | 90 | MST1(h) | 107 | TLK2(h) | 101 |
| ErbB4(h) | 46 | MST2(h) | 77 | TrkA(h) | 60 |
| FGFR1(h) | 65 | NEK2(h) | 97 | Txk(h) | 77 |
| Flt1(h) | 81 | NEK6(h) | 112 | ULK2(h) | 91 |
| Flt3(h) | 101 | p70S6K(h) | 61 | VRK2(h) | 103 |
| Fyn(h) | 82 | PAK4(h) | 96 | Yes(h) | 78 |
| GCK(h) | 56 | PDGFR $\beta$ (h) | 112 | ZAP-70(h) | 105 |
| GSK3 ${ }^{\text {(h) }}$ | 82 | PhK $\gamma 2$ (h) | 101 |  |  |
| HIPK1(h) | 95 | Pim-1(h) | 29 |  |  |

Table 6. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for compound $\mathbf{6 c}$ against EGFR, Mnk2 and Pim-1.

| Kinase | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M} \mathbf{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| EGFR (h) | 0.041 |
| Mnk2(h) | 2.7 |
| Pim-1(h) | 1.2 |

Table 7. \% inhibition of U937 reporter gene assay for CGP 57380, SIM-4a and Gefitinib at different concentrations

|  | \% Inhibition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conc. | CGP 57380 | SIM-4a | Gefitinib |
| $\mathbf{5 \mu M}$ | 40.4 | -0.4 | 37.6 |
| $\mathbf{2 , 5} \boldsymbol{M}$ | 37.5 | -6.2 | 32.6 |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | 30.3 | 17.5 | 24.8 |
| $\mathbf{0 , 5} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | N.D. | N.D. | 21.2 |

N.D.: Not Determined

Table 8. \% inhibition of U937 reporter gene assay using different combinations of CGP 57380, SIM-4a and Gefitinib at 1 uM concentration.

| Comp. <br> Combination | \% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inhibition |  |
| $\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{S}$ | 11.5 |
| C+G | 13.4 |
| S+G | 16.4 |
| C+S+G | 28.8 |
| C= CGP 57380 |  |
| S= SIM-4a |  |
| G= Gefitinib |  |

## Experimental

Chemistry
Ethyl $N$-(2-cyano-4-nitrophenyl)formimidate (1). 5 g ( 30.6 mmol ) of 2-amino-5nitrobenzonitrile was refluxed in 50 ml of triethyl orthoformate for 24 hours in the presence of 10 drops of acetic anhydride. The reaction was then concentrated under vacuum and the remaining residue was poured on ice water where a precipitate has been formed. The ppt. was filtered under vacuum and left to dry to give compound 1. Yield $82 \%$; IR: $v^{\sim}=2228.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 156.31,156.08$, $143.50,130.58,128.84,122.20,115.56,114.95,63.65,13.87$.

General procedure for the synthesis of $N$-(substituted)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2a-2q). Compound 1 ( 5 mmol ) was refluxed for 1 hour with the respective amine derivative ( 5 mmol ) in 8 ml glacial acetic acid. A precipitate is formed during the reaction which is filtered on hot and the precipitate is then washed with diethyl ether to give the corresponding nitro quinazoline derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 a - 2 p}$ ). If a precipitate is not formed, the solution is poured on ice water and the formed precipitate is filtered followed by washing with diethyl ether to give the corresponding nitroquinazoline derivative.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2-bromophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2a). Yield 71\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.10,157.89,152.99,144.50,136.73,132.95,130.13,129.47,128.81$, $128.44,126.75,122.11,120.83,113.79 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=344.80(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-(3-bromophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2b)

As reported. ${ }^{1}$
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-bromophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2c). Yield $82 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.09,158.01,153.50,145.03,138.33,131.83,130.04,127.17$, 125.08, 121.30, 116.77, 114.88. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=344.79(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(3-chlorophenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2d). Yield 75\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$
$159.13,157.95,153.47,145.10,140.49,133.25,130.64,130.10,127.20,124.45,122.47$, 121.33, 121.25, 114.86. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=300.94(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

6-nitro-N-(m-tolyl)quinazolin-4-amine (2e). Yield 70\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.77$, 157.72, 153.07, 144.43, 138.29, 137.73, 129.42, 128.34, 126.49, 125.23, 123.32, 120.82, 120.05, 114.35, 21.13. MS (+ESI): m/z = $280.94(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(2,3-dimethylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2f). Yield 64\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57-8.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.60,158.63,153.55,144.78,137.86,136.78,134.15,129.80,128.78$, 126.97, 126.13, 125.73, 121.46, 114.42, 20.56, 14.84. MS (+ESI): m/z = $294.98(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(3-ethylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2g) Yield $69 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ): $\delta 159.95,158.71,154.53,145.94$, 145.60, 139.63, 130.90, 129.40, 127.13, 125.00, 122.80, 120.90, 120.67, 115.60, 29.48, 15.97.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-isopropylphenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2h). Yield 65\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51$ (dd, $J=9.2$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ (hept, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $158.76,157.74,153.08,144.74,144.36,135.99,129.37,126.45,126.23,123.02,120.78$, 114.30, 32.97, 23.90. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=309.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{N}$-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2i). Yield 72\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.31 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.27,158.23,153.58,147.47,144.87$, $136.18,129.88,126.95,125.63,123.17,121.29,114.81,34.64,31.65 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $323.00(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{N}$-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-6-nitroquinazolin-4-amine (2j). Yield 68\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$
$7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (ddd, $J=8.5,2.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $158.31,157.00,152.66,143.83,139.41,136.95,136.24,128.70,127.96,126.28,126.13$, 125.78, 125.34, 122.36, 120.20, 114.18. MS (+ESI): m/z = $342.90(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

6-nitro-N-(4-phenoxyphenyl)quinazolin-4-amine (2k). Yield 66\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.24,158.25,157.46$, 156.59, 153.57, 144.94, 134.39, 130.54, 129.94, 127.10, 125.20, 123.78, 121.31, 119.37, 118.77, 114.82. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=358.87(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

3-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)phenol (21). Yield 73\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 6.60 (ddd, $J=7.7,2.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.22$, $158.15,157.92,153.56,144.92,139.84,129.89,129.59,126.98,121.36,114.89,114.05$, 112.16, 110.45. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=283.03(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)phenol (2m). Yield 79\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.28,158.42,155.13,153.58,144.75,130.01$, $129.73,126.84,125.42,121.23,115.48,114.76 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=282.92(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (2n) Yield 78\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.32 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.72,157.40,153.03,144.68$, 141.53, 139.28, 129.63, 126.76, 126.30, 122.17, 120.83, 114.44. MS (+ESI): m/z = $346.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N$-carbamimidoyl-4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (2o) Yield $75 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.83-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 158.71, 158.13, 157.47, 153.04, 144.66, 141.00, 139.86, 129.62, 126.77, 126.23, 122.07, 120.87, 114.46. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=387.87(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((6-nitroquinazolin-4-yl)amino)- N -(pyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (2p) Yield $75 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
$5.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (ddd, $J=8.9,7.2$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.0,5.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 158.64,157.35,153.03,144.70,141.98,140.23,140.21,136.69$, 136.67, 129.65, 127.35, 126.79, 121.94, 120.84, 115.72, 114.48, 113.65. MS (+ESI): m/z $=423.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

6-nitro-N-(pyridin-3-yl)quinazolin-4-amine (2q). Yield 60\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 159.39,157.96,153.44,145.62,145.02,144.57,135.68,130.37,130.05,127.17$, 123.83, 121.22, 114.76. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=268.01(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compunds (3a-3q). ${ }^{2}$ A mixture of the respective nitroquinazoline derivative ( $\mathbf{2 a - 2 q}$ ) ( 5 mmol ) and stannous chloride ( 25 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at reflux for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The excess MeOH was removed under reduced pressure; the remaining residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 200 ml ) and basified with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting mixture was filtrated under vacuum followed by separation of the organic phase from the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), these organic fractions were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding aminoquinazoline derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 a - 3 q}$ ).
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(2-bromophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3a). Yield $80 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (dd, $J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.83,149.98,147.26,142.46$, 138.00, 132.66, 128.95, 128.61, 128.13, 127.20, 123.67, 121.14, 116.20, 100.69. MS $(+$ ESI): m/z = $314.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## $N^{4}$-(3-bromophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine(3b)

As reported. ${ }^{1}$
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-bromophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3c). Yield $83 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.21,150.09$, 147.81, 143.13, 139.92, 131.56, $129.18,124.23,123.79,117.19,114.74,101.41 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=314.87(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(3-chlorophenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3d). Yield $81 \%$; m.p. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$,
$1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.09 (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.62 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.17$, 150.02, 147.87, 143.21, 142.09, 133.16, 130.41, 129.23, 124.33, 122.66, 121.06, 120.08, 117.20, 101.32. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=270.89(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(m-tolyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3e). Yield 75\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.53,150.38,147.64,143.05,140.33,137.88$, $129.09,128.64,124.07,123.99,122.70,119.43,117.16,101.62,21.73 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=250.98(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3f). Yield 79\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.52$, 153.27, 150.45, 146.95, 142.34, 137.79, 136.91, 133.55, 128.46, 127.32, 125.32, 123.31, 116.18, 101.35, 20.16, 14.36. MS $(+E S I): m / z=264.8(M+H)$.
$N^{4}$-(3-ethylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3g) Yield 77\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.08, 149.93, 147.22, 143.85, 142.55, 139.93, $128.64,128.24,123.54,122.42,121.06,119.27,116.72,101.16,28.34,15.63 . \mathrm{MS}$ (+ESI): m/z = $265.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(4-isopropylphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3h). Yield $81 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=13.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $156.10,149.95,147.09,142.99,142.52,137.56,128.58,126.01,123.43,121.96,116.60$, 101.13, 32.90, 23.99. MS (+ESI): $m / z=278.90(M+H)$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3i). Yield 86\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.10,149.94,147.10,145.22,142.52,137.24,128.57$, $124.91,123.44,121.62,116.61,101.13,33.99,31.24 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=293.06(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3j). Yield 72\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26 (dd, $J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.38$, 150.30, 147.74, 143.16, 140.39, 140.01, 134.84, 129.36, 129.17, 127.37, 127.00, 126.70, 124.12, 122.29, 117.26, 101.55. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=312.96(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{4}$-(4-phenoxyphenyl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3k). Yield $74 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.95, 156.47, $152.00,150.35,147.64,143.01,136.25,130.41,129.10,124.00,123.94,123.36,119.58$, 118.29, 117.06, 101.55. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=328.93(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

3-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)phenol (31). Yield 80\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54$ $-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.81,156.46,150.33$, 147.63, 143.05, 141.44, 129.36, 129.09, 123.98, 117.20, 112.93, 110.49, 109.23, 101.66. MS (+ESI): m/z = $252.96(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)phenol (3m). Yield 84\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.47 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.80,153.99,150.67,147.43,142.81$, $131.63,128.97,124.62,123.70,116.94,115.30,101.72 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=252.97(\mathrm{M}+$ H).

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (3n) Yield $82 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.57 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 155.64$, 149.45, 147.46, 143.17, 142.82, 137.43, 128.73, 126.24, 123.98, 120.52, 116.82, 100.81. MS $(+E S I): m / z=316.15(M+H)$.

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-carbamimidoylbenzenesulfonamide (3o) Yield $85 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 158.04, 155.66, 149.52, 147.40, 142.82, 142.60, 138.03, 128.73, 126.12, 123.90, 120.41, 116.81, 100.86 .

4-((6-aminoquinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-(pyridin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (3p) Yield $83 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (ddd, $J=8.7,7.2,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.1,5.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.56,152.86,149.34,147.54,143.71,142.72,139.89,134.51$, 128.66, 127.42, 124.07, 122.00, 120.39, 116.88, 116.06, 113.31, 100.79. MS (+ESI): m/z $=392.92(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$N^{4}$-(pyridin-3-yl)quinazoline-4,6-diamine (3q). Yield \%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=4.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.63 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.45,150.08,147.88$, 144.01, 143.69, 143.16, 137.17, 129.18, 128.98, 124.36, 123.65, 117.13, 101.39. MS $(+E S I): m / z=238.05(M+H)$.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (4a-4q). A mixture of the corresponding derivative ( $3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{q}$ ) ( 1 mmol ) and benzylisothiocyante ( 1.2 mmol ) was stirred at room temperature in 10 ml DMF "Dimethylformamide". Excess solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue purified using column chromatography with ethylacetate as eluent.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((2-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4a). Yield 53\%; m.p. $207-209^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.37 (s, 2H), 7.88 (dd, $J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.79-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.63$, 158.42, 154.06, 147.43, 138.96, 137.46, 136.99, 132.84, 131.73, 129.75, 128.27, 128.24, 128.03, 127.95, 127.40, $126.85,121.81,117.47,114.74,47.40 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=463.76(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((4-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4c). Yield 59\%; m.p. $210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.53,157.12$, 153.71, 147.51, 138.99, 138.75, 136.84, 131.74, 131.70, 131.23, 128.20, 127.38, 126.82, 123.74, 117.87, 115.27, 115.11, 47.50. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=463.77(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-chlorophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4d). Yield 61\%; m.p. $200-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (ddd, $J=$ 8.0, 2.1, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.53$, $157.14,153.59,147.32,140.87,138.97,136.99,132.74,131.81,130.09,128.20,128.07$, $127.39,126.82,123.00,121.09,120.05,117.80,115.23,47.49$. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=419.69$ $(M+H)$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-(m-tolylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4e). Yield 49\%; m.p. 191$193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (ddd, $J=14.0,10.1,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.3,1.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.70,157.44,153.72,146.97,139.11,139.02,137.57,137.03$, 131.64, 128.27, 128.20, 127.59, 127.36, 126.79, 124.37, 122.71, 119.44, 117.69, 115.20, 47.31, 21.20. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=399.81(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((2,3-dimethylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4f). Yield $55 \%$; m.p. $161-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.61,158.73,154.42$, $147.42,139.02,137.14,137.06,136.54,133.61,131.57,128.21,127.92,127.75,127.38$, $126.82,125.46,125.37,118.11,114.84,47.47,20.12,14.35 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=413.84$ $(M+H)$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-ethylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4g). Yield 59\%; m.p. $196-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 181.55, 157.37, 153.97, 147.52, 143.97, 139.23, $139.03,136.64,131.58,128.31,128.19,128.12,127.38,126.79,123.09,121.38,119.59$, 118.11, 115.29, 47.50, 28.26, 15.55. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=413.88(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((4-isopropylphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4h). Yield $63 \%$; m.p. $184-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ $-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 181.57, $157.41,154.02,147.50,143.78,139.04,136.88,136.59,131.59,128.19,128.09,127.38$,
$126.80,126.16,122.35,118.16,115.24,47.48,32.94,23.97 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=427.87$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4i). Yield $51 \%$; m.p. $173-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 181.57, 157.41, 154.02, 147.53, 146.00, 139.03, 136.64, 136.57, 131.62, 128.19, 128.08, 127.38, 126.80, 125.06, 122.00, $118.15,115.25,47.49,34.07,31.22 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=441.63(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-benzylthiourea (4j). Yield 43\%; m.p. $208-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}\right) ~ \delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $14.4,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.54,157.25,153.90,147.56,139.76,139.03,138.81,136.79,136.74$, $135.09,131.67,128.90,128.20,127.40,127.03,126.82,126.62,126.29,122.23,118.02$, 115.36, 47.53. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=461.91(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((4-phenoxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4k). Yield 48\%; m.p. $205-207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.85$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.55 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 181.56, 157.32, 157.28, 153.95, 152.17, 147.47, 139.02, 136.67, 134.99, 131.63, 129.97, 128.20, 128.11, 128.08, 127.39, 126.81, 123.88, 123.04, 119.07, 117.99, 115.21, 47.51. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=477.70(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-hydroxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4l). Yield 66\%; m.p. $229-231{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.42$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.51,157.38,157.30,153.90,147.51,140.27,139.02,136.63$, $131.55,129.01,128.19,128.09,127.38,126.80,118.08,115.33,112.79,110.70,109.13$, 47.51. MS (+ESI): m/z = $401.73(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4m). Yield 54\%; m.p. $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.31$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.55,157.50,154.20,153.97,147.41,139.04,136.41,131.40,130.45,128.19$, $128.00,127.37,126.79,124.35,118.17,115.16,114.91,47.47 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=401.89$ $(M+H)$.

4-((6-(3-benzylthioureido)quinazolin-4-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide (4n). Yield $40 \%$; m.p. $238-240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66$ (s, 1H), $8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.53,157.14,153.58,147.55,142.44,138.97,138.25,137.06$, $131.90,131.86,128.20,127.39,126.83,126.31,121.14,117.79,115.34,47.49 . \mathrm{MS}$ $(+E S I): m / z=464.88(M+H)$.

4-((6-(3-benzylthioureido)quinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-carbamimidoylbenzene sulfonamide (4o). Yield $38 \%$; m.p. $231-233^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.95$ (s, 1H), $9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.55$, 158.08, 157.15, 153.67, 147.62, 141.89, 138.99, 138.83, 137.01, 131.85, 128.33, 128.22, $127.40,126.84,126.22,121.03,117.85,115.36,47.50 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=506.84(\mathrm{M}+$ H).

## 4-((6-(3-benzylthioureido)quinazolin-4-yl)amino)-N-(pyridin-3-yl)benzene

sulfonamide (4p). Yield $46 \%$; m.p. $163-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.49,170.30,157.02,153.52,152.93,147.61$, $142.95,139.99,138.95,137.07,135.39,131.86,131.83,128.24,128.19,127.43,127.39$, $126.81,120.90,117.70,115.37,113.51,47.50 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=541.83(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-(pyridin-3-ylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (4q). Yield 40\%; m.p. 146$148^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 181.58, 157.41, 153.70, 147.51, 144.26, 143.48, 138.98, 136.99, 136.03, 131.87, 131.85, $129.02,128.20,127.39,126.83,123.30,117.86,115.20,47.48$. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=386.83$ $(M+H)$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(3-bromophenyl)-6-isothiocyanatoquinazolin-4-amine (5). Yield $85 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0$, $2.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (ddd, $J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.28,159.32,150.55,139.28,138.59,134.52,130.64,130.59$, 128.96, 126.99, 123.39, 121.17, 120.53, 118.78, 113.97.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-phenylthiourea (6a). Yield 68\%; m.p. $167-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,1.9$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.48$, 157.14, $153.68,147.50,140.99,139.24,137.42,132.18,130.39,128.47,127.86,125.92,124.78$, 124.14, 124.02, 121.18, 120.56, 118.26, 115.08. MS (+ESI): m/z = 449.41 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ).

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)thiourea (6b). Yield $57 \%$; m.p. $172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.19,157.16,153.81,147.73,141.02,137.16,136.25$, $132.35,130.40,130.20,129.91,129.48,127.94,127.75,127.24,125.90,123.95,121.19$, 120.50, 118.41, 115.12. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=483.65(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)thiourea (6d). Yield $55 \%$; m.p. $173-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.11$ (s, 1 H ), $10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.87 (dd, $J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.38$ (m, 2H), $7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.55$, 157.18, 153.71, 147.43, 140.94, 138.31, 137.24, 132.11, $130.40,128.66,128.32,127.91,125.99,125.79,124.07,121.18,120.61,118.36,115.10$. MS (+ESI): m/z = 483.37 (M + H).

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)thiourea (6e). Yield $50 \%$; m.p. $162-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-$ $7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 181.21, 162.27, 157.18, 153.86, 147.75, 140.99,
137.03, 135.56, 132.33, 131.46, 131.18, 130.40, 128.96, 128.00, 127.43, 125.93, 123.98, $121.19,120.52,118.53,115.12 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=517.72(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)thiourea (6f). Yield $47 \%$; m.p. $133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.10 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.49,157.16,153.87,147.73,140.94,139.59,136.92,131.99$, $130.43,130.39,130.16,128.20,126.38,125.97,125.39,124.10,124.06,121.18,120.59$, 118.46, 115.15. MS (+ESI): m/z = $517.58(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)thiourea (6g). Yield $59 \%$; m.p. $177-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.37$ (s, 1H), 10.13 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.42,157.16,153.92,147.79,141.95,140.93$, 136.79, 133.41, 131.95, 130.40, $128.28,125.99,124.09,123.65,122.04,121.18,120.62,118.48,115.16 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=517.71(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)

phenyl)thiourea (6i). Yield $42 \%$; m.p. $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.55, 157.18, 153.95, 147.83, 140.95, 139.10, $136.73,131.89,131.52,130.41,129.05,128.39,126.19\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=30.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.00$, $125.54,124.08,123.01,122.70\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=273.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.20,120.60,118.51,115.22 . \mathrm{MS}$ $(+E S I): m / z=551.59(M+H)$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(2-fluoro-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)thiourea (6j). Yield $49 \%$; m.p. $179-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=535.61(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea (6k). Yield 59\%; m.p. $180-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$
$-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.30 (ddd, $J=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.58$, $157.22,153.80,147.53,143.24,140.94,137.14,132.07,130.42,128.00,126.03,125.59$, $124.34\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=271.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.13,123.40,123.03,121.20,120.66,118.47,115.14 . \mathrm{MS}$ $(+E S I): ~ m / z=517.77(M+H)$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea (61). Yield $44 \%$; m.p. $82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.29$ (s, 1H), 10.14 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 180.65,157.22,153.82,147.56,140.94,140.34$, 136.97, 131.98, 130.42, 129.49, $128.98\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=31.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.15,127.88,126.04$, $124.13,124.06\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.21,120.98,120.65,120.40,118.44,115.19 . \mathrm{MS}$ $(+E S I): m / z=517.68(M+H)$.

1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6yl)thiourea (6m). Yield $49 \%$; m.p. $142-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.57$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.51,157.18,154.02,147.91,141.70,140.88,136.39,131.75,130.39$, $129.95\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=33.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.58,126.03,124.08,124.05,123.20\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $121.18,120.61,118.60,117.27,115.25 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=585.56(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-bromophenyl)-3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thiourea (6n). Yield $62 \%$; m.p. $173-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.01$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-$ $7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 180.48$, 157.14, 153.78, 147.64, 140.98, 138.75, 137.19, 132.07, 131.24, 130.39, 128.05, 126.08, 125.93, 124.02, 121.18, 120.56, 118.36, 116.82, 115.13.MS (+ESI): m/z = 527.56 (M+ H).

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)thiourea (60). Yield 58\%; m.p. 208-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.84$ (m, 2H), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.61, $157.10,155.16,153.62,147.50,141.05,137.63,132.32,130.38,127.72,126.67,125.85$,
$123.95,121.18,120.50,118.23,117.55,115.10,115.03 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=465.54(\mathrm{M}+$ H).

4-(3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thioureido)benzenesulfonamide (6p). Yield $32 \%$; m.p. $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.26$ (d, $J=18.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.80 (dd, $J=13.6,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 180.53,162.27,157.28,153.67,142.48,140.83$, 139.44, 137.24, 132.17, 130.42, 127.71, 126.13, 124.20, 123.18, 121.19, 120.73, 118.46, 117.19, 115.07. MS (+ESI): m/z = $528.30(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-(3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)thioureido)- N -(thiazol-2-yl)benzene sulfonamide (6q). Yield $28 \%$; m.p. $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.69$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $10.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-$ 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.36 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.43, 168.75, 167.50, 162.27, 157.17, 153.79, 147.57, 142.70, 140.94, 137.55, 137.16, 132.08, 130.40, $126.36,125.98,124.43,124.08,123.00,121.18,120.62,118.42,108.15 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=611.22(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)thiourea (6r). Yield $43 \%$; m.p. $114-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ $180.55,157.50,154.27,147.76,146.18,139.14,136.45,136.41,131.64,131.50,129.03$, $128.21,126.16\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=30.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.50,125.10,122.98,122.71\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $122.19,118.72,115.22,34.10,31.22 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=529.69(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(4-((4-phenoxyphenyl)amino)quinazolin-6yl)thiourea (6s). Yield $40 \%$; m.p. $129-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.45$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), $7.12-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 182.75$, 159.87, 158.95, 155.80, 155.37, 148.31, 140.06, 138.53, 135.10, 132.80, 132.74, 130.88, $129.87,129.06\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=31.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.62,126.40,126.28,124.47,124.33,124.17(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.24,119.64,119.03,116.73 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=565.98(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)thiourea (6t). Yield $38 \%$; m.p. $168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$
$10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 180.56,157.35,154.15,147.77,139.76,139.13$, $138.67,136.57,135.28,131.77,131.52,129.06,128.91,128.26,127.07,126.66,126.32$, 126.06, 125.53, $122.71\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.00,122.44,118.68,115.32 . \mathrm{MS}(+\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=549.73(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## 1-(4-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylamino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)

phenyl)thiourea (6u). Yield $48 \%$; m.p. $143-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 10.57 (s, 1H), 10.33 ( s, 1H), 9.88 (s, 1H), $8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.54, 157.36, 154.23, 147.85, $141.74,139.72,138.60,136.24,135.31,131.66,129.95\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{C-F}=32.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.89$, 128.44, 127.06, 126.63, 126.30, 124.05, $123.21\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{C-F}=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.46,118.79$, 117.25, 115.35. MS (+ESI): m/z = $583.79(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-methylthiourea (7a). Yield 39\%; m.p. $207-209^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 181.48,157.06,153.60,147.41,141.02,136.81,131.31,130.38,128.43,125.87$, 123.94, 121.18, 120.50, 117.53, 115.30, 31.58. MS (+ESI): m/z = $387.43(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(pyridin-3-yl)thiourea (7b). Yield \%; m.p. $152-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (ddd, $J=8.3,2.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 181.10, 157.19, 153.80, 147.58, 145.84, 145.56, 140.93, 137.00, 136.20, 132.07, 131.92, 130.41, 128.08, 126.00, 124.07, 123.15, 121.18, 120.62, 118.48, 115.15. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=450.59(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chlorobenzyl)thiourea (7c). Yield $47 \%$; m.p. $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 181.57,157.12,153.71,147.57,141.05,138.17,136.82,131.76,131.33$, 130.41, 129.25, 128.25, 128.10, 125.88, 123.88, 121.22, 120.42, 117.92, 115.29, 46.78. MS (+ESI): m/z = $497.80(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)thiourea (7d). Yield $52 \%$; 149-151; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41$ $8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (ddd, $J=8.0,1.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (ddd, $J=7.9,1.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58$ (s, 2 H ), 2.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 180.71, 157.04, 153.64, 147.40, 141.01, 136.92, 131.49, 130.39, 128.33, 125.87, 123.90, 121.18, 120.44, 117.13, 115.21, 66.14, 56.34, 53.06, 40.94. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=487.16(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)morpholine-4-carbothioamide (7e). Yield 54\%; m.p. 240-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,2.0,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.29 (ddd, $J=8.0,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 182.06,157.10$, 153.64, 147.56, 141.08, 139.19, 133.41, 130.37, $127.45,125.83,123.93,121.18,120.44,118.71,114.89,65.80,48.41 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 443.47 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ).

1-benzyl-3-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)urea (8a). Yield 68\%; m.p. $235-237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.23$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.91, 155.15, 152.14, 145.53, 141.32, 140.20, 138.53, 130.25, 128.33, 128.31, 127.11, $126.75,126.35,125.61,124.07,121.10,120.66,115.69,109.13,42.81 . \mathrm{MS}(+E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=447.42(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((3-bromophenyl)amino)quinazolin-6-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)urea (8b). Yield $65 \%$; m.p. $255-257{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.98,152.53,152.50$, 145.95, 141.22, 138.53, 137.51, 130.27, 128.65, 128.51, 126.65, 125.73, 125.58, 124.16, 121.11, 120.74, 119.87, 115.60, 110.30. MS (+ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=467.51(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
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## 4 Overall Discussion

This chapter summarizes the main results and the best compounds obtained from the whole work as well as the future improvements that could be implemented. The aim of the present thesis was the development of a novel group of potent anticancer compounds that are effective towards cancers that are insensitive or resistant to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors. This was done using 2 strategies: firstly by doing several structural modifications in the compounds that were expected to enhance the activity against the mutant EGFR which causes resistance towards the EGFR inhibitors (Chapters 3.I and 3.II). The second strategy (Chapter 3.III) was by synthesizing compounds having dual inhibitory activity towards the EGFR kinase and the NF-кB activation pathway. This would result in a synergistic anticancer activity that would be effective towards a wide range of cancer cells that are less sensitive or resistant towards the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors such as Gefitinib and Erlotinib. To reach our goal we started from the 6substituted 4-anilinoquinazoline scaffold (I) which was known to possess potent EGFR inhibitory activity.

(I)

The first strategy included two parts of modifications that were expected to enhance the activity towards the mutant EGFR. Chapter 3.I deals with the first part of these modifications which included several diverse variations taking place in position 4 of the quinazoline scaffold (I) while using a Michael acceptor group in position 6 such as the acrylamide group (II). The acrylamide group is added to form a covalent interaction with the Cys 797 of the ATP binding pocket of the EGFR enzyme (Figure 21). This covalent, irreversible binding with the EGFR enzyme may increase effectiveness by prolonging the inhibition of EGFR signaling to the entire lifespan of the drug-bound receptor molecule. In cell culture models, irreversibly binding EGFR inhibitors can produce potent anticancer activity that would be effective towards cells that have acquired resistance to reversible EGFR inhibitors.

(II)

The resistance caused by the T790M mutation takes place at the gatekeeper amino acid that is near the deep pocket within which the 4 -anilino moiety accommodates (Figure 21). Therefore, we managed to make modifications in the portion of the molecule
which is directed towards this point mutation site in a trial to increase the chance of finding such a mutant EGFR-selective ligand. Accordingly, we tested the effect of the modifications of the position 4 substituents - in presence of a Michael acceptor group - on the inhibitory activity of the wild-type and mutant EGFR containing cancer cell lines.


Figure 21: Cocrystal structure of wt EGFR complexed with an irreversible inhibitor which forms a covalent interaction with Cys797 of the enzyme (PDB 2J5F).

The modifications firstly included variable substituents on the aniline ring such as with different dihalo, alkyl halo, alkoxy halo, dialkoxy and alkyl groups (III). This was then followed with the use of free sulfonamide or substituted sulfonamide groups (IV). The last modification in position 4 was replacing the aniline ring with the alicyclic cyclohexyl group (V).


The synthesized compounds were tested for their inhibitory activity towards the purified EGFR kinase and towards cancer cell lines with wild-type EGFR (SKBR3 cells) and double mutated EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M/L858R }}$ (H1975) (Table 2). The modifications resulted in two compounds $\mathbf{I} .4 \mathbf{e}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{I} .4 i$-with alkyl substituents- showing about 4 fold selectivity towards the mutant EGFR containing cell line than the wild-type. The results also showed that the presence of a Michael acceptor group alone -to form an irreversible covalent interaction- is not enough to achieve potent inhibitory activity towards the mutant as well as the wild-type EGFR containing cell lines. And it was clear that the position 4

[^0]substituents have a significant role in modulating the activity of these compounds especially for the cellular activity. Among the conclusions that were obtained from the modifications done in position 4, was that larger more bulky residues such as the pyridyl sulfonamide aniline in $\mathbf{I} .4 n$ seemed to be accepted better by the cells growing dependent on wild-type (wt) EGFR than those dependent on double mutated (DM) EGFR. The disubstituted anilines at position 4 -especially with halogens- are the most potent. Compounds I.4a, I.4b and I.4f were the best compromise showing potent growth inhibitory activities towards both cancer cells with mutant or wt EGFR kinase. Also, among the new findings was that substituents like the cyclohexyl amine in I.4o resulted in an active and potent compound towards the wt EGFR while was not as active towards the mutant EGFR. Further modifications such as introducing different alkyl substituents to the phenyl ring could result in a more shifting of the selectivity towards the mutant cell lines. In addition, further testing against the purified mutant enzyme would exclude any role for off-targets in the cellular activity.

Table 2. Influence of the modifications at the ring in 4-position of the quinazoline nucleus on EGFR inhibitory potency and cell growth.

| Comp. | Fm | $\mathbf{R}$ | Recombinant <br> EGFR Kinase <br> IC $_{50}(\mathbf{n M})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \text { Cell Growth inhibition } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SKBR3 | H1975 |
| I.4a | III | 2-Br, 6-F | 2.2 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| I.4b | III | 4-Br, 2-F | 2.1 | 0.51 | 0.28 |
| I.4e | III | $2 \mathrm{~F}, 3 \mathrm{Me}$ | 1.5 | 1.86 | 0.39 |
| I.4f | III | $4-\mathrm{Br}, 3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 2.5 | 0.36 | 0.40 |
| I.4i | III | 3-Et | 2.7 | 2.82 | 0.68 |
| I.4n | IV |  | 9.8 | 0.39 | >40 |
| I. 40 | V | - | 3.4 | 0.40 | $>40$ |
| Gefitinib | - | - | 4 | 5.36 | 11.39 |

Chapter 3.I also covered the modification taking place in the main scaffold by replacing the quinazoline nucleus with the tetrahydropyridothieno[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine nucleus (VI). The same acrylamido group was present at position 7 while using in position 4 the most potent substituents that were obtained with the quinazoline derivatives (I.4a-I.4o). The results of this modification didn't show significant improvement in the activity over the quinazoline nucleus towards the wt or mutant EGFR containing cell lines. Further trials with smaller scaffolds rather than the big ones could result in an enhanced activity.

(VI)

Chapter 3.II deals with the second part of modifications which include the variations taking place at position 6 of compound (I) -with non-reactive moieties- while using a $m$ bromoaniline in position 4. These modifications were done with an aim to offer chances for extra possible interactions that could take place with the mutant enzyme without covalent binding, in addition to the chance of modulating the cellular activity.

The modifications in the position 6 side chain included several aryl and heterocyclic substituents attached through different linkers to the quinazoline core. The linkers included an imine (VII), amide (VIII), amino alkyl amide (IX) and a thiourea (X) linkage.

(VII)

(VIII)

(IX)

(X)

All the compounds were tested for their inhibitory activity towards the recombinant wt and DM (L858R/T790M) EGFR as well as towards cancer cell lines with wt (KB cells) and double mutated EGFR (H1975) (Table 3). Interestingly, the results confirmed that the presence of aryl or heterocylic rings in the side chain at position 6 of the quinazoline is essential in modulating the activity especially towards the mutant EGFR and also for the cellular activity. Most of the compounds showed significant potency towards the wt EGFR, while only some compounds such as II.6a, II.6b, II.10b only showed potent activity towards the EGFR double mutant which functioned as a highly stringent filter, clearly identifying the most promising modifications of the quinazoline scaffold. Several compounds showed enhanced cellular activity than Gefitinib towards both cell lines. This was clearly observed with the amide derivatives having heterocyclic rings such as II.11i and the benzylthiourea derivative II.6a. The benzylthiourea derivative II.6a retained potent cellular activity in addition to the potent activity towards wt and the DM purified enzymes, representing the most promising lead compound of this study. The furyl derivative II.11i also retained the highest activity in cells beside the potent activity towards only the wt purified enzyme, suggesting that inhibition of H1975
cell growth by II.11i and some other compounds is due to off-target effects. As a major achievement of our study, we were able to identify compounds that show potent inhibition of the mutant enzyme without covalent binding. In addition, we were also able to identify combinations which led to efficient growth inhibition of both cell lines. Further optimization of the aryl substituents at position 6 by replacing the benzyl in II.6a by substituted derivatives or five- and six-membered heterocycles would likely result in optimized EGFR kinase inhibitors which are equally potent towards the wild-type enzyme and Gefitinib-resistant mutants.

Table 3. Influence of the modifications at the position 6 of the quinazoline nucleus on EGFR inhibitory potency and cell growth.

| Comp. | Fm | R | EGFR enzyme assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (nM) |  | Cell Growth inhibition $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wt | DM | KB | H1975 |
| II.11i | VII | 2-furyl | 8.4 | N.D. | 12.3 | 14.3 |
| II.9a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII } \\ & \mathrm{n}=1 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5.2 | N.D. | 14.6 | 27.9 |
| II.10b | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII } \\ & \mathrm{n}=2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 23.1 | 480 | 33.6 | 20.8 |
| II.6a | IX |  | 17.2 | 290 | 8.5 | 18.0 |
| II.6b | IX |  | 10.7 | 1020 | 29.8 | 35.0 |
| Gefitinib | - | - | 4 | 7000 | 17.5 | 30 |

## N.D.: Not Determined

Chapter 3.III deals mainly with a second strategy to treat cancers that are originally insensitive or resistant to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors. This is done through the dual inhibition of two complementary pathways involved in cancer such as the EGFR and NF-кB using a single molecule. In order to achieve this dual inhibitory activity we started by screening most of our previously synthesized compounds -that originally showed an EGFR inhibition- for an NF-кB inhibitory activity using a U937 cells reporter gene assay. The screening resulted in a Hit compound (III.4b) which showed potent activity towards both EGFR and NF-кB, in addition to some other compounds but with lower potencies towards the NF-кB. The Hit compound was the benzylthiourea derivative (III.4b), showing a $97 \%$ inhibition for the NF- $\kappa$ B at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in addition to an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 17.2 nM for the wt EGFR.

The Hit compound was further subjected to optimization which was mainly guided by the NF-кB activity. The optimization of the Hit compound included three parts. The first part was concerned with the modifications of the substituents on the 4 -anilino ring
while keeping the benzylthiourea at position 6 of the quinazoline (XI). The second part was to make modifications in the side chain linked to the thiourea moiety while keeping the 3-bromoaniline at position 4 of the quinazoline (XII). The last part was to confirm the importance of the thiourea group by replacing it with the urea moiety (XIII). Chapter 3.III deals also with the different trials done to identify the molecular target with which these compounds inhibit the NF- KB pathway.

(III.4b)
Hit compound

(XI)

(XII)

(XIII)

All the newly optimized compounds were then tested for their inhibitory activity towards the recombinant EGFR kinase and the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway. In addition, to test the effectiveness of the dual inhibitory activity on the anticancer potency, all the compounds were further tested for their cellular growth inhibitory activity towards the MDA-MB-231 cell line. This cell line was chosen as it overexpresses the EGFR and is not highly sensitive to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitor "Gefitinib" and so would be a good model to prove that the enhanced anticancer activity of the synthesized compounds is due to the dual activity.

A clear structure activity relationship was observed from the modifications taking place at the 4 -anilino ring of the quinazoline. The SAR showed that the optimum substituents for the EGFR activity were the lipophilic groups at the meta position. And it was also clear that the presence of polar hetero atoms on the 4 -anilino ring significantly decrease the activity towards the NF-кB pathway. Accordingly, the compounds that are able to show dual inhibitory activity should have a medium sized halogen in the meta position of the 4 -anilino ring such as in compound III.4d.


| Recombinant <br> EGFR Kinase |  |  | U937 reporter gene <br> assay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
| at 150 nM | $(\mathrm{nM})$ | at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 84.8 | 11.4 | 89.7 | 3.7 |

The next step was to confirm the importance of the presence of the methylene spacer between the thiourea linker and the aromatic ring. This was done by replacing the benzyl
side chain by a phenyl side chain where it was found that the phenyl derivatives are better than their benzyl analogues (Table 4).

(III.6a) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ (III.6d) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$

(III.4b) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
(III.7c) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$

Table 4. Influence of the methylene spacer on the EGFR and NF- $\kappa$ B inhibitory potencies.

|  | Recombinant <br> EGFR Kinase |  | U937 reporter gene <br> assay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
|  | at 150 nM | $(\mathrm{nM})$ | at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| III.4b | 86.1 | 17.2 | 97 | 4.1 |
| III.6a | 86.5 | 15.8 | 90.7 | 5.2 |
| III.7c | 77.5 | 28.3 | 78.6 | N.D. |
| III.6d | 79.6 | 19.5 | 89.5 | 4.9 |

N.D.: Not Determined

This was followed by testing the importance of the thiourea linker by replacing it with a urea moiety. It was significantly clear from the results that the thiourea was essential to retain the activity towards the NF-кB pathway (Table 5).



Table 5. Influence of the replacement of the thiourea linker by a urea, on the EGFR and NF-кB inhibitory potencies.

|  | Recombinant EGFR <br> Kinase | U937 reporter gene <br> assay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nM})$ | \% inhibition <br> at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| at 150 nM | 86.1 | 17.2 | 97 | 4.1 |
| III.4b | 89.9 | 8.9 | 42.6 | N.D. |
| III.8a | 89.9 | 19.5 | 89.5 | 4.9 |
| III.6d | 79.6 | 19.3 | 50.3 | N.D. |
| III.8b | 69.0 |  |  |  |

N.D.: Not Determined

After that it was to confirm the importance of the presence of an aromatic ring in the side chain. This was done by replacing it with a methyl, morpholine and an ethyl
morpholine. The results showed that an aromatic ring is essential in the side chain for retaining the activity towards the NF- $\kappa$ B (Table 6).


Table 6. Influence of the presence of aromatic ring in the side chain on the EGFR and NF-кB inhibitory potencies.

|  | Recombinant <br> EGFR Kinase |  | U937 reporter gene <br> assay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. | \% inhibition <br> at 150 nM | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nM})$ | \% inhibition <br> at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| III.4b | 86.1 | 17.2 | 97 | 4.1 |
| III.7a | 92.2 | 9.1 | 3.0 | N.D. |
| III.7e | 84.0 | 26.9 | 40.1 | N.D. |
| III.7d | 91.8 | 10.7 | 20.9 | N.D. |

N.D.: Not Determined

Next, several substituents were further added to the phenyl thiourea side chain to achieve an enhanced potency for the compounds..


Table 7. Most potent derivatives obtained from the modifications on the phenyl ring of the position 6 side chain.

|  | Recombinant <br> EGFR Kinase |  | U937 reporter gene <br> assay | MDA cell <br> growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | \% inhibition | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
|  | at150 nM | $(\mathrm{nM})$ | at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| III.6c | 74.8 | 20.6 | 97.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| III.6h | 74.1 | 25.3 | 100 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Gef. | 93.2 | 4.0 | 51.3 | 9.7 | 14.2 |

The modifications of the position 6 side chain in presence of $m$-bromoaniline at position 4 showed that several substituents are tolerable either lipophilic or hydrophilic with the hydrophilic or heterocyclic ones being more potent towards the EGFR kinase. This was the opposite in case of the NF-кB activity which showed that the lipophilic substituents are the optimum ones. And any polar groups or heterocyclic rings in this side
chain lead to loss of activity. So in order to keep the dual activity, a lipophilic substituent is essential in this side chain. Several compounds showed variable significant activities against both targets with compounds III.6c and III.6h being the most potent against both targets (Table 7).

The best compounds III.6c, III.6h and III.4b were investigated for their mechanism of NF-кB inhibition. For III.4b we were able to identify a mechanism which is mainly affecting the deubiquitination step, whereas for the other 2 compounds it still remains elusive. There is was no inhibition of the proteasome nor do the compounds inhibit the translocation of NF-кB to the nucleus and they don't inhibit the deubiquitination step. However, we can exclude a general cytotoxicity; rather, the compounds display a tumor-cell selective cytotoxic effect, which was very promising. Further testing to identify the molecular target of the other compounds is to be implemented. In addition, some modifications that would result in better solubility of the compounds, such as replacing the aromatic ring in the side chain by heteroaryl rings, are to be tested.

## Conclusion

Finally, as a general conclusion we have been able to achieve the intended goals by synthesizing compounds effective against cancers that are originally insensitive or resistant to the clinically approved EGFR inhibitors. Chapter 3.I showed that the irreversible inhibitors are effective towards the wild-type and mutant EGFR containing cancer cell lines and that position-4 substituents were important to possibly shift the selectivity towards the mutant EGFR containing cancer cell lines. A higher degree of selectivity might attenuate toxic effects that may be attributed to the irreversible blockage also of the wild type EGFR. Chapter 3.II also represents a success of being able to identify compounds that are potent inhibitors for the mutant EGFR without the requirement for covalent binding. Hence, the modifications done in Chapters 3.I and 3.II have achieved the intended aim of being able to overcome the cancers that are resistant to the EGFR inhibitors. Chapter 3.III also represents a highly successful outcome being able to identify first group of compounds with dual inhibitory activity -towards the EGFR and NF-кB- that is expected to significantly increase efficacy towards cancers that are less sensitive or resistant to the present generation of EGFR inhibitors.
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[^0]:    *For the sake of clarity, all compounds that are referred to in chapter 4 are presented as a combination of a Roman numeral (I-III) and an Arabic numeral with an alphabetical letter. The Roman numeral indicates in which result part they are enclosed and the Arabic numeral with alphabetical letter corresponds to the compound number in the chapter. (e.g. I.4a is compound 4 a described in chapter I of the results).

