A Graph Based Parsing Algorithm for Context-free Languages

Günter Hotz

Technical Report A 01/99

June 1999

e-mail: hotz@cs.uni-sb.de WWW: http://www-hotz.cs.uni-sb.de

Abstract We present a simple algorithm deciding the word problem of c. f. languages in $O(n^3)$. It decides this problem in time $O(n^2)$ for unambiguous grammars and in time O(n) in the case of LR(k) grammars.

Fachbereich 14 Informatik Universität des Saarlandes Postfach 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany

1 Introduction

There are several algorithms known deciding the word problem of general context-free languages in time $O(n^3)$. The algorithm of Younger [You67] is very simple and it solves the problem in time $O(n^3)$, but it takes no advantage of special cases. Kasami in [KT69] describes an algorithm, which decides this problem for unambiguous context-free grammars in time $O(n^2 \log n)$. Early [Ear70] developed an algorithm which decides the general word problem in time $O(n^3)$ but does it for unambiguous grammars in time $O(n^2)$ and for a wide class of grammars as LR(k) grammars [Knu65] in time O(n). His algorithm takes no advantage of grammars in a normal form. The proofs are hard to read. We present here a simple algorithm with the same runtime efficiency as Early's algorithm.

2 Notations and Definitions

Let V, T be finite alphabets, $V \cap T = \emptyset$, $S \in V$ and $P \subset (V \times V^2) \cup (V \times T)$ a c. f. production system in Chomsky normal form (Ch-NF). We assume that the grammar G := (V, T, P, S) does not contain superfluous variables. That means for each $x \in V$ we find $u_1, u_2, u \in T^*$ such that $x \longrightarrow u$ and $s \longrightarrow u_1 x u_2$ holds.

We define linear forms with variables from V and coefficients from the boolean algebra \mathbb{B} . These are mappings

$$\xi: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

and we write $\mathbb{B}\langle V \rangle := \{\xi \mid \xi : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}\}$. We use the equivalent notation

$$\xi := \sum_{v \in V} \xi(v) \cdot v$$

We define the sum and a product in $\mathbb{B}\langle V \rangle$: As usual we put

$$(\xi + \eta)(v) := \xi(v) + \eta(v)$$
 for $v \in V$.

The product x * y for $x, y \in V$ gives all possible reductions of xy relative to P. More formally we define

$$x * y := \sum_{z \in V} \zeta(z) \cdot z \iff (\zeta(z) = 1 \iff (z, xy) \in P.$$

Now we put

$$\xi * \eta := \sum_{x,y \in V} \xi(x) \cdot \eta(y) \cdot (x * y);$$

we use in this definition for $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{B}\langle V \rangle$ the operation $(\alpha \cdot \xi)(v) = \alpha \cdot \xi(v)$ for $v \in V$. The product "*" is not associative. $(\mathbb{B}\langle V \rangle, +, *)$ is distributive. We use furthermore the notation

$$P^{-1}(t) = \sum_{z \in V} \alpha_z^t \cdot z, \ \alpha_z^t = 1 \iff (z, t) \in P.$$

If the operation "*" is associative then for $u = t_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot t_n$ and $\mu(u) := P^{-1}(t_1) * \ldots * P^{-1}(t_n)$ we have

$$u \in L(G) \iff \mu(u)(s) = 1.$$

In this case $(\mathbb{B}\langle V \rangle, *)$ is a finite monoid and $P^{-1} : T^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{B}(V), *)$ is a homomorphism and therefore L(G) is regular.

3 The Graph $\Gamma(G, u)$

We assign to the grammar G and $u \in T^*$ an oriented graph $\Gamma = (K, E)$; K is the set of vertices and E the set of edges and n := |u| the length of u.

$$\begin{array}{ll} K & \cup & \{(v,i,0) \mid v \in V, 1 < i \leq n\} \\ & \cup & \{(v,i,1) \mid v \in V, 1 \leq i < n+1\} \\ E & \cup & \{((v,i,1),(v,j,0)) \mid V \longrightarrow t_i \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{j-1}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\} \end{array}$$

Obviously it holds

$$u \in L(G) \iff ((s, 1, 1), (s, n, 0)) \in E.$$

The graph Γ is closed under the following operation: Let be i < j < m

$$\begin{array}{c} (x,i,1) \xrightarrow{s_1} (x,j,0), \\ (y,j,1) \xrightarrow{s_2} (y,m,0) \end{array}$$

edges of Γ and

 $\zeta := x * y.$

If $\zeta(z) = 1$, then the edge

$$(z, i, 1) \xrightarrow{s_3} (z, m, 0)$$

is in Γ . We write in this case $s_3 := s_1 * s_2$; in general there may be several edges s'_3 in the relation $s'_3 := s_1 * s_2$.

This closure property corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} x &\longrightarrow t_i \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{j-1}, \\ y &\longrightarrow t_j \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$z \longrightarrow xy.$$

Therefore we have $z \longrightarrow t_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{m-1}$ and from this follows by definition of Γ , that s_3 is in E.

Lemma 1. If there are two different operations producing the same edge s_3 , then G is ambiguous.

Proof 1. Let s_1, s_2 and s'_1, s'_2 two pairs of edges from Γ producing under the explained operation the edge s_3 , then we have the two different derivations

Now we assume G not containing superfluous variables. Therefore exist the derivations

$$s \longrightarrow \tilde{u}z\overline{u} \longrightarrow \tilde{u}u_1 \cdot u_2\overline{u} = \tilde{u}u'_1 \cdot u'_2 \cdot \overline{u} \in T^*.$$

So we have more than one derivation of $\tilde{u}u_3\overline{u}$ from S, i.e. G is ambiguous.

4 The algorithm

We now construct a sequence $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ of subgraphs of Γ such that Γ_1 depends only on t_1 and with $\Gamma_n = \Gamma$. We give an operation which constructs Γ_{i+1} from Γ_i and estimate the complexity of this operation.

Let $\Gamma_i := (K_i, E_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and

$$K_i := (v, l, \varepsilon) \in K \mid 1 \le l \le i, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}\} \cup \{(x, i+1, 0) \mid x \in V\},$$

$$E_i := \{s \in E \mid \text{ source}(s), \text{ sink}(s) \in K_i\}.$$

The construction of Γ_1 can be done in time O(1).

We assume Γ_i , i < n has been constructed.

We add t_{i+1} and $\{(v, i+1, 1) \mid v \in V \cup \{v, i+2, 0\} \mid v \in V\}$ to K_i . We in the first step add the following edges of E to E_i :

$$(v, i+1, 1) \longrightarrow (v, i+2, 0)$$
 for $v \longrightarrow t_{i+1}$.

Let Γ'_i the result of this construction. Now we apply the closure operations

$$s_1 * s_2 \longrightarrow s_3$$

to edges s_1, s_2 from Γ'_i . Γ_i being closed under these operations we have to begin with the new edges in Γ'_i . We have the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x,j,1) \xrightarrow{s_1} & (x,i+1,0) \\ & (y,i+1,1) \xrightarrow{s_2} & (y,i+2,0). \end{array}$$

We built from $s_1 * s_2$

$$(z, j, 1) \xrightarrow{s_3} (z, i+2, 0),$$

if $(z, xy) \in P$.

Iterating this construction in the worst case we need $O(n^2)$ elementary operations to construct Γ_{i+1} from Γ_i , because each edge of Γ'_i we have to consider only once.

To construct Γ_n by this procedure therefore needs in the worst case $O(n^3)$ *-operations.

If the grammar is unambiguous we construct each edge only one time. Operations $s_1 * s_2$ which do not produce a new edge we are able to exclude by only once inspecting the pairs of vertices (x, l, 0), (y, l, 1). If x * y = 0, then none of the pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \stackrel{s_1}{\longrightarrow} & (x,l,0) \\ & (y,l,1) & \xrightarrow{s_2} \end{array}$$

has to be considered. Therefore in this case we need only $O(n^2)$ steps because this is the bound for the number of edges in Γ . So we proved the

Theorem 1. The algorithm defined here solves the word problem for c. f. languages in time $O(n^3)$. In the case of unambiguous grammars the running time of the algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

Corollar 1. The algorithm solves the word problem in the case of grammars with m-bound ambiguity in time $O(n^2 \cdot m)$.

Proof 2. From the m-bound ambiguity it follows that the algorithm draws each new edge maximal m times.

Now we study the case G is a LR(k) grammar.

LR(k) grammars are characterized by the following property: For $uvu' \in L(G)$ and |v| = k let $\overline{w}_1, \ldots, \overline{w}_l$ be the reduced words of $u \cdot v$ relative to G. Then the set of this words has a common prefix \overline{u} , where \overline{u} is a reduced word of u, such that we can write

$$\overline{w}_1 + \ldots + \overline{w}_l = \overline{u} \cdot (\overline{v}_1 + \ldots + \overline{v}_l), \quad |v_i| \le k \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, l.$$

This property enables us to compute an upper bound for the number $|\Gamma_i|$ of edges in Γ_i .

Obviously we have

$$|\Gamma_1| \leq m$$
 for $m := \#V$.

We assume Γ_i being constructed. We then get Γ_{i+1} by the following steps:

- 1. We compute $P^{-1}(t_{i+1})$, which produces not more than m new edges.
- 2. We match the new edges with the existing edges. This leads to new edges connecting vertices belonging to

$$(\overline{v}_1 + \ldots + \overline{v}_l) \cdot P^{-1}(t_{i+1})$$

and edges connecting vertices belonging to vt_{i+1} with edges belonging to \overline{u} .

The number of edges belonging to the first class is bound by a constant c depending on m = #V and k. The number of the edges belonging to the second class is 0 if \overline{u}_i is prefix of \overline{u}_{i+1} . It is 1 if $|u_{i+1}| = |u_i|$ and it is $|u_i| - |u_{i+1}|$ if reductions of the reduced word u_i take place. So we have

$$|\Gamma_{i+1}| \le |\Gamma_i| + C + |\overline{u}_i| - |\overline{u}_{i+1}| + 1.$$

From this we get

$$|\Gamma_n| = O(n).$$

From this follows

Theorem 2. The given graph algorithm solves the word problem for LR(k) grammars G := (V, T, P, S) and words $w \in T^*$ with = O(n) *-operations.

It is obvious that the *-operations can be performed on a computer in time only depending on G. This means that it can be done in constant time relative to |w|.

Literatur

- [Ear70] J. Early. An efficient context-free parsing algorithm. Com. ACM, 13, 1970.
- [Knu65] D. E. Knuth. On the translation of languages from left to right. Information and Control, 8, 1965.
- [KT69] T. Kasami and K. Tori. A syntax-analysis procedure for unambiguous context-free grammars. ACM, 16, 1969.
- [You67] D. H. Younger. Recognition and parsing of context-free languages in time n^3 . Information and Control, 10, 1967.