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#### Abstract

Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \supset \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M}$ denote a local minimizer of $J[w]=\int_{\Omega} f\left(\nabla^{k} w\right) \mathrm{d} x$, where $k \geq 2$ and $\nabla^{k} w$ is the tensor of all $k^{t h}$ order (weak) partial derivatives. Assuming rather general growth and ellipticity conditions for $f$, we prove that $u$ actually belongs to the class $C^{k, \alpha}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{M}\right)$ by the way extending the result of [BF2] to the higher order case by using different methods. A major tool is a lemma on the higher integrability of functions established in [BFZ].


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ denote a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and consider a function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M}$ which locally minimizes the variational integral

$$
J[w, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega} f\left(\nabla^{k} w\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $\nabla^{k} w$ represents the tensor of all $k^{\text {th }}$ order (weak) partial derivatives. Our main concern is the investigation of the smoothness properties of such local minimizers under suitable assumptions on the energy density $f$. For the first order case (i.e. $k=1$ ) we have rather general results which can be found for example in the textbooks of Morrey [Mo], Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva [LU], Gilbarg and Trudinger [GT] or Giaquinta [Gi], for an update of the history including recent contributions we refer to [Bi]. In order to keep our exposition simple (and only for this reason) we consider the scalar case (i.e. $M=1$ ) and restrict ourselves to variational problems involving the second (generalized) derivative. Then our variational problem is related to the theory of plates: one may think of $u$ : $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the displacement in vertical direction from the flat state of an elastic plate. The classical case of a potential $f$ with quadratic growth is discussed in the monographs of Ciarlet and Rabier [CR], Necǎs and Hlávácek [NH], Chudinovich and Constanda [CC] or Friedman [Fr], further references are contained in Zeidler's book [Ze]. We also like to remark that plates with other hardening laws (logarithmic and power growth case) together with an additional obstacle have been studied in the papers [BF1] and [FLM] but not with optimal regularity results. The purpose of this note is to present a rather satisfying regularity theory for a quite large of potentials allowing even anisotropic growth.

To be precise let $\mathbb{M}$ denote the space of all $(2 \times 2)$-matrices and suppose that we are given a function $f: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ of class $C^{2}$ which satisfies with exponents $1<p \leq q<\infty$ the anisotropic ellipticity estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\sigma|^{2} \leq D^{2} f(\xi)(\sigma, \sigma) \leq \Lambda\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}|\sigma|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\xi, \sigma \in \mathbb{M}$ with positive constants $\lambda$, $\Lambda$. Note that (1.1) implies the growth condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a|\xi|^{p}-b \leq f(\xi) \leq A|\xi|^{q}+B \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keywords: variational problems of higher order, regularity of minimizers, nonstandard growth
with suitable constants $a, A>0, b, B \geq 0$. Let

$$
J[w, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega} f\left(\nabla^{2} w\right) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \nabla^{2} w=\left(\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} w\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2}
$$

We say that a function $u \in W_{p, l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ is a local $J$-minimizer iff $J\left[u, \Omega^{\prime}\right]<\infty$ for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime} \Subset \Omega$ and

$$
J\left[u, \Omega^{\prime}\right] \leq J\left[v, \Omega^{\prime}\right]
$$

for all $v \in W_{p, l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $u-v \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ (here $W_{p, l o c}^{k}(\Omega)$ etc. denote the standard Sobolev spaces, see $[\mathrm{Ad}])$. Note that (1.1) implies the strict convexity of $f$. Therefore, given a function $u_{0} \in W_{q}^{2}(\Omega)$, the direct method ensures the existence of a unique $J$ minimizer $u$ in the class

$$
\left\{v \in W_{p}^{2}(\Omega): J[v, \Omega]<\infty, \quad v-u_{0} \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{p}^{2}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

which motivates the discussion of local $J$-minimizers. Our main result reads as follows:
THEOREM 1.1 Let $u$ denote a local J-minimizer under condition (1.1). Assume further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q<\min (2 p, p+2) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then $u$ is of class $C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for any $0<\alpha<1$.
REMARK 1.1 i) Clearly the result of Theorem 1.1 extends to local minimizers of the variational integral

$$
I[w, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega} f\left(\nabla^{2} w\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} g(\nabla w) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $f$ is as before and where $g$ denotes a density of class $C^{2}$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq D^{2} g(\xi)(\eta, \eta) \leq c\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}}|\eta|^{2}
$$

for some suitable exponent $s$. In case $p \geq 2$ any finite number is admissible for $s$, in case $p<2$ we require the bound $s \leq 2 p /(2-p)$. The details are left to the reader
ii) W.l.o.g. we may assume that $q \geq 2$ : if (1.1) holds with some exponent $q<2$, then of course (1.1) is true with $q$ replaced by $\bar{q}:=2$ and (1.3) continues to hold for the new exponent.
iii) If we consider the higher order variational integral $\int_{\Omega} f\left(\nabla^{k} w\right) \mathrm{d} x$ with $k \geq 2$ and $f$ satisfying (1.1), then (1.3) implies that local minimizers $u \in W_{p, l o c}^{k}(\Omega)$ actually belong to the space $C^{k, \alpha}(\Omega)$.
iv) The degree of smoothness of $u$ can be improved by standard arguments provided $f$ is sufficiently regular.
v) A typical example of an energy $J$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 is given by

$$
J[w, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{2} w\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left(1+\left|\partial_{1} \partial_{2} w\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

with some exponent $q \in(2,4)$.
vi) Our arguments can easily be adjusted to prove $C^{k, \alpha}$-regularity of local minimizers $u \in W_{p(x), \text { loc }}^{k}(\Omega)$ of the energy $\int_{\Omega}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{k} w\right|^{2}\right)^{p(x) / 2} \mathrm{~d}$ x provided that $1<p_{*} \leq p(x)<$ $p^{*}<\infty$ for some numbers $p_{*}, p^{*}$ and if $p(x)$ is sufficiently smooth. Another possible extension concerns the logarithmic case, i.e. we now consider the variational integral $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{k} w\right| \ln \left(1+\left|\nabla^{k} w\right|\right) \mathrm{d} x$ and its local minimizers which have to be taken from the corresponding higher order Orlicz-Sobolev space.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is organized as follows: we first introduce some suitable regularization and then prove the existence of higher order weak derivatives for this approximating sequence in Step 2. Here we also derive a Caccioppoli-type inequality using difference quotient methods. In a third step we deduce uniform higher integrability of the second generalized derivatives for any finite exponent. From this together with a lemma established in [BFZ] we finally obtain our regularity result in the last two steps.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

## Step 1. Approximation

Let us fix some open domains $\Omega_{1} \Subset \Omega_{2} \Subset \Omega$ and denote by $\bar{u}_{m}$ the mollification of $u$ with radius $1 / m$, in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{u}_{m}-u\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0 .
$$

Jensen's inequality implies

$$
J\left[\bar{u}_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] \leq J\left[u, \Omega_{2}\right]+\tau_{m}
$$

where $\tau_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This, together with the lower semicontinuity of the functional $J$, shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[\bar{u}_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} J\left[u, \Omega_{2}\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let

$$
\rho_{m}:=\left\|\bar{u}_{m}-u\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)}\left[\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} \bar{u}_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{-1}
$$

which obviously tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. With these preliminaries we introduce the regularized functional

$$
J_{m}\left[w, \Omega_{2}\right]:=\rho_{m} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} w\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x+J\left[w, \Omega_{2}\right]
$$

and the corresponding regularizing sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ as the sequence of the unique solutions to the problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}\left[\cdot, \Omega_{2}\right] \rightarrow \min \quad \text { in } \quad \bar{u}_{m}+\stackrel{\circ}{W_{q}^{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{m}\left[u_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] & \leq J_{m}\left[\bar{u}_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] \\
& =\left\|\bar{u}_{m}-u\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)}+J\left[\bar{u}_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] \\
& \xrightarrow{m} J\left[u, \Omega_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

hence one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} J_{m}\left[u_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] \leq J\left[u, \Omega_{2}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On account of (2.3) and the growth of $f$ we may assume

$$
u_{m} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty}: \hat{u} \quad \text { in } W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, lower semicontinuity gives

$$
J\left[\hat{u}, \Omega_{2}\right] \leq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} J\left[u_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right],
$$

which together with (2.3) and the strict convexity of $f$ implies $\hat{u}=u$ (here we also note that $\left.\hat{u}-u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Summarizing the results it is shown up to now that (as $m \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{m} & \rightharpoondown u \text { in } W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right), \\
J_{m}\left[u_{m}, \Omega_{2}\right] & \rightarrow J\left[u, \Omega_{2}\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. Existence of higher order weak derivatives
In this second step we will prove that $\left(f_{m}(\xi):=\rho_{m}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{q / 2}+f(\xi)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\infty}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{2} \eta\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left|D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right|\left[\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{2}\right), 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \eta \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_{1}$ and where we take the sum over repeated indices. To this purpose let us recall the Euler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right): \nabla^{2} \varphi=0 \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{q}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Delta_{h}$ denotes the difference quotient in the coordinate direction $e_{\alpha}, \alpha=1,2$, then the test function $\Delta_{-h}\left(\eta^{6} \Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)$ is admissible in (2.6) with the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \Delta_{h}\left\{D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right\}: \nabla^{2}\left(\eta^{6} \Delta_{h} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now denote by $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ the bilinear form

$$
\mathcal{B}_{x}=\int_{0}^{1} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}(x)+t h \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)(x)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{h}\left\{D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right\}(x) & =\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}} D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}(x)+t\left[\nabla^{2} u_{m}\left(x+h e_{\alpha}\right)-\nabla^{2} u_{m}(x)\right]\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}} D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}(x)+h t \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)(x)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)(x), \cdot\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence (2.7) can be written as

$$
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2}\left(\eta^{6} \Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

which means that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x= & -\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2} \eta^{6} \Delta_{h} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -2 \int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla \eta^{6} \odot \nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
= & -T_{1}-2 T_{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

To handle $T_{1}$ we just observe $\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \eta^{6}=30 \partial_{\alpha} \eta \partial_{\beta} \eta \eta^{4}+6 \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \eta \eta^{5}$, for $T_{2}$ we use $\nabla \eta^{6}=$ $6 \eta^{5} \nabla \eta$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{2}\right|= & 6\left|\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\eta^{3} \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \eta^{2} \nabla \eta \odot \nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x\right| \\
\leq & 6\left[\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \eta^{6} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \cdot\left[\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla \eta \odot \nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla \eta \odot \nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \eta^{4} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

an analogous estimate being valid for $T_{1}$. Absorbing terms, (2.8) turns into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\infty}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{2} \eta\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left|\mathcal{B}_{x}\right|\left(\left|\nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we estimate (note that in the following calculations we always assume w.l.o.g. $q \geq 2$, compare Remark 1.1, ii)) for $h$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta} & \left|\mathcal{B}_{x}\right|\left|\nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}+h^{2}\left|\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}\left|\nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & c\left[\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}+h^{2}\left|\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
\leq & c \int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way we estimate $\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left|\mathcal{B}_{x}\right|\left|\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ and end up with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} \mathcal{B}_{x}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right), \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\infty}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{2} \eta\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \int_{\operatorname{spt} \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q \geq 2$ is assumed, (2.10) implies that $\nabla^{2} u_{m} \in W_{2, l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\Delta_{h}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} \partial_{\alpha}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \text { and a.e. }
$$

REMARK 2.1 With (2.10) we have

$$
\left|\Delta_{h}\left\{D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right\}\right|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \text { uniformly w.r.t. } h
$$

and, as a consequence,

$$
D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \in W_{q /(q-1), l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)
$$

This follows exactly as outlined in the calculations after (3.12) of [BF3].
With the above convergences and Fatou's lemma we find the lower bound

$$
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

for the l.h.s. of (2.10) which gives using (1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\nabla^{3} u_{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq c\left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\infty}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{2} \eta\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \int_{\operatorname{spt} \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m}:=\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}} \in W_{2, l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (2.11) implies $h_{m} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{r}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ for any $r<\infty$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u_{m} \in L_{l o c}^{t}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \quad \text { for any } t<\infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Fatou's lemma again we obtain from (2.8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq \liminf _{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} \Delta_{h}\left\{D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right\}: \nabla^{2}\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad=\liminf _{h \rightarrow 0}-\int_{\Omega_{2}} \Delta_{h}\left\{D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right\}:\left[\nabla^{2} \eta^{6} \Delta_{h} u_{m}+2 \nabla \eta^{6} \odot \nabla\left(\Delta_{h} u_{m}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

On account of (2.12), Remark 2.1 and Vitali's convergence theorem we may pass to the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ on the r.h.s. of (2.13) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq-\int_{\Omega_{2}} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \nabla^{2} \eta^{6} \partial_{\alpha} u_{m}+2 \nabla \eta^{6} \odot \nabla \partial_{\alpha} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

This immediately gives (2.5) by repeating the calculations leading from (2.8) to (2.9).
Step 3. Uniform higher integrability of $\nabla^{2} u_{m}$
Let $\chi$ denote any real number satisfying $\chi>p /(2 p-q)$, moreover we set $\alpha=\chi p / 2$. For all discs $B_{r} \Subset B_{R} \Subset \Omega_{2}$ any $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{R}\right), \eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{r},\left|\nabla^{k} \eta\right| \leq c /(R-r)^{k}, k=1,2$, we have by Sobolev's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x & \leq \int_{B_{R}}\left(\eta^{3} h_{m}\right)^{2 \chi} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c\left[\int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla\left(\eta^{3} h_{m}\right)\right|^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{2 x}{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in(1,2)$ satisfies $2 \chi=2 t /(2-t)$. Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x & \leq c(r, R)\left[\int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla\left(\eta^{3} h_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\chi} \\
& \leq c(r, R)\left[\int_{B_{R}} \eta^{6}\left|\nabla h_{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla \eta^{3}\right|^{2} h_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\chi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that obviously

$$
\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla \eta^{3}\right|^{2} h_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c(r, R) \int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and that by (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}} \eta^{6}\left|\nabla h_{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq c(r, R) \int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}\left[\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq c(r, R)\left[\int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x \leq c(r, R)\left[\int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left(1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathrm{spt} \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\chi} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(r, R)=c(R-r)^{-\beta}$ for some suitable $\beta>0$. For discussing (2.14) we first note that the term $\int_{\text {spt } \nabla \eta}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x$ causes no problems. In fact, since $\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \leq c<\infty$ we know that $\nabla u_{m} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{t}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ for any $t<\infty$ in case $p \geq 2$. If $p<2$, then we have local $L^{t}$-integrability of $\nabla u_{m}$ provided that $t<2 p /(2-p)$, but $q<2 p<2 p /(2-p)$ on account of (1.3). As a consequence, we may argue exactly as in [ELM] or [Bi], p. 60, to derive from (2.14) by interpolation and hole-filling (here $q<2 p$ enters in an essential way)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u_{m} \in L_{l o c}^{t}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \quad \text { for any } t<\infty \text { and uniformly w.r.t. } m \text {. } \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.15) implies with Step 2 the uniform bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq c(\eta)<\infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular (2.16) shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m} \in W_{2, l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \quad \text { uniformly w.r.t. } m \text {. } \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 2.2 i) If $u$ is a local J-minimizer subject to an additional constraint of the form $u \geq \psi$ a.e. on $\Omega$ for a sufficiently regular function $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then it is an easy exercise to adjust the technique used in [BF1] to the present situation which means that we still have (2.15) so that (recall (2.4)) $u \in W_{t, l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ for any $t<\infty$, hence $u \in C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for all $0<\alpha<1$. In [Fr], Theorem 10.6, p. 98, it is shown for the special case $f(w)=|\Delta w|^{2}$ that actually $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ is true, and it would be interesting to see if this result also holds for the energy densities discussed here.
ii) We remark that the proof of (2.15) just needs the inequality $q<2 p$, whereas the additional assumption $q<p+2$ enters in the next step.

Step 4. $C^{2}$-regularity
Now we consider an arbitrary disc $B_{2 R} \Subset \Omega_{1}$ and $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2 R}\right)$ satisfying $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{R}$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq c / R,\left|\nabla^{2} \eta\right| \leq c / R^{2}$. Moreover we denote by $T_{2 R}$ the annulus $T_{2 R}:=B_{2 R}-B_{R}$ and by $P_{m}$ a polynomial function of degree less than or equal to 2 . Exactly as in Step 2 (replacing $u_{m}$ by $u_{m}-P_{m}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{2 R}} \eta^{6} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq-\int_{T_{2 R}} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \nabla^{2} \eta^{6} \partial_{\alpha}\left[u_{m}-P_{m}\right]+2 \nabla \eta^{6} \odot \nabla \partial_{\alpha}\left(u_{m}-P_{m}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

With the notation

$$
H_{m}:=\left[D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \sigma_{m}:=D f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)
$$

we therefore have

$$
\int_{B_{2 R}} \eta^{6} H_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c \int_{T_{2 R}}\left|\nabla \sigma_{m}\right|\left[\left|\nabla^{2} \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla P_{m}\right|+\left|\nabla \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right|\right] \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Moreover, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (1.1)

$$
\left|\nabla \sigma_{m}\right|^{2} \leq H_{m}\left[D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \sigma_{m}, \partial_{\alpha} \sigma_{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq H_{m}\left|\nabla \sigma_{m}\right| \Gamma_{m^{\frac{q-2}{4}}}
$$

where $\Gamma_{m}:=1+\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right|^{2}$. Finally we let

$$
\tilde{h}_{m}:=\max \left[\Gamma_{m}^{\frac{q-2}{4}}, \Gamma_{m}^{\frac{2-p}{4}}\right]
$$

and obtain

$$
\left|\nabla \sigma_{m}\right| \leq c H_{m} \Gamma_{m}^{\frac{q-2}{4}} \leq c H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2 R}} \eta^{6} H_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c \int_{T_{2 R}} H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\left[\left|\nabla^{2} \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla P_{m}\right|+\left|\nabla \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right|\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\gamma=4 / 3$ we discuss the r.h.s. of (2.18):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T_{2 R}} H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\left|\nabla \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right|^{4} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next the choice of $P_{m}$ is made more precise by the requirement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} P_{m}=\int_{B_{2 R}} \nabla^{2} u_{m} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality together with the definition of $\tilde{h}_{m}$ gives

$$
\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right|^{4} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq c\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left|\nabla^{3} u_{m}\right|^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq c\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{2 R}} H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\left|\nabla \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{c}{R}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To handle the remaining term on the r.h.s. of (2.18) we need in addition to (2.19)

$$
f_{B_{2 R}}\left(\nabla u_{m}-\nabla P_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0,
$$

which can be achieved by adjusting the linear part of $P_{m}$. Then we have by Poincaré's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{2 R}} H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\left|\nabla^{2} \eta^{6}\right|\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla P_{m}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R^{2}}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left|\nabla u_{m}-\nabla P_{m}\right|^{4} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\left[\int_{B_{2 R}}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{m}-\nabla^{2} P_{m}\right|^{4} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the r.h.s. is bounded by the r.h.s. of (2.20). Hence, recalling (2.18) and (2.20), we have established the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f_{B_{R}} H_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \leq c f_{B_{2 R}}\left(H_{m} \tilde{h}_{m}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given this starting inequality we like to apply the following lemma which is proved in [BFZ].

LEMMA 2.1 Let $d>1, \beta>0$ be two constants. With a slight abuse of notation let $f$, $g$, $h$ now denote any non-negative functions in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
f \in L_{l o c}^{d}(\Omega), \quad \exp \left(\beta g^{d}\right) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega), \quad h \in L_{l o c}^{d}(\Omega) .
$$

Suppose that there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left[f_{B} f^{d} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq C f_{2 B} f g \mathrm{~d} x+C\left[f_{2 B} h^{d} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{1}{d}}
$$

holds for all balls $B=B_{r}(x)$ with $2 B=B_{2 r}(x) \Subset \Omega$. Then there is a real number $c_{0}=c_{0}(n, d, C)$ such that if $h^{d} \log ^{c_{0} \beta}(e+h) \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$, then the same is true for $f$. Moreover, for all balls $B$ as above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B} f^{d} \log ^{c_{0} \beta}\left[e+\frac{f}{\|f\|_{d, 2 B}}\right] \mathrm{d} x \leq & c\left[f_{2 B} \exp \left(\beta g^{d}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left[f_{2 B} f^{d} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& +c \int_{2 B} h^{d} \log ^{c_{0} \beta}\left[e+\frac{h}{\|f\|_{d, 2 B}}\right] \mathrm{d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=c(n, d, \beta, C)>0$ and $\|f\|_{d, 2 B}=\left(f_{2 B} f^{d} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / d}$.
The appropriate choices in the setting at hand are $d=2 / \gamma=3 / 2, f=H_{m}^{\gamma}, g=\tilde{h}_{m}^{\gamma}$, $h \equiv 0$. We claim that

$$
f_{B_{2 R}} \exp \left(\tilde{h}_{m}^{2} \beta\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq c \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{B_{2 R}} H_{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c
$$

for a constant being uniform in $m$. The uniform bound of the second integral follows from (2.16), thus let us discuss the first one. By (2.17) and Trudinger's inequality (see e.g. Theorem 7.15 of [GT]) we know that for any disc $B_{\rho} \Subset \Omega_{1}$

$$
\int_{B_{\rho}} \exp \left(\beta_{0} h_{m}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq c(\rho)<\infty
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ just depends on the uniformly bounded quantities $\left\|h_{m}\right\|_{W_{2}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}$. This implies for any $\beta>0$ and $\kappa \in(0,1)$

$$
\int_{B_{\rho}} \exp \left(\beta h_{m}^{2-\kappa}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq c(\rho, \beta, \kappa)<\infty
$$

Moreover, on account of $q<p+2$ we have

$$
\Gamma_{m}^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \leq h_{m}^{2-\kappa} \quad \text { and clearly } \quad \Gamma_{m}^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \leq h_{m}^{2-\kappa}
$$

for $\kappa$ sufficiently small, which gives our claim and we may indeed apply the lemma with the result

$$
f_{B_{\rho}} H_{m}^{2} \log ^{c_{0} \beta}\left(e+H_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq c(\beta, \rho)<\infty
$$

for all discs $B_{\rho} \subset \Omega_{1}$ and all $\beta>0$. Thus we have established the counterparts of (2.7) and (2.10) in [BFZ], and exactly the same arguments as given there lead to (2.11) from [BFZ], thus we deduce the uniform continuity of the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{m}\right\}$ (see again [BFZ], end of Section 2), hence we have uniform convergence $\sigma_{m} \rightarrow: \sigma$ for some continuous tensor $\sigma$. In order to identify $\sigma$ with $D f\left(\nabla^{2} u\right)$, we recall the weak convergence stated in(2.4) and also observe that $\nabla^{2} u_{m} \rightarrow \nabla^{2} u$ a.e. which can be deduced along the same lines as in Lemma 4.5 c) of [BF3], we also refer to Proposition 3.29 iii$)$ of [Bi]. Therefore $D f\left(\nabla^{2} u\right)$ is a continuous function, i.e. $\nabla^{2} u$ is of class $C^{0}$, and finally $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ follows.

Step 5. $C^{2, \alpha}$-regularity of $u$
To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we observe that with Step 4 we get from (2.5) the estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|\nabla^{3} u_{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c\left(\Omega_{1}\right)<\infty
$$

in particular one has for $\alpha=1,2$

$$
U:=\partial_{\alpha} u \in W_{2, l o c}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right)\left(\nabla^{2} \partial_{\alpha} u_{m}, \nabla^{2} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x=0 \quad \text { for any } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) .
$$

Together with the convergences (as $m \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} f_{m}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{m}\right) & \rightarrow D^{2} f\left(\nabla^{2} u\right) \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{\infty}(\Omega), \\
\nabla^{2} \partial_{\alpha} u_{m} & \rightarrow \nabla^{2} U \quad \text { in } L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

we therefore arrive at the limit equation

$$
\int_{\Omega} D^{2} f\left(\nabla^{2} u\right)\left(\nabla^{2} U, \nabla^{2} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

hence $U$ is a weak solution of an equation with continous coefficients and $u \in C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for any $0<\alpha<1$ follows from [GM], Theorem 4.1.
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