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#### Abstract

Let $K:=\mathbb{F}_{2^{f}}((T))$ be the field of Laurent series over the finite field with $2^{f}$ elements. Every non-supersingular elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $K$ has a short Weierstraß form $$
Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+\alpha X^{2}+\beta
$$ with appropriate $\alpha, \beta \in K$. The Tate module of $\mathcal{E}$ yields a two dimensional representation $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ of the Weil-Deligne group $W^{\prime}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$. Contrary to characteristics different from two, arbitrarily high ramification may occur. If $\beta$ is integral, the rational points of $\mathcal{E}$ can be completely described in terms of periodic functions. As a consequence, $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ is completely known.

We will deal with the case in which $\beta$ is not integral. In this case we can consider $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ as a representation $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ of the Weil group $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ of $K$. The aim of this article is to give an explicit description of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ and to determine the ramification properties. As a consequence, we will be able to calculate the conductor.


## 1 Introduction

In the following we will recall the most important facts and definitions. For further information as well as a general introduction to this topic, we refer to [3]. Our notation concerning local fields is the notation from [4].
Let $K$ be a local field with finite residue field of characteristic $p$ with $q=$ $p^{f}$ elements. By $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ we denote the absolute Galois group of $K$, thought of as the group of automorphisms of a fixed separable closure $K^{\text {sep }}$ of $K$. The group $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ can be regarded as a topological group by taking $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$, where $M$ runs over all finite Galois extensions of $K$, as a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of the identity element. Let $K_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension. We consider the non-open subgroup $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right):=G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K_{0}\right)$, which is called inertia group. The quotient

$$
G\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right) / G_{0}\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right)
$$

is canonically isomorphic to the absolute Galois group $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {alg }} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ of the residue field. An element of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ is called Frobenius if it is mapped to the Frobenius automorphism $x \longmapsto x^{q}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {alg }} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
The Weil group $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ is the subgroup of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ generated by the inertia group $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ and a Frobenius element. We define $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ as a topological group by requiring that the topology on $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ is the
topology induced from $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ and that $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ itself is open. A representation of $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ is a continuous group homomorphism

$$
\rho: W\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W),
$$

where $W$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ denotes the general linear group of $W$, endowed with its complex topology. We recall that there always exists a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$ so that the restriction of $\rho$ to $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ is trivial. As in [4] we can choose an uniformizer $T_{L}$ of $L$ and define for every $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the higher ramification group

$$
G_{i}(L / K):=\left\{\sigma \in G(L / K) \mid \nu_{L}\left(\sigma\left(T_{L}\right)-T_{L}\right) \geq i+1\right\} .
$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of $T_{L}$. We now consider for every $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the action of $G_{i}(L / K)$ on $W$ and denote by $W^{G_{i}(L / K)}$ the fixed space of $W$. Then the conductor of $\rho$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{cond}(\rho):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\# G_{i}(L / K)}{\# G_{0}(L / K)} \operatorname{dim}\left(W / W^{G_{i}(L / K)}\right)
$$

We have to add that $\operatorname{cond}(\rho)$ is always an integer greater or equal zero, which does not depend on the choice of $L$. We think of $\operatorname{cond}(\rho)$ as a measure which describes the ramification properties of $\rho$, i.e., the complexity of the operation of the higher ramification groups on $W$.
We now consider an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $K$ and assume that $\mathcal{E}$ has potential good reduction, i.e., that the $j$-invariant of $\mathcal{E}$ is integral. We further fix an embedding $\iota: \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and consider the tensor product

$$
V:=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\iota} T_{\ell}(\mathcal{E}),
$$

where $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{E})$ is the $\ell$-adic Tate module and $\ell$ a prime different from $p$. The action of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ on the points of $\mathcal{E}$ induces an action of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ on $V$. Restricting this action to the Weil group defines a continuous representation $\pi: W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The isomorphism class of $\pi$ is independent of the choices of $\ell$ and $\iota$.
We can apply the same construction if the $j$-invariant fails to be integral, but then $\pi$ will turn out to be not continuous. In this case, there is a construction due to Deligne and Grothendieck which gives us a representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of the so-called Weil-Deligne group $W^{\prime}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$. This group can be realised as a semi-direct product of the form $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right) \ltimes \mathbb{C}$. Since there is a satisfactory characterisation for $\pi^{\prime}$, if the $j$-invariant is non-integral, there is no need to treat this case in detail here. We restrict to presenting the result. The representation $\pi^{\prime}$ is then isomorphic to the two dimensional special representation
$\operatorname{sp}(2)$ iff $\mathcal{E}$ has multiplicative reduction. If the reduction of $\mathcal{E}$ is additive then there exists always a separable quadratic extension $M / K$ so that $\mathcal{E}$ has multiplicative reduction over $M$. If $\chi$ is the unique non-trivial character of $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ vanishing on $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$, then we have $\pi^{\prime} \cong \chi \otimes \operatorname{sp}(2)$. For the definitions and proofs we refer to [3].
The famous Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion says that $\mathcal{E}$ has good reduction iff $\pi$ is unramified, i.e., if $\pi$ is trivial on $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$. Now an extension $M$ of the ground field $K$ causes a restriction of $\pi$ to the corresponding subgroup $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$ of $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$. So if $L$ is an extension of $K$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ has good reduction over $L$, then $\pi\left(G_{0}\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / M\right)\right)$ has to be trivial. Further it is well known that such an $L$ can be obtained by adjoining the coordinates of the set of all $\ell$-torsion points.
We now restrict ourselves to the case that $K$ is of equal characteristic 2 . That is, $K$ can be considered as a field of Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_{2^{f}}((T))$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2 f}$. In this case, every elliptic curve over $K$ with non-vanishing $j$-invariant has a short Weierstraß form

$$
\mathcal{E}: Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+\alpha X^{2}+\beta
$$

for appropriate $\alpha, \beta \in K$. Using this short Weierstraß form the $j$-invariant is $\beta^{-1}$. So the condition of $\mathcal{E}$ having potential good reduction means that $\beta^{-1}$ is integral. The aim of this article is to analyse the corresponding representation $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ of the Weil group $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$.
Since $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is semi-simple, it has to be irreducible or the direct sum of two one dimensional representations. So there are two questions natural to ask about $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$.

- First, when is $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ irreducible ?
- Secondly, how can we describe $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ explicitly in terms of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ?

Further, we want to describe the ramification properties of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ and to calculate $\operatorname{cond}\left(\pi_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$.
The impact of the parameter $\alpha$ on $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is already known and can easily be described. Viz., let $\gamma$ be an element of $K$, and consider the splitting field $M$ of the polynomial $X^{2}+X+\gamma$. Define $\chi_{\gamma}$ as the unique one dimensional representation of $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ whose kernel is $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$. Then for all $\alpha^{\prime} \in K$ we have an isomorphism

$$
\pi_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta} \cong \chi_{\alpha+\alpha^{\prime}} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta} .
$$

## 2 Adjoining coordinates of 3-torsion points

In this section we will give an explicit construction of a Galois extension $L$ over $K$ such that the restriction of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ to $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ is trivial. This extension may be obtained by adjoining coordinates of the $\ell$-torsion points. In order to minimise the calculation effort we choose $\ell=3$. Applying the duplication formula [5, III.2.3 (d)] gives us the following system

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=x^{4}+x^{3}+\beta \\
0=y^{2}+x y+x^{3}+\alpha x^{2}+\beta,
\end{gathered}
$$

whose solutions $(x, y)$ are precisely the coordinates of the non-trivial 3-torsion-points. For the construction of $L$ we choose

- a primitive third root $\varphi$ of the unit element 1 ,
- a third root $\gamma$ of $\beta$,
- an element $D$ of $K^{\text {sep }}$ satisfying $D+D^{2}=\gamma$,
- an element $E$ of $K^{\text {sep }}$ satisfying $E+E^{2}=D$, and
- an element $F_{\alpha}$ of $K^{\text {sep }}$ satisfying $F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{2}=(D+1) E+\alpha$.

We set $L:=K\left(\varphi, E, F_{\alpha}\right)$. An explicit calculation shows that the 3-torsion points unequal to zero of $\mathcal{E}$ are exactly the points $P_{i j}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i j}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}:=(D+1) E, & x_{2}:=(D+1)(E+1), \\
x_{3}:=(E+\varphi) D, & x_{4}:=(E+\varphi+1) D
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{11}:=x_{1}\left(x_{1}+F_{\alpha}\right), & y_{12}:=x_{1}\left(x_{1}+F_{\alpha}+1\right), \\
y_{21}:=x_{2}\left(x_{2}+F_{\alpha}+E+\varphi\right), & y_{22}:=x_{2}\left(x_{2}+F_{\alpha}+E+\varphi+1\right), \\
y_{31}:=x_{3}\left(x_{3}+F_{\alpha}+(\varphi+1) E\right), & y_{32}:=x_{3}\left(x_{3}+F_{\alpha}+(\varphi+1) E+1\right), \\
y_{41}:=x_{4}\left(x_{4}+F_{\alpha}+\varphi E\right), & y_{42}:=x_{4}\left(x_{4}+F_{\alpha}+\varphi E+1\right) .
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, we can recover the generators $\varphi, E, F_{\alpha}$ by the formulas

$$
\varphi=\frac{x_{3}}{E+E^{2}}+E, \quad E=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}+x_{2}}, \quad F_{\alpha}=\frac{y_{11}}{x_{1}}+x_{1} .
$$

We conclude that $L$ is the smallest extension of $K$ containing the coordinates of all 3 -torsion points.
We now consider $\mathcal{E}$ as an elliptic curve over $L$.

Proposition 2.1 Over $L$ the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$
\mathcal{E}_{E}: Y^{2}+E^{-1} X Y+Y=X^{3}+E^{-3}+1
$$

PROOF. First, we make the transformation $(X, Y) \longmapsto\left(X, Y+X\left(E+F_{\alpha}\right)\right)$. This yields the equation

$$
Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{2}+E+E^{2}+\alpha\right) X^{2}+\beta
$$

Using the identities

$$
F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{2}=(D+1) E+\alpha=E^{3}+E^{2}+E+\alpha
$$

and

$$
\beta=\gamma^{3}=\left(E+E^{4}\right)^{3}=E^{3}+E^{6}+E^{9}+E^{12}
$$

we obtain

$$
Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+E^{3} X^{2}+E^{3}+E^{6}+E^{9}+E^{12}
$$

Now we make the transformation $(X, Y) \longmapsto\left(X+E^{3}, Y+E^{6}\right)$, which gives us

$$
Y^{2}+X Y+E^{3} Y=X^{3}+E^{3}+E^{6}
$$

Finally, the transformation $(X, Y) \longmapsto\left(E^{2} X, E^{3} Y\right)$ leads us to the result

$$
Y^{2}+E^{-1} X Y+Y=X^{3}+E^{-3}+1
$$

Note that the curve $\mathcal{E}_{E}$ has integral coefficients. In order to simplify our exposition, we will further assume that the valuation $\nu_{K}(\beta)$ is strictly less than zero. Then we can consider the reduced curve, which is given by the equation

$$
Y^{2}+Y=X^{3}+1
$$

The coefficients are independent of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and the curve $\mathcal{E}_{E}$ has good reduction. Now we can apply the criterion of Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich, which states that the action of $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ on $V$ is trivial and the action of a Frobenius automorphism of $G\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / L\right)$ is given by the action of the Frobenius automorphism of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\text {alg }} / \mathbb{F}_{2^{g}}\right)$, where $\mathbb{F}_{2^{g}}$ is the residue field of $L$. On the other hand, the eigenvalues of the Frobenius automorphism can be obtained just by counting rational points.
In the following we will write $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{M}$ for the restriction of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ to $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$ for an arbitrary finite separable extension $M$ of $K$. We recall that, if we
consider $\mathcal{E}$ as an elliptic curve over $M$, the construction of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{M}$ is completely analogous to that of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$. To avoid confusion, we will sometimes write $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}$ instead of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ if we like to emphasise that $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined over the ground field $K$.
In order to characterise the representation $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{L}$, we define the one dimensional representation

$$
\Omega_{K}: W\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

by requiring that it should be trivial on $G_{0}\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right)$ and

$$
\Omega_{K}\left(\Phi_{K}\right)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{f}
$$

for every Frobenius element $\Phi_{K}$ of $G\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right)$. This definition ensures that, for every finite separable extension $M$ of $K$, the representation $\Omega_{M}$ is equal to the restriction of $\Omega_{K}$ to $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / M\right)$.

Proposition 2.2 The representation

$$
\Omega_{K} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}: W\left(K^{\mathrm{sep}} / K\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)
$$

is trivial on $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$.

## PROOF.

Let $\Phi_{L}$ be a Frobenius element of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{g}}$ the residue field of $L$. We only have to show that $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}\left(\Phi_{L}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{g}$. According to the Neron-OggShafarevich criterion, $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}\left(\Phi_{L}\right)$ is determined by the action of the Frobenius element $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_{2 g}}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\text {alg }} / \mathbb{F}_{2^{g}}\right)$ on the Tate module of the reduced curve

$$
Y^{2}+Y=X^{3}+1
$$

Since this curve is even defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, we have only to regard the action of the Frobenius $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\text {alg }} / \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ the curve has precisely 3 points. As described in [5, p. 136], we get for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ of $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
3=1-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+2, \\
\lambda_{1}=\overline{\lambda_{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=\left|\lambda_{2}\right|=\sqrt{2} .
$$

This is possible only if these eigenvalues are $\sqrt{2} i$ and $-\sqrt{2} i$. Since $\varphi \in L$, the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{4}=\{0,1, \varphi, \varphi+1\}$ is contained in $L$. It follows that $g$ is even.

Therefore $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}\left(\Phi_{L}\right)$ has two equal eigenvalues $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{g}$ and must be a scalar.

As a consequence of this proposition, we can divide out $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ and obtain a representation $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}$ of the finite Galois group

$$
W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right) / W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right) \cong G(L / K)
$$

which contains all the information about $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$.
Proposition 2.3 The representation

$$
\rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}: G(L / K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)
$$

is injective.

## PROOF.

Suppose $\sigma \in G(L / K)$ with $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}(\sigma)=1$. Then $\sigma$ has to act as a scalar on the 3 -torsion points. So we have $\sigma(P)=-P$ or $P$ for all 3 -torsion points $P=(x, y)$. It follows that $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. So we conclude that $\sigma(\varphi)=\varphi$ and $\sigma(E)=E$, which means that $\sigma$ is trivial on $K(\varphi, E)$. In the case $K(\varphi, E)=L$ we are done.
In the case $K(\varphi, E) \neq L$ it remains to show that the restriction

$$
\Omega_{K(\varphi, E)} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}
$$

of $\Omega_{K} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}$ is not trivial. We apply our remark in the end of the introduction. Since we have

$$
\left(F_{\alpha}+E\right)^{2}+F_{\alpha}+E+\alpha+E^{3}=F_{\alpha}^{2}+F_{\alpha}+D+\alpha+E^{3}=0,
$$

we get

$$
\pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)} \cong \chi \otimes \pi_{E^{3}, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}
$$

where $\chi$ is the one dimensional representation of $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K(\varphi, E)\right)$ defined by the condition $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi)=W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$. From the identity

$$
\left(F_{E^{3}}\right)^{2}+F_{E^{3}}=(D+1) E+E^{3}=D,
$$

we conclude that $K\left(\varphi, E, F_{E^{3}}\right)=K(\varphi, E)$. Therefore $\Omega_{K(\varphi, E)} \otimes \pi_{E^{3}, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}$ has to be trivial, which means that $\Omega_{K(\varphi, E)} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}$ is not.

As a simple conclusion of this proposition, we can answer the first question asked in the introduction.

Conclusion 2.4 The representation $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is reducible iff $G(L / K)$ is abelian.

## 3 Functorial properties of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$

In order to describe how $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ depends on $\beta$, we assume $\alpha=0$. We now consider the smallest local subfield of $K$ over which the curve $\mathcal{E}$ is defined. Obviously, this is the field $\tilde{K}:=\mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\left(\beta^{-1}\right)\right)$. Note that this construction is only possible because we made the assumption $\nu_{K}(\beta)<0$.
Considering $\mathcal{E}$ as an elliptic curve over $\tilde{K}$, we can apply the construction mentioned above and obtain a representation $\pi_{0, \beta}^{\tilde{K}}$ of the Weil group $W\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{K}\right)$. Similarly we get a representation $\rho_{0, \beta}^{\tilde{K}}$ of $G(\tilde{L} / \tilde{K})$, where $\tilde{L}=\tilde{K}\left(\varphi, E, F_{0}\right)$. Further, we may identify the underlying spaces of $\pi_{0, \beta}^{\tilde{K}}$ and $\pi_{0, \beta}^{K}$ as well as the underlying spaces of $\rho_{0, \beta}^{\tilde{K}}$ and $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$. If we do so, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 The following diagram is commutative:


## PROOF.

Comparing the action of $G\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ with that of $G\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{K}\right)$ on $V$, we get the commutative diagram

Figure 2:


We now compare $\Omega_{K}$ with $\Omega_{\tilde{K}}$. They are both trivial on the inertia groups $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ and $G_{0}\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{K}\right)$. We remark further that the rule $\left.\sigma \longmapsto \sigma\right|_{\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }}}$
maps the inertia group $G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ to $G_{0}\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{K}\right)$. If $\Phi_{K}$ is a Frobenius element of $W\left(K_{\tilde{K}}^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$, then $\left.\Phi_{K}\right|_{\tilde{K}} ^{\text {sep }}$ is the $f$-th power of a Frobenius element $\Phi_{\tilde{K}}$ of $W\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{K}\right)$. This yields the equation

$$
\Omega_{\tilde{K}}\left(\left.\Phi_{K}\right|_{\tilde{K}^{\operatorname{sep}}}\right)=\Omega_{\tilde{K}}\left(\Phi_{\tilde{K}}^{f}\right)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{f}=\Omega_{K}\left(\Phi_{K}\right) .
$$

So we have the commutative diagram


Now we get the required result by tensoring both diagrams and dividing out the subgroup $W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ on the left and $W\left(\tilde{K}^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{L}\right)$ on the right hand side.

The significance of the last proposition is that we only have to consider the case $K=\mathbb{F}_{2}((T))$ and $\beta=T^{-1}$, what we will do now.

## 4 The special case $K=\mathbb{F}_{2}((T))$ and $\beta=T^{-1}$

Throughout this section we assume $K=\mathbb{F}_{2}((T))$ and $\beta=T^{-1}$. We note that $K(\varphi) / K$ is an unramified extension. Further we have the equations

$$
\beta=E^{3}+E^{6}+E^{9}+E^{12}
$$

and

$$
F_{0}+F_{0}^{2}=E^{3}+E^{2}+E .
$$

Since $\nu_{K}(\beta)=-1$, we conclude that $\nu_{K}(E)=-\frac{1}{12}$ and $\nu_{K}\left(F_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{24}$. In particular $L / K(\varphi)$ must be totally ramified of degree 24 . So $L / K$ has maximal degree 48. Since we obtained $L$ by adjoining coordinates of 3torsion points, we have the inclusion $G(L / K) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ and therefore an isomorphism

$$
G(L / K) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right) .
$$

So we can consider $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$. We now apply the representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$, which can be found for example in [2]. We briefly recall some basic facts.
Referring to the table on page 70 , loc. cit., all two dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ are cuspidal. The cuspidal representations of the group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ are parametrised by the regular characters of $\mathbb{F}_{9}^{*}$. A character $\mu: \mathbb{F}_{9}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is called regular if it does not agree with the conjugate character $\bar{\mu}$. The conjugate character $\bar{\mu}$ is defined by $\bar{\mu}(x):=\mu(\bar{x})$, where $\bar{x}$ is the conjugate of $x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. This conjugation of characters yields an equivalence relation on the set of all regular characters of $\mathbb{F}_{9}$. Each equivalence class corresponds to an isomorphism class of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$. As a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{9}^{*}$ we choose the element $\zeta=1+\sqrt{-1}$. We further choose the characters $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, and $\mu_{5}$ defined by $\mu_{k}(\zeta)=\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{4}}\right)^{k}$ for $k=1,2,5$ as a system of representatives of the equivalence classes of regular characters. By $\rho_{k}$ for $k=1,2,5$ we denote the corresponding isomorphism classes of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$. Since $\mu_{2}$ is not injective, the representation $\rho_{2}$ is not injective either. So we only have to decide whether $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$ is isomorphic to $\rho_{1}$ or $\rho_{5}$.
To do so we must identify $G(L / K)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ by choosing a basis for the $\mathbb{F}_{3}$-vector space of 3 -torsion points. Our choice is the basis $\left(P_{11}, P_{21}\right)$. Then we have the following result.

Proposition 4.1 The representation $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$ is isomorphic to $\rho_{5}$.

## PROOF.

Let $\sigma \in G(L / K)$ be the automorphism whose operation on the 3 -torsion points is expressed by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -\zeta \bar{\zeta} \\
1 & \zeta+\bar{\zeta}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

According to [2, p. 70] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mu_{1}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right) & =-\mu_{1}(\zeta)-\mu_{1}(\bar{\zeta}) \\
& =-\mu_{1}(\zeta)-\mu_{1}\left(\zeta^{3}\right) \\
& =-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{4}}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{3 \pi}{4}} \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now determine the action of $\sigma(\varphi)$. Recall that $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ is the only subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ of index two. As a consequence, $K(\varphi) / K$ is the only
subfield of $L$ quadratic over $K$. Since the matrix corresponding to $\sigma$ is not contained in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$, we must have $\sigma(\varphi) \neq \varphi$.
Next we construct an appropriate extension of $\sigma$, which will enable us to calculate $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}(\sigma)$ approximately. Therefore let $\tilde{\sigma} \in W\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ be an arbitrary extension of $\sigma$. For a fixed Frobenius element $\Phi_{K}$ we have $\tilde{\sigma}=\Phi_{K}^{j} \sigma_{0}$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma_{0} \in G_{0}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$. Since $f(L / K)=2$ and $\sigma(\varphi) \neq \varphi$, we conclude that $j$ is odd and $\Phi_{K}^{j-1}$ is trivial on $L$. So $\sigma^{*}:=\Phi_{K} \sigma_{0}$ is also an extension of $\sigma$. Further we have

$$
\Omega_{K}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Now assume that $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$ is isomorphic to $\rho_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{0, \beta}^{K}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)\right) & =\Omega_{K}^{-1}\left(\sigma^{*}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}(\sigma)\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{i}}(-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2}) \\
& =-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the operation of $\sigma^{*}$ on the 3-torsion points yields the congruence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{0, \beta}^{K}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)\right) & \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right) \bmod 3 \mathbb{Z}_{3} \\
& \equiv 2 \bmod 3 \mathbb{Z}_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is clearly a contradiction. So our assumption needs to be false and we conclude that $\rho_{0, \beta}^{K}$ is isomorphic to $\rho_{5}$.

Now the second question asked in the introduction is completely answered. But this answer is less satisfactory than it appears on a first view, since it fails to reveal the ramification properties of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$. This question will be addressed in the next section.

## 5 The ramification properties of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$

In this section we will calculate the conductor of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ in the general case, where $\alpha$ is arbitrary and $\nu_{K}(\beta)<0$. Therefore we need to consider the extension $L / K$ more closely. We define the elements

$$
D_{\varphi}:=\varphi E+(\varphi E)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{\varphi^{2}}:=\varphi^{2} E+\left(\varphi^{2} E\right)^{2} .
$$

This yields $D_{\varphi}+\left(D_{\varphi}\right)^{2}=\varphi \gamma$ and $D_{\varphi^{2}}+\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)^{2}=\varphi^{2} \gamma$, which should be compared with the relation $D+D^{2}=\gamma$. So the elements $D_{\varphi}$ and $D_{\varphi^{2}}$ describe how $D$ changes if we choose $\varphi \gamma$ or $\varphi^{2} \gamma$ instead of $\gamma$ as a third root of $\beta$. Later we will see that this change of $D$ in dependence of the choice of $\gamma$ becomes important for the calculation of the conductor.
In order to calculate $\operatorname{cond}\left(\pi_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ (see section 1 ), we have to calculate the higher ramification groups $G_{i}(L / K)$ for $i>0$. We begin with a closer look at $G_{1}(L / K)$. Since $K(\varphi, \gamma) / K$ is tamely ramified, we have

$$
G_{1}(L / K) \subset G(L / K(\varphi, \gamma))
$$

Lemma 5.1 Let $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$. Then all possible values for the pair

$$
\left(\sigma(E), \sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

are listed in the following table:
Table 1: Possible elements of $G_{1}(L / K)$

| $\sigma(\mathbf{E})$ | $\sigma\left(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | $F_{\alpha}$ |
| $E$ | $F_{\alpha}+1$ |
| $E+1$ | $F_{\alpha}+E+\varphi$ |
| $E+1$ | $F_{\alpha}+E+\varphi+1$ |
| $E+\varphi$ | $F_{\alpha}+(\varphi+1) E$ |
| $E+\varphi$ | $F_{\alpha}+(\varphi+1) E+1$ |
| $E+\varphi+1$ | $F_{\alpha}+\varphi E$ |
| $E+\varphi+1$ | $F_{\alpha}+\varphi E+1$ |

For the order of $\sigma$ we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \sigma(E)=E \text { and } \sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha} \\ 2 & \text { if } \sigma(E)=E \text { and } \sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1 \\ 4 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

## PROOF.

Since $\sigma$ leaves $\gamma=E+E^{4}$ invariant, we have the identity

$$
\sigma(E)+\sigma\left(E^{4}\right)=E+E^{4} .
$$

On the other hand, we have $E+a+(E+a)^{4}=E+E^{4}+a+a^{4}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{4}=\{0,1, \varphi, \varphi+1\}$. So $E, E+1, E+\varphi, E+\varphi+1$ are exactly the possible values for $\sigma(E)$.

In the case $\sigma(E)=E$ we obtain from $F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{2}=(D+1) E+\alpha$ the equation

$$
\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)^{2}=(D+1) E+\alpha
$$

which has the solutions $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$. We leave it to the reader as an exercise to check that we obtain the equation

$$
\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)^{2}=(D+1)(E+1)+\alpha
$$

in the case $\sigma(E)=E+1$, the equation

$$
\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)^{2}=D(E+\varphi)+\alpha
$$

in the case $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi$, and

$$
\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)^{2}=D(E+\varphi+1)+\alpha
$$

in the case $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi+1$. Further the reader should check that the values for $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)$ given in the table are all possible solutions of these equations.
There remains the calculation of $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)$. In the case $\sigma(E)=E$ it is clear that $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)=1$ if $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)=2$ if $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$. In all other cases we have only to show that $\sigma^{2}(E)=E$ and $\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$, which we leave again as an exercise.

We now calculate for every possible $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ the numbers

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma):=\nu_{L}\left(\sigma\left(T_{L}\right)+T_{L}\right),
$$

where $T_{L}$ is an arbitrary uniformizer of $L$. Let us recall some basic facts about these numbers, which can be found in [4, Chap. 4]. We assume that we have a tower $M \supset N \supset K$, where $M / K$ is Galois. First we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{M / K}(\sigma)=i_{M / N}(\sigma) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\sigma \in G(M / N)$. Secondly, if $N / K$ is Galois then

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{N / K}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{e(M / N)} \sum_{\substack{s \in G(M / K) \\ s l_{N}=\sigma}} i_{M / K}(s) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\sigma \in G(N / K)$. Finally we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(M / K)=\sum_{\sigma \in G(M / K) \backslash\left\{\operatorname{id}_{M}\right\}} i_{M / K}(\sigma), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(M / K)$ denotes the different exponent of $M / K$.

Lemma 5.2 1. Let $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with $\sigma(E)=E$ and $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$.
Then we have

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma)=d(L / K(\varphi, E))
$$

2. If $d(L / K(\varphi, E))>0$ then there is a $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with $\sigma(E)=E$ and $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$.

## PROOF.

Assertion (1) is just a simple application of (1) and (3). To show (2), just note that $L / K(\varphi, E)$ has to be wildly ramified of degree two. Therefore an automorphism $\sigma$ with the required properties exists.

Lemma 5.3 1. Let $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with $\sigma(E)=E+1$. Then we have

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma)=d(K(E) / K(D)) .
$$

2. If $d(K(E) / K(D))>0$ then there are two different automorphisms $\sigma \in$ $G_{1}(L / K)$ with the property $\sigma(E)=E+1$.

## PROOF.

Ad (1). An easy calculation shows that $\sigma$ has order 4 and that $\sigma^{3}(E)=E+1$. Every subgroup of $G(L / K)$ which contains $\sigma$ also contains $\sigma^{3}$ and vice versa. Therefore we have $i_{L / K}(\sigma)=i_{L / K}\left(\sigma^{3}\right)$. Applying (1), (2), and (3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{e(L / K(\varphi, E))} i_{L / K}(\sigma) & =i_{K(\varphi, E) / K}\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{K(\varphi, E)}\right) \\
& =i_{K(\varphi, E) / K(\varphi, D)}\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{K(\varphi, E)}\right) \\
& =d(K(\varphi, E) / K(\varphi, D))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K(\varphi, D)$ is the fixed field of $<\sigma>$ and $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K) \subset G_{1}(L / K(\varphi, D))$, the extension $L / K(\varphi, D)$ needs to be totally ramified. It follows that

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma)=d(K(\varphi, E) / K(\varphi, D))
$$

Finally note that the transitivity property of the different gives us

$$
d(K(\varphi, E) / K(\varphi, D))=d(K(E) / K(D)) .
$$

$\operatorname{Ad}$ (2). Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the unique non-trivial element of $G(K(\varphi, E) / K(\varphi, D))$ and $\sigma \in G(L / K(\varphi, D))$ an extension of $\tilde{\sigma}$. Then we have $\sigma(E)=E+1$. In order to show that $\sigma$ is in $G_{1}(L / K)$, it suffices to show that $L / K(\varphi, D)$ is totally
ramified. Since $\sigma$ has order 4, the extension $L / K(\varphi, D)$ is cyclic of degree 4. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the maximal unramified subextension of $L / K(\varphi, D)$. From $d(K(E) / K(D))>0$ we conclude that the degree of $K^{\prime} / K(\varphi, D)$ is at most two. If it were two we had $K^{\prime}=K(\varphi, E)$, which is impossible. Thus we have shown that $\sigma$ has the required properties. Finally it is easily seen that $\sigma^{3}$ is also an element of $G_{1}(L / K)$ for which $\sigma^{3}(E)=E+1$ holds.

In the same way we get the following two lemmata.
Lemma 5.4 1. Let $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi+1$. Then we have

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma)=d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right) .
$$

2. If $d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right)>0$ then there are two different automorphisms $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with the property $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi+1$.

Lemma 5.5 1. Let $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi$. Then we have

$$
i_{L / K}(\sigma)=d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right) .
$$

2. If $d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right)>0$ then there are two different automorphisms $\sigma \in G_{1}(L / K)$ with the property $\sigma(E)=E+\varphi$.

Now we are able to calculate the numbers $\# G_{i}(L / K)$.
Proposition 5.6 Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & :=\min \left\{d(K(E) / K(D)), d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right), d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
s & :=\max \left\{d(K(E) / K(D)), d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right), d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
t:=d(L / K(\varphi, E))
$$

Then we have

$$
\# G_{i}(L / K)= \begin{cases}8 & \text { if } i<r \\ 4 & \text { if } r \leq i<s \\ 2 & \text { if } s \leq i<t \\ 1 & \text { if } t \leq i\end{cases}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

## PROOF.

Since $G_{i}(L / K)$ is a 2-group for $i>0$, the only possible values for $\# G_{i}(L / K)$ are $1,2,4$, and 8 . We now only have to apply the last four lemmata.
If $i<r$ then $G_{1}(L / K)$ must contain two automorphisms which send $E$ to $E+1$, two which send $E$ to $E+\varphi$ and another two which send $E$ to $E+\varphi+1$. So we have $\# G_{i}(L / K)=8$.
If $r \leq i<s$ then there is either no element of $G_{1}(L / K)$ which takes $E$ to $E+1$ or no element which takes $E$ to $E+\varphi$ or no element which takes $E$ to $E+\varphi+1$. So we have $\# G_{i}(L / K) \leq 4$. On the other hand there must be two elements of $G_{i}(L / K)$ which take $E$ to $E+1, E+\varphi$ or $E+\varphi+1$. Since $G_{i}(L / K)$ contains the identity element, we get $\# G_{i}(L / K)=4$.
In the case $s \leq i<t$ the group $G_{i}(L / K)$ contains no automorphism which takes $E$ to $E+1, E+\varphi$ or $E+\varphi+1$, but an automorphism $\sigma$ with $\sigma(E)=E$ and $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$. This gives us $\# G_{i}(L / K)=2$.
In the case $t \leq i$ the group $G_{i}(L / K)$ contains only the identity element.

Lemma 5.7 For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the fixed space $V^{G_{i}(L / K)}$ is either $V$ or 0 .
(Recall that $V$ is the representation space of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$.)
PROOF.
If $G_{i}(L / K)$ is trivial then we have $V^{G_{i}(L / K)}=V$. If $G_{i}(L / K)$ is not trivial then it contains an element $\sigma$ which has order two. According to 5.1 we have $\sigma(E)=E$ and $\sigma\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\alpha}+1$. Since $\sigma$ leaves the values $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ invariant it has to act as the scalar -1 on the 3 -torsion points. Applying [2, p. 70] gives us $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}(\sigma)\right)=-2$. So $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{K}(\sigma)$ needs to be the scalar -1 . Therefore $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma)$ is a non-trivial scalar, so $V^{G_{i}(L / K)}=0$.

Now we can state our main result.
Theorem 5.8 Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\prime} & :=\min \left\{d(K(E) / K(D) t), d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right), d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
s^{\prime} & :=\max \left\{d(K(E) / K(D)), d\left(K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right)\right), d\left(K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
t^{\prime}:=d(L / K(\varphi, E))
$$

Further we define the numbers $r:=\max \left\{r^{\prime}-1,0\right\}, s:=\max \left\{s^{\prime}-1,0\right\}$, and $t:=\max \left\{t^{\prime}-1,0\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{cond}\left(\pi_{\alpha, \beta}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } L / K \text { is unramified } \\ 2+\frac{8 r+4(s+t)}{e(L / K)} & \text { if } L / K \text { is ramified. }\end{cases}
$$

## PROOF.

If $L / K$ is unramified then clearly $G_{i}(L / K)=\{1\}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Therefore $\operatorname{cond}\left(\pi_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=0$. We now consider the case where $L / K$ is ramified. Using the abbreviation $g_{i}:=\# G_{i}(L / K)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cond}\left(\pi_{\alpha, \beta}\right) & =\frac{2}{e(L / K)} \sum_{i=0}^{t} g_{i} \\
& =2+\frac{2}{e(L / K)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} g_{i}+\sum_{i=r+1}^{s} g_{i}+\sum_{i=s+1}^{t} g_{i}\right) \\
& =2+\frac{2}{e(L / K)}(8 r+4(s-r)+2(t-s)) \\
& =2+\frac{8 r+4(s+t)}{e(L / K)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Concluding Remark

The descriptions of the higher ramification groups $G_{i}(L / K)$ in 5.6 and of the conductor of $\pi_{\alpha, \beta}$ in 5.8 are not quite explicit, since they depend on the calculation of the different exponents of the extensions

$$
K(E) / K(D), \quad K(\varphi E) / K\left(D_{\varphi}\right), \quad K\left(\varphi^{2} E\right) / K\left(D_{\varphi^{2}}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad L / K(\varphi, E) .
$$

Therefore, we would like to add that there is a way to determine these differents by explicit calculations in $K$ in dependence of $\beta$ and $\alpha$. These calculations, too involved to present here, are carried out in [1].
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