Universität des Saarlandes



# Fachrichtung 6.1 – Mathematik

Preprint Nr. 127

# On the Tate Modules of Elliptic Curves over a Local Field of Characteristic two

Jochen Frieden

Saarbrücken 2004

Fachrichtung 6.1 – Mathematik Universität des Saarlandes

# On the Tate Modules of Elliptic Curves over a Local Field of Characteristic two

### Jochen Frieden

Saarland University Department of Mathematics Postfach 15 11 50 D-66041 Saarbrücken Germany frieden@math.uni-sb.de

Edited by FR 6.1 – Mathematik Universität des Saarlandes Postfach 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany

Fax: + 49 681 302 4443 e-Mail: preprint@math.uni-sb.de WWW: http://www.math.uni-sb.de/

#### Abstract

Let  $K := \mathbb{F}_{2^f}((T))$  be the field of Laurent series over the finite field with  $2^f$  elements. Every non-supersingular elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  over K has a short Weierstraß form

$$Y^2 + XY = X^3 + \alpha X^2 + \beta$$

with appropriate  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . The Tate module of  $\mathcal{E}$  yields a two dimensional representation  $\pi'_{\alpha,\beta}$  of the Weil-Deligne group  $W'(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . Contrary to characteristics different from two, arbitrarily high ramification may occur. If  $\beta$  is integral, the rational points of  $\mathcal{E}$  can be completely described in terms of periodic functions. As a consequence,  $\pi'_{\alpha,\beta}$  is completely known.

We will deal with the case in which  $\beta$  is not integral. In this case we can consider  $\pi'_{\alpha,\beta}$  as a representation  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  of the Weil group  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  of K. The aim of this article is to give an explicit description of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  and to determine the ramification properties. As a consequence, we will be able to calculate the conductor.

### 1 Introduction

In the following we will recall the most important facts and definitions. For further information as well as a general introduction to this topic, we refer to [3]. Our notation concerning local fields is the notation from [4].

Let K be a local field with finite residue field of characteristic p with  $q = p^f$  elements. By  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  we denote the absolute Galois group of K, thought of as the group of automorphisms of a fixed separable closure  $K^{\text{sep}}$  of K. The group  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  can be regarded as a topological group by taking  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$ , where M runs over all finite Galois extensions of K, as a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of the identity element. Let  $K_0$  be the maximal unramified extension. We consider the non-open subgroup  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K) := G(K^{\text{sep}}/K_0)$ , which is called inertia group. The quotient

$$G(K^{\rm sep}/K)/G_0(K^{\rm sep}/K)$$

is canonically isomorphic to the absolute Galois group  $G(\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  of the residue field. An element of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  is called Frobenius if it is mapped to the Frobenius automorphism  $x \longmapsto x^q$  of  $G(\mathbb{F}_q^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

The Weil group  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  is the subgroup of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  generated by the inertia group  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  and a Frobenius element. We define  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  as a topological group by requiring that the topology on  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  is the

topology induced from  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  and that  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  itself is open. A representation of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  is a continuous group homomorphism

$$\rho: W(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(W)$$

where W is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and GL(W) denotes the general linear group of W, endowed with its complex topology. We recall that there always exists a finite Galois extension L of K so that the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  is trivial. As in [4] we can choose an uniformizer  $T_L$  of L and define for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the higher ramification group

$$G_i(L/K) := \{ \sigma \in G(L/K) \mid \nu_L(\sigma(T_L) - T_L) \ge i + 1 \}.$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of  $T_L$ . We now consider for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the action of  $G_i(L/K)$  on W and denote by  $W^{G_i(L/K)}$  the fixed space of W. Then the conductor of  $\rho$  is defined by

cond(
$$\rho$$
) :=  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\#G_i(L/K)}{\#G_0(L/K)} \dim(W/W^{G_i(L/K)})$ .

We have to add that  $\operatorname{cond}(\rho)$  is always an integer greater or equal zero, which does not depend on the choice of L. We think of  $\operatorname{cond}(\rho)$  as a measure which describes the ramification properties of  $\rho$ , i.e., the complexity of the operation of the higher ramification groups on W.

We now consider an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  over K and assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  has potential good reduction, i.e., that the *j*-invariant of  $\mathcal{E}$  is integral. We further fix an embedding  $\iota : \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and consider the tensor product

$$V := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\iota} T_{\ell}(\mathcal{E}),$$

where  $T_{\ell}(\mathcal{E})$  is the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module and  $\ell$  a prime different from p. The action of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  on the points of  $\mathcal{E}$  induces an action of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  on V. Restricting this action to the Weil group defines a continuous representation  $\pi : W(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . The isomorphism class of  $\pi$  is independent of the choices of  $\ell$  and  $\iota$ .

We can apply the same construction if the *j*-invariant fails to be integral, but then  $\pi$  will turn out to be not continuous. In this case, there is a construction due to Deligne and Grothendieck which gives us a representation  $\pi'$  of the so-called Weil-Deligne group  $W'(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . This group can be realised as a semi-direct product of the form  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ . Since there is a satisfactory characterisation for  $\pi'$ , if the *j*-invariant is non-integral, there is no need to treat this case in detail here. We restrict to presenting the result. The representation  $\pi'$  is then isomorphic to the two dimensional special representation sp(2) iff  $\mathcal{E}$  has multiplicative reduction. If the reduction of  $\mathcal{E}$  is additive then there exists always a separable quadratic extension M/K so that  $\mathcal{E}$  has multiplicative reduction over M. If  $\chi$  is the unique non-trivial character of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  vanishing on  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$ , then we have  $\pi' \cong \chi \otimes \text{sp}(2)$ . For the definitions and proofs we refer to [3].

The famous Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion says that  $\mathcal{E}$  has good reduction iff  $\pi$  is unramified, i.e., if  $\pi$  is trivial on  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . Now an extension Mof the ground field K causes a restriction of  $\pi$  to the corresponding subgroup  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$  of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . So if L is an extension of K such that  $\mathcal{E}$  has good reduction over L, then  $\pi(G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/M))$  has to be trivial. Further it is well known that such an L can be obtained by adjoining the coordinates of the set of all  $\ell$ -torsion points.

We now restrict ourselves to the case that K is of equal characteristic 2. That is, K can be considered as a field of Laurent series  $\mathbb{F}_{2^f}((T))$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^f}$ . In this case, every elliptic curve over K with non-vanishing *j*-invariant has a short Weierstraß form

$$\mathcal{E}: Y^2 + XY = X^3 + \alpha X^2 + \beta$$

for appropriate  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . Using this short Weierstraß form the *j*-invariant is  $\beta^{-1}$ . So the condition of  $\mathcal{E}$  having potential good reduction means that  $\beta^{-1}$  is integral. The aim of this article is to analyse the corresponding representation  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  of the Weil group  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ .

Since  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is semi-simple, it has to be irreducible or the direct sum of two one dimensional representations. So there are two questions natural to ask about  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

- First, when is  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  irreducible ?
- Secondly, how can we describe  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  explicitly in terms of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ?

Further, we want to describe the ramification properties of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  and to calculate cond $(\pi_{\alpha,\beta})$ .

The impact of the parameter  $\alpha$  on  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is already known and can easily be described. Viz., let  $\gamma$  be an element of K, and consider the splitting field M of the polynomial  $X^2 + X + \gamma$ . Define  $\chi_{\gamma}$  as the unique one dimensional representation of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  whose kernel is  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$ . Then for all  $\alpha' \in K$  we have an isomorphism

$$\pi_{\alpha',\beta} \cong \chi_{\alpha+\alpha'} \otimes \pi_{\alpha,\beta} \,.$$

### 2 Adjoining coordinates of 3-torsion points

In this section we will give an explicit construction of a Galois extension L over K such that the restriction of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  to  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  is trivial. This extension may be obtained by adjoining coordinates of the  $\ell$ -torsion points. In order to minimise the calculation effort we choose  $\ell = 3$ . Applying the duplication formula [5, III.2.3 (d)] gives us the following system

$$0 = x^4 + x^3 + \beta$$
$$0 = y^2 + xy + x^3 + \alpha x^2 + \beta,$$

whose solutions (x, y) are precisely the coordinates of the non-trivial 3-torsion-points. For the construction of L we choose

- a primitive third root  $\varphi$  of the unit element 1,
- a third root  $\gamma$  of  $\beta$ ,
- an element D of  $K^{\text{sep}}$  satisfying  $D + D^2 = \gamma$ ,
- an element E of  $K^{\text{sep}}$  satisfying  $E + E^2 = D$ , and
- an element  $F_{\alpha}$  of  $K^{\text{sep}}$  satisfying  $F_{\alpha} + F_{\alpha}^2 = (D+1)E + \alpha$ .

We set  $L := K(\varphi, E, F_{\alpha})$ . An explicit calculation shows that the 3-torsion points unequal to zero of  $\mathcal{E}$  are exactly the points  $P_{ij} = (x_i, y_{ij})$  with

$$x_1 := (D+1)E,$$
  $x_2 := (D+1)(E+1),$   
 $x_3 := (E+\varphi)D,$   $x_4 := (E+\varphi+1)D$ 

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} y_{11} \coloneqq x_1(x_1 + F_{\alpha}) \,, & y_{12} \coloneqq x_1(x_1 + F_{\alpha} + 1) \,, \\ y_{21} \coloneqq x_2(x_2 + F_{\alpha} + E + \varphi) \,, & y_{22} \coloneqq x_2(x_2 + F_{\alpha} + E + \varphi + 1) \,, \\ y_{31} \coloneqq x_3(x_3 + F_{\alpha} + (\varphi + 1)E) \,, & y_{32} \coloneqq x_3(x_3 + F_{\alpha} + (\varphi + 1)E + 1) \,, \\ y_{41} \coloneqq x_4(x_4 + F_{\alpha} + \varphi E) \,, & y_{42} \coloneqq x_4(x_4 + F_{\alpha} + \varphi E + 1) \,. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we can recover the generators  $\varphi, E, F_{\alpha}$  by the formulas

$$\varphi = \frac{x_3}{E+E^2} + E$$
,  $E = \frac{x_1}{x_1+x_2}$ ,  $F_{\alpha} = \frac{y_{11}}{x_1} + x_1$ .

We conclude that L is the smallest extension of K containing the coordinates of all 3-torsion points.

We now consider  $\mathcal{E}$  as an elliptic curve over L.

**Proposition 2.1** Over L the elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$\mathcal{E}_E: Y^2 + E^{-1}XY + Y = X^3 + E^{-3} + 1.$$

**PROOF.** First, we make the transformation  $(X, Y) \mapsto (X, Y + X(E + F_{\alpha}))$ . This yields the equation

$$Y^{2} + XY = X^{3} + (F_{\alpha} + F_{\alpha}^{2} + E + E^{2} + \alpha)X^{2} + \beta.$$

Using the identities

$$F_{\alpha} + F_{\alpha}^{2} = (D+1)E + \alpha = E^{3} + E^{2} + E + \alpha$$

and

$$\beta = \gamma^3 = (E + E^4)^3 = E^3 + E^6 + E^9 + E^{12},$$

we obtain

$$Y^{2} + XY = X^{3} + E^{3}X^{2} + E^{3} + E^{6} + E^{9} + E^{12}$$

Now we make the transformation  $(X, Y) \longmapsto (X + E^3, Y + E^6)$ , which gives us

$$Y^{2} + XY + E^{3}Y = X^{3} + E^{3} + E^{6}.$$

Finally, the transformation  $(X, Y) \mapsto (E^2 X, E^3 Y)$  leads us to the result

$$Y^{2} + E^{-1}XY + Y = X^{3} + E^{-3} + 1.$$

Note that the curve $\mathcal{E}_E$ has integral coefficients. In order to simplify our
exposition, we will further assume that the valuation $\nu_K(\beta)$ is strictly less
than zero. Then we can consider the reduced curve, which is given by the
equation

$$Y^2 + Y = X^3 + 1.$$

The coefficients are independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and the curve  $\mathcal{E}_E$  has good reduction. Now we can apply the criterion of Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich, which states that the action of  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  on V is trivial and the action of a Frobenius automorphism of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  is given by the action of the Frobenius automorphism of  $G(\mathbb{F}_2^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_{2^g})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_{2^g}$  is the residue field of L. On the other hand, the eigenvalues of the Frobenius automorphism can be obtained just by counting rational points.

In the following we will write  $\pi^M_{\alpha,\beta}$  for the restriction of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  to  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$  for an arbitrary finite separable extension M of K. We recall that, if we

consider  $\mathcal{E}$  as an elliptic curve over M, the construction of  $\pi^{M}_{\alpha,\beta}$  is completely analogous to that of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ . To avoid confusion, we will sometimes write  $\pi^{K}_{\alpha,\beta}$ instead of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  if we like to emphasise that  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is defined over the ground field K.

In order to characterise the representation  $\pi^{L}_{\alpha,\beta}$ , we define the one dimensional representation

$$\Omega_K: W(K^{\rm sep}/K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

by requiring that it should be trivial on  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  and

$$\Omega_K(\Phi_K) = (\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}})^f$$

for every Frobenius element  $\Phi_K$  of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . This definition ensures that, for every finite separable extension M of K, the representation  $\Omega_M$  is equal to the restriction of  $\Omega_K$  to  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/M)$ .

**Proposition 2.2** The representation

$$\Omega_K \otimes \pi^K_{\alpha,\beta} : W(K^{\rm sep}/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$$

is trivial on  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$ .

#### PROOF.

Let  $\Phi_L$  be a Frobenius element of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^g}$  the residue field of L. We only have to show that  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}^K(\Phi_L) = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i})^g$ . According to the Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion,  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}^K(\Phi_L)$  is determined by the action of the Frobenius element  $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_{2^g}}$  of  $G(\mathbb{F}_2^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_{2^g})$  on the Tate module of the reduced curve

$$Y^2 + Y = X^3 + 1 \,.$$

Since this curve is even defined over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we have only to regard the action of the Frobenius  $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  of  $G(\mathbb{F}_2^{\text{alg}}/\mathbb{F}_2)$ . Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  the curve has precisely 3 points. As described in [5, p. 136], we get for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of  $\Phi_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  the relations

$$3 = 1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 2,$$
$$\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2},$$

and

$$|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \sqrt{2}.$$

This is possible only if these eigenvalues are  $\sqrt{2}i$  and  $-\sqrt{2}i$ . Since  $\varphi \in L$ , the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \varphi, \varphi + 1\}$  is contained in L. It follows that g is even.

Therefore  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}^{K}(\Phi_L)$  has two equal eigenvalues  $(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i})^g$  and must be a scalar.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of this proposition, we can divide out  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  and obtain a representation  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{K}$  of the finite Galois group

$$W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)/W(K^{\text{sep}}/L) \cong G(L/K)$$
,

which contains all the information about  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

**Proposition 2.3** The representation

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^K : G(L/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$$

is injective.

#### PROOF.

Suppose  $\sigma \in G(L/K)$  with  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{K}(\sigma) = 1$ . Then  $\sigma$  has to act as a scalar on the 3-torsion points. So we have  $\sigma(P) = -P$  or P for all 3-torsion points P = (x, y). It follows that  $\sigma(x_i) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ . So we conclude that  $\sigma(\varphi) = \varphi$  and  $\sigma(E) = E$ , which means that  $\sigma$  is trivial on  $K(\varphi, E)$ . In the case  $K(\varphi, E) = L$  we are done.

In the case  $K(\varphi, E) \neq L$  it remains to show that the restriction

$$\Omega_{K(\varphi,E)}\otimes\pi^{K(\varphi,E)}_{lpha,eta}$$

of  $\Omega_K \otimes \pi^K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is not trivial. We apply our remark in the end of the introduction. Since we have

$$(F_{\alpha} + E)^{2} + F_{\alpha} + E + \alpha + E^{3} = F_{\alpha}^{2} + F_{\alpha} + D + \alpha + E^{3} = 0,$$

we get

$$\pi_{\alpha,\beta}^{K(\varphi,E)} \cong \chi \otimes \pi_{E^3,\beta}^{K(\varphi,E)},$$

where  $\chi$  is the one dimensional representation of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K(\varphi, E))$  defined by the condition  $\text{Ker}(\chi) = W(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$ . From the identity

$$(F_{E^3})^2 + F_{E^3} = (D+1)E + E^3 = D,$$

we conclude that  $K(\varphi, E, F_{E^3}) = K(\varphi, E)$ . Therefore  $\Omega_{K(\varphi, E)} \otimes \pi_{E^3, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}$  has to be trivial, which means that  $\Omega_{K(\varphi, E)} \otimes \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{K(\varphi, E)}$  is not.  $\Box$ 

As a simple conclusion of this proposition, we can answer the first question asked in the introduction.

**Conclusion 2.4** The representation  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is reducible iff G(L/K) is abelian.

### **3** Functorial properties of $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$

In order to describe how  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  depends on  $\beta$ , we assume  $\alpha = 0$ . We now consider the smallest local subfield of K over which the curve  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined. Obviously, this is the field  $\tilde{K} := \mathbb{F}_2((\beta^{-1}))$ . Note that this construction is only possible because we made the assumption  $\nu_K(\beta) < 0$ .

Considering  $\mathcal{E}$  as an elliptic curve over  $\tilde{K}$ , we can apply the construction mentioned above and obtain a representation  $\pi_{0,\beta}^{\tilde{K}}$  of the Weil group  $W(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{K})$ . Similarly we get a representation  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{\tilde{K}}$  of  $G(\tilde{L}/\tilde{K})$ , where  $\tilde{L} = \tilde{K}(\varphi, E, F_0)$ . Further, we may identify the underlying spaces of  $\pi_{0,\beta}^{\tilde{K}}$  and  $\pi_{0,\beta}^{K}$  as well as the underlying spaces of  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{\tilde{K}}$  and  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}$ . If we do so, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** The following diagram is commutative:



#### PROOF.

Comparing the action of  $G(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  with that of  $G(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{K})$  on V, we get the commutative diagram



We now compare  $\Omega_K$  with  $\Omega_{\tilde{K}}$ . They are both trivial on the inertia groups  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  and  $G_0(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{K})$ . We remark further that the rule  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}}$ 

maps the inertia group  $G_0(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  to  $G_0(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{K})$ . If  $\Phi_K$  is a Frobenius element of  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ , then  $\Phi_K|_{\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}}$  is the *f*-th power of a Frobenius element  $\Phi_{\tilde{K}}$  of  $W(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{K})$ . This yields the equation

$$\Omega_{\tilde{K}}(\Phi_K|_{\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}}) = \Omega_{\tilde{K}}(\Phi_{\tilde{K}}^f) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^f = \Omega_K(\Phi_K).$$

So we have the commutative diagram



Now we get the required result by tensoring both diagrams and dividing out the subgroup  $W(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$  on the left and  $W(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}}/\tilde{L})$  on the right hand side.  $\Box$ 

The significance of the last proposition is that we only have to consider the case  $K = \mathbb{F}_2((T))$  and  $\beta = T^{-1}$ , what we will do now.

# 4 The special case $K = \mathbb{F}_2((T))$ and $\beta = T^{-1}$

Throughout this section we assume  $K = \mathbb{F}_2((T))$  and  $\beta = T^{-1}$ . We note that  $K(\varphi)/K$  is an unramified extension. Further we have the equations

$$\beta = E^3 + E^6 + E^9 + E^{12}$$

and

$$F_0 + F_0^2 = E^3 + E^2 + E$$
.

Since  $\nu_K(\beta) = -1$ , we conclude that  $\nu_K(E) = -\frac{1}{12}$  and  $\nu_K(F_0) = -\frac{1}{24}$ . In particular  $L/K(\varphi)$  must be totally ramified of degree 24. So L/K has maximal degree 48. Since we obtained L by adjoining coordinates of 3-torsion points, we have the inclusion  $G(L/K) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and therefore an isomorphism

$$G(L/K) \cong \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$$
.

So we can consider  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}$  as a representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{3})$ . We now apply the representation theory of  $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{3})$ , which can be found for example in [2]. We briefly recall some basic facts.

Referring to the table on page 70, loc. cit., all two dimensional irreducible representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  are cuspidal. The cuspidal representations of the group  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  are parametrised by the regular characters of  $\mathbb{F}_9^*$ . A character  $\mu: \mathbb{F}_9^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called regular if it does not agree with the conjugate character  $\bar{\mu}$ . The conjugate character  $\bar{\mu}$  is defined by  $\bar{\mu}(x) := \mu(\bar{x})$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is the conjugate of x over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . This conjugation of characters yields an equivalence relation on the set of all regular characters of  $\mathbb{F}_9$ . Each equivalence class corresponds to an isomorphism class of cuspidal representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . As a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_9^*$  we choose the element  $\zeta = 1 + \sqrt{-1}$ . We further choose the characters  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , and  $\mu_5$  defined by  $\mu_k(\zeta) = (e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}})^k$  for k = 1, 2, 5 as a system of representatives of the equivalence classes of regular characters. By  $\rho_k$  for k = 1, 2, 5 we denote the corresponding isomorphism classes of cuspidal representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Since  $\mu_2$  is not injective, the representation  $\rho_2$ is not injective either. So we only have to decide whether  $\rho_{0,\beta}^K$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_5$ .

To do so we must identify G(L/K) and  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  by choosing a basis for the  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space of 3-torsion points. Our choice is the basis  $(P_{11}, P_{21})$ . Then we have the following result.

**Proposition 4.1** The representation  $\rho_{0,\beta}^K$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_5$ .

#### PROOF.

Let  $\sigma \in G(L/K)$  be the automorphism whose operation on the 3-torsion points is expressed by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\zeta\bar{\zeta} \\ 1 & \zeta+\bar{\zeta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to [2, p. 70] we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mu_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&1\\1&-1\end{array}\right)\right) = -\mu_{1}(\zeta) - \mu_{1}(\bar{\zeta})$$
$$= -\mu_{1}(\zeta) - \mu_{1}(\zeta^{3})$$
$$= -\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{3\pi}{4}}$$
$$= -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}.$$

We now determine the action of  $\sigma(\varphi)$ . Recall that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is the only subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  of index two. As a consequence,  $K(\varphi)/K$  is the only subfield of L quadratic over K. Since the matrix corresponding to  $\sigma$  is not contained in  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , we must have  $\sigma(\varphi) \neq \varphi$ .

Next we construct an appropriate extension of  $\sigma$ , which will enable us to calculate  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}(\sigma)$  approximately. Therefore let  $\tilde{\sigma} \in W(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$  be an arbitrary extension of  $\sigma$ . For a fixed Frobenius element  $\Phi_{K}$  we have  $\tilde{\sigma} = \Phi_{K}^{j}\sigma_{0}$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\sigma_{0} \in G_{0}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ . Since f(L/K) = 2 and  $\sigma(\varphi) \neq \varphi$ , we conclude that j is odd and  $\Phi_{K}^{j-1}$  is trivial on L. So  $\sigma^{*} := \Phi_{K}\sigma_{0}$  is also an extension of  $\sigma$ . Further we have

$$\Omega_K(\sigma^*) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}} \,.$$

Now assume that  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_{1}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\pi_{0,\beta}^{K}(\sigma^{*})) = \Omega_{K}^{-1}(\sigma^{*}) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}(\sigma)\right)$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{i} \left(-i\sqrt{2}\right)$$
$$= -2.$$

On the other hand, the operation of  $\sigma^*$  on the 3-torsion points yields the congruence

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{0,\beta}^{K}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)\right) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1\\1 & -1\end{array}\right)\right) \mod 3\mathbb{Z}_{3}$$
$$\equiv 2 \mod 3\mathbb{Z}_{3}.$$

This is clearly a contradiction. So our assumption needs to be false and we conclude that  $\rho_{0,\beta}^{K}$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_{5}$ .

Now the second question asked in the introduction is completely answered. But this answer is less satisfactory than it appears on a first view, since it fails to reveal the ramification properties of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ . This question will be addressed in the next section.

# 5 The ramification properties of $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$

In this section we will calculate the conductor of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  in the general case, where  $\alpha$  is arbitrary and  $\nu_K(\beta) < 0$ . Therefore we need to consider the extension L/K more closely. We define the elements

$$D_{\varphi} := \varphi E + (\varphi E)^2$$
 and  $D_{\varphi^2} := \varphi^2 E + (\varphi^2 E)^2$ 

This yields  $D_{\varphi} + (D_{\varphi})^2 = \varphi \gamma$  and  $D_{\varphi^2} + (D_{\varphi^2})^2 = \varphi^2 \gamma$ , which should be compared with the relation  $D + D^2 = \gamma$ . So the elements  $D_{\varphi}$  and  $D_{\varphi^2}$ describe how D changes if we choose  $\varphi \gamma$  or  $\varphi^2 \gamma$  instead of  $\gamma$  as a third root of  $\beta$ . Later we will see that this change of D in dependence of the choice of  $\gamma$  becomes important for the calculation of the conductor.

In order to calculate  $\operatorname{cond}(\pi_{\alpha,\beta})$  (see section 1), we have to calculate the higher ramification groups  $G_i(L/K)$  for i > 0. We begin with a closer look at  $G_1(L/K)$ . Since  $K(\varphi, \gamma)/K$  is tamely ramified, we have

$$G_1(L/K) \subset G(L/K(\varphi, \gamma))$$
.

**Lemma 5.1** Let  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$ . Then all possible values for the pair

 $(\sigma(E), \sigma(F_{\alpha}))$ 

are listed in the following table:

Table 1: Possible elements of  $G_1(L/K)$ 

$\sigma(\mathbf{E})$	$\sigma(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha})$		
E	$F_{lpha}$		
E	$F_{\alpha} + 1$		
E+1	$F_{\alpha} + E + \varphi$		
E+1	$F_{\alpha} + E + \varphi + 1$		
$E + \varphi$	$F_{\alpha} + E + \varphi + 1$ $F_{\alpha} + (\varphi + 1)E$ $F_{\alpha} + (\varphi + 1)E + 1$		
$E + \varphi$	$F_{\alpha} + (\varphi + 1)E + 1$		
$E + \varphi + 1$	$F_{\alpha} + \varphi E$		
$E+\varphi+1$	$F_{\alpha} + \varphi E + 1$		

For the order of  $\sigma$  we have

$$\operatorname{ord}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma(E) = E \text{ and } \sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} \\ 2 & \text{if } \sigma(E) = E \text{ and } \sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1 \\ 4 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

#### PROOF.

Since  $\sigma$  leaves  $\gamma = E + E^4$  invariant, we have the identity

$$\sigma(E) + \sigma(E^4) = E + E^4.$$

On the other hand, we have  $E + a + (E + a)^4 = E + E^4 + a + a^4$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \varphi, \varphi + 1\}$ . So  $E, E + 1, E + \varphi, E + \varphi + 1$  are exactly the possible values for  $\sigma(E)$ .

In the case  $\sigma(E) = E$  we obtain from  $F_{\alpha} + F_{\alpha}^2 = (D+1)E + \alpha$  the equation

$$\sigma(F_{\alpha}) + \sigma(F_{\alpha})^2 = (D+1)E + \alpha \,,$$

which has the solutions  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1$ . We leave it to the reader as an exercise to check that we obtain the equation

$$\sigma(F_{\alpha}) + \sigma(F_{\alpha})^2 = (D+1)(E+1) + \alpha$$

in the case  $\sigma(E) = E + 1$ , the equation

$$\sigma(F_{\alpha}) + \sigma(F_{\alpha})^{2} = D(E + \varphi) + \alpha$$

in the case  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi$ , and

$$\sigma(F_{\alpha}) + \sigma(F_{\alpha})^2 = D(E + \varphi + 1) + \alpha$$

in the case  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi + 1$ . Further the reader should check that the values for  $\sigma(F_{\alpha})$  given in the table are all possible solutions of these equations. There remains the calculation of  $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)$ . In the case  $\sigma(E) = E$  it is clear that  $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma) = 1$  if  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha}$  and  $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma) = 2$  if  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1$ . In all other cases we have only to show that  $\sigma^2(E) = E$  and  $\sigma^2(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1$ , which we leave again as an exercise.

We now calculate for every possible  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  the numbers

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) := \nu_L(\sigma(T_L) + T_L),$$

where  $T_L$  is an arbitrary uniformizer of L. Let us recall some basic facts about these numbers, which can be found in [4, Chap. 4]. We assume that we have a tower  $M \supset N \supset K$ , where M/K is Galois. First we have the identity

$$i_{M/K}(\sigma) = i_{M/N}(\sigma) \tag{1}$$

for every  $\sigma \in G(M/N)$ . Secondly, if N/K is Galois then

$$i_{N/K}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{e(M/N)} \sum_{\substack{s \in G(M/K)\\s|_N = \sigma}} i_{M/K}(s)$$
(2)

for each  $\sigma \in G(N/K)$ . Finally we have the relation

$$d(M/K) = \sum_{\sigma \in G(M/K) \setminus \{ \mathrm{id}_M \}} i_{M/K}(\sigma) , \qquad (3)$$

where d(M/K) denotes the different exponent of M/K.

**Lemma 5.2** 1. Let  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with  $\sigma(E) = E$  and  $\sigma(F_\alpha) = F_\alpha + 1$ . Then we have

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = d(L/K(\varphi, E))$$

2. If  $d(L/K(\varphi, E)) > 0$  then there is a  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with  $\sigma(E) = E$  and  $\sigma(F_\alpha) = F_\alpha + 1$ .

#### PROOF.

Assertion (1) is just a simple application of (1) and (3). To show (2), just note that  $L/K(\varphi, E)$  has to be wildly ramified of degree two. Therefore an automorphism  $\sigma$  with the required properties exists.

**Lemma 5.3** 1. Let  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with  $\sigma(E) = E + 1$ . Then we have

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = d(K(E)/K(D)).$$

2. If d(K(E)/K(D)) > 0 then there are two different automorphisms  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with the property  $\sigma(E) = E + 1$ .

#### PROOF.

Ad (1). An easy calculation shows that  $\sigma$  has order 4 and that  $\sigma^3(E) = E+1$ . Every subgroup of G(L/K) which contains  $\sigma$  also contains  $\sigma^3$  and vice versa. Therefore we have  $i_{L/K}(\sigma) = i_{L/K}(\sigma^3)$ . Applying (1), (2), and (3) we get

$$\frac{2}{e(L/K(\varphi, E))}i_{L/K}(\sigma) = i_{K(\varphi, E)/K}(\sigma \mid_{K(\varphi, E)})$$
$$= i_{K(\varphi, E)/K(\varphi, D)}(\sigma \mid_{K(\varphi, E)})$$
$$= d(K(\varphi, E)/K(\varphi, D)).$$

Since  $K(\varphi, D)$  is the fixed field of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  and  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K) \subset G_1(L/K(\varphi, D))$ , the extension  $L/K(\varphi, D)$  needs to be totally ramified. It follows that

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = d(K(\varphi, E)/K(\varphi, D)).$$

Finally note that the transitivity property of the different gives us

$$d(K(\varphi, E)/K(\varphi, D)) = d(K(E)/K(D)).$$

Ad (2). Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be the unique non-trivial element of  $G(K(\varphi, E)/K(\varphi, D))$  and  $\sigma \in G(L/K(\varphi, D))$  an extension of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . Then we have  $\sigma(E) = E + 1$ . In order to show that  $\sigma$  is in  $G_1(L/K)$ , it suffices to show that  $L/K(\varphi, D)$  is totally

ramified. Since  $\sigma$  has order 4, the extension  $L/K(\varphi, D)$  is cyclic of degree 4. Let K' be the maximal unramified subextension of  $L/K(\varphi, D)$ . From d(K(E)/K(D)) > 0 we conclude that the degree of  $K'/K(\varphi, D)$  is at most two. If it were two we had  $K' = K(\varphi, E)$ , which is impossible. Thus we have shown that  $\sigma$  has the required properties. Finally it is easily seen that  $\sigma^3$  is also an element of  $G_1(L/K)$  for which  $\sigma^3(E) = E + 1$  holds.  $\Box$ 

In the same way we get the following two lemmata.

**Lemma 5.4** 1. Let  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi + 1$ . Then we have  $i_{L/K}(\sigma) = d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi}))$ .

2. If  $d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi})) > 0$  then there are two different automorphisms  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with the property  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi + 1$ .

**Lemma 5.5** 1. Let  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi$ . Then we have

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2})).$$

2. If  $d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2})) > 0$  then there are two different automorphisms  $\sigma \in G_1(L/K)$  with the property  $\sigma(E) = E + \varphi$ .

Now we are able to calculate the numbers  $\#G_i(L/K)$ .

#### Proposition 5.6 Let

$$r := \min\{d(K(E)/K(D)), d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi})), d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2}))\},\$$
  
$$s := \max\{d(K(E)/K(D)), d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi})), d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2}))\},\$$

and

$$t := d(L/K(\varphi, E)).$$

Then we have

$$\#G_i(L/K) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } i < r \\ 4 & \text{if } r \le i < s \\ 2 & \text{if } s \le i < t \\ 1 & \text{if } t \le i \end{cases}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### PROOF.

Since  $G_i(L/K)$  is a 2-group for i > 0, the only possible values for  $\#G_i(L/K)$ are 1, 2, 4, and 8. We now only have to apply the last four lemmata.

If i < r then  $G_1(L/K)$  must contain two automorphisms which send E to E+1, two which send E to  $E+\varphi$  and another two which send E to  $E+\varphi+1$ . So we have  $\#G_i(L/K) = 8$ .

If  $r \leq i < s$  then there is either no element of  $G_1(L/K)$  which takes E to E+1 or no element which takes E to  $E+\varphi$  or no element which takes E to  $E + \varphi + 1$ . So we have  $\#G_i(L/K) \leq 4$ . On the other hand there must be two elements of  $G_i(L/K)$  which take E to E+1,  $E+\varphi$  or  $E+\varphi+1$ . Since  $G_i(L/K)$  contains the identity element, we get  $\#G_i(L/K) = 4$ .

In the case  $s \leq i < t$  the group  $G_i(L/K)$  contains no automorphism which takes E to E+1,  $E+\varphi$  or  $E+\varphi+1$ , but an automorphism  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma(E)=E$ and  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1$ . This gives us  $\#G_i(L/K) = 2$ .

In the case  $t \leq i$  the group  $G_i(L/K)$  contains only the identity element.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 5.7** For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  the fixed space  $V^{G_i(L/K)}$  is either V or 0.

(Recall that V is the representation space of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$ .) PROOF.

If  $G_i(L/K)$  is trivial then we have  $V^{G_i(L/K)} = V$ . If  $G_i(L/K)$  is not trivial then it contains an element  $\sigma$  which has order two. According to 5.1 we have  $\sigma(E) = E$  and  $\sigma(F_{\alpha}) = F_{\alpha} + 1$ . Since  $\sigma$  leaves the values  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , and  $x_4$  invariant it has to act as the scalar -1 on the 3-torsion points. Applying [2, p. 70] gives us  $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{K}(\sigma)) = -2$ . So  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{K}(\sigma)$  needs to be the scalar -1. Therefore  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)$  is a non-trivial scalar, so  $V^{G_i(L/K)} = 0$ . 

Now we can state our main result.

#### Theorem 5.8 Let

$$\begin{aligned} r' &:= \min\{d(K(E)/K(D)t), d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi})), d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2}))\} ,\\ s' &:= \max\{d(K(E)/K(D)), d(K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi})), d(K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2}))\} ,\\ nd \end{aligned}$$

aı

$$t' := d(L/K(\varphi, E))$$
.

Further we define the numbers  $r := \max\{r' - 1, 0\}, s := \max\{s' - 1, 0\}, and$  $t := \max\{t' - 1, 0\}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{cond}(\pi_{\alpha,\beta}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L/K \text{ is unramified} \\ 2 + \frac{8r + 4(s+t)}{e(L/K)} & \text{if } L/K \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

#### PROOF.

If L/K is unramified then clearly  $G_i(L/K) = \{1\}$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{cond}(\pi_{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$ . We now consider the case where L/K is ramified. Using the abbreviation  $g_i := \#G_i(L/K)$  we have

$$\operatorname{cond}(\pi_{\alpha,\beta}) = \frac{2}{e(L/K)} \sum_{i=0}^{t} g_i$$
  
=  $2 + \frac{2}{e(L/K)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} g_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{s} g_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{t} g_i \right)$   
=  $2 + \frac{2}{e(L/K)} \left( 8r + 4 \left( s - r \right) + 2 \left( t - s \right) \right)$   
=  $2 + \frac{8r + 4(s+t)}{e(L/K)}.$ 

	L	
	J	

# 6 Concluding Remark

The descriptions of the higher ramification groups  $G_i(L/K)$  in 5.6 and of the conductor of  $\pi_{\alpha,\beta}$  in 5.8 are not quite explicit, since they depend on the calculation of the different exponents of the extensions

$$K(E)/K(D), \quad K(\varphi E)/K(D_{\varphi}), \quad K(\varphi^2 E)/K(D_{\varphi^2}), \text{ and } L/K(\varphi, E).$$

Therefore, we would like to add that there is a way to determine these differents by explicit calculations in K in dependence of  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ . These calculations, too involved to present here, are carried out in [1].

## References

- J. Frieden, Zur darstellungstheoretischen Beschreibung von elliptischen Kurven über lokalen Körpern der Charakteristik 2, doctoral thesis, Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken, 2004.
- [2] I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Complex Representations of GL(2, K) for Finite Fields K, Contemporary Mathematics 16, Amer. Math. Soc. 1983.

- [3] D. E. Rohrlich, *Elliptic Curves and the Weil-Deligne Group*, in: Elliptic Curves and Related Topics, CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes 4, ed. by H. Kisilevsky and R. Murty, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1994, S. 125-157.
- [4] J-P. Serre, Local Fields, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [5] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.