Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Francesca Bianchi, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY Marcus Vetter, University Hospital of Basel, Switzerland Julio de la Torre, Comillas Pontifical University, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE Carolin Müller Carolin.mueller@uks.eu

RECEIVED 31 March 2023 ACCEPTED 24 July 2023 PUBLISHED 11 August 2023

#### CITATION

Zemlin C, Schleicher JT, Altmayer L, Stuhlert C, Wörmann C, Lang M, Scherer L-S, Thul IC, Spenner LS, Simon JA, Wind A, Kaiser E, Weber R, Goedicke-Fritz S, Wagenpfeil G, Zemlin M, Steffgen G, Solomayer E-F and Müller C (2023) Improved awareness of physical activities is associated with a gain of fitness and a stable body weight in breast cancer patients during the first year of antineoplastic therapy: the BEGYN-1 study. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1198157. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1198157

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zemlin, Schleicher, Altmayer, Stuhlert, Wörmann, Lang, Scherer, Thul, Spenner, Simon, Wind, Kaiser, Weber, Goedicke-Fritz, Wagenpfeil, Zemlin, Steffgen, Solomayer and Müller. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Improved awareness of physical activities is associated with a gain of fitness and a stable body weight in breast cancer patients during the first year of antineoplastic therapy: the BEGYN-1 study

Cosima Zemlin <sup>1</sup>, Julia Theresa Schleicher<sup>1</sup>, Laura Altmayer<sup>1</sup>, Caroline Stuhlert<sup>1</sup>, Carolin Wörmann<sup>1</sup>, Marina Lang<sup>1</sup>, Laura-Sophie Scherer<sup>1</sup>, Ida Clara Thul<sup>1</sup>, Lisanne Sophie Spenner<sup>1</sup>, Jana Alisa Simon<sup>1</sup>, Alina Wind<sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Kaiser <sup>2</sup>, Regine Weber <sup>2</sup>, Sybelle Goedicke-Fritz <sup>2</sup>, Gudrun Wagenpfeil <sup>3</sup>, Michael Zemlin <sup>2</sup>, Georges Steffgen<sup>4</sup>, Erich-Franz Solomayer <sup>1</sup> and Carolin Müller <sup>1</sup>,<sup>5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics, and Reproductive Medicine, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg, Saar, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Department of General Pediatrics and Neonatology, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg, Saar, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Institute for Medical Biometry, Epidemiology and Medical Informatics (IMBEI), Saarland University Campus Homburg, Homburg, Saar, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Department of Behavioural and Cognitive Sciences, Institute for Health and Behaviour, University of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg, <sup>5</sup>Department of Outcomes Research, Anesthesiology Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, United States

**Background:** Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer in women. Reduced physical activity and overweight are associated with poor prognosis. Breast cancer patients have a high risk to gain weight, lose muscle mass and reduce physical activity during therapy. Concepts are urgently needed to motivate patients to engage in physical activity.

**Methods:** 110 non-metastatic breast cancer patients were included in the prospective observational BEGYN-1 study. Physiological parameters and body composition were measured before the start of therapy and then quarterly for one year. Patients used a fitness tracker and documented their physical activity in a diary throughout the study.

**Results:** Although the patients were not offered any guided exercise, and despite the restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic, they increased their physical activity (metabolic equivalent of task (MET) -minutes): p<0.001), physical fitness

(decreasing resting heart rate: p=0.001) and did not gain weight (median - 0.4kg) over the course of the study.

**Conclusion:** Improved awareness of physical activity is associated with an increase in physical activity, fitness, and a stable weight during the first year of therapy in breast cancer patients. Counselling at diagnosis should motivate patients to engage in physical activity, wear a fitness tracker and document activities.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, physical activity, resting heart rate, fitness tracker, MET, body composition, weight, COVID - 19

#### **1** Introduction

The diagnosis of breast cancer often represents a traumatic event in patients that can result in reduced physical activity, weight gain and deteriorating physical fitness (1, 2). Especially weight gain during chemotherapy has already been recognized to be a problem in breast cancer patients (3). Weight gain, as well as reduction of physical activity and fitness are known to negatively influence the success of cancer therapy and overall outcome (4–7). In addition, obesity is a risk factor for the development of breast cancer in the first place. Especially occurrence of hormone-receptor positive breast cancer in postmenopausal women could be associated with obesity (8).

Physical activity is playing an important role in primary prevention of breast cancer, as physical activity is associated with a reduction of primary breast cancer and recurrent breast cancer (9). Based on a large population-based study among breast cancer patients, physical activity before and after chemotherapy was associated with significantly reduced hazard ratios of recurrence and mortality (10). However, in this study, physical activity was assessed using questionnaires (10). To better understand the consequences of physical activity during anticancer therapy on side effects, body composition and physiological parameters, we performed the prospective BEGYN-1 study (11, 12).

Van Gemert et al. showed that 5.5% of postmenopausal breast cancer cases are attributable to physical inactivity and 8.8% to overweight, making this together an even greater risk than smoking (4.6%) and alcohol (6.6%) (13). Healthcare professionals should counsel patients in ways that increase awareness of the importance of physical activity as well as a healthy lifestyle (14). But not only breast cancer patients can benefit from physical activities. The importance of physical activity and the positive influence on the quality of life in patients with other cancer entities, e.g., lung and colorectal cancer, has been shown previously (15, 16).

There is an urgent need to develop and implement novel strategies that motivate newly diagnosed breast cancer patients to engage in physical activity from diagnosis throughout the entire course of anticancer therapy. Several previous studies used an interventional approach to measure the influence of strength and/ or endurance sports (7, 17–20). A meta-analysis found that

supervised interventions regarding physical activity might be a greater independent motivator compared than partly supervised or unsupervised interventions (21). Supervised exercise showed a stronger effect on primary outcomes, quality of life, and physical function than the type or amount of recommended exercise (21). Recent systematic reviews demonstrated, that in combination with diet, exercise intervention led to improved cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, body composition, quality of life, fatigue, anxiety, depression, and sleep compared to control groups (22–24). Furthermore, in long-term studies, healthy bodyweight resulting from regular physical exercise was associated with a better quality of life compared to overweight or obese women who gained body weight after diagnosis (25).

However, maintaining the level of physical activity is difficult after the completion of a short-term exercise intervention (26). It is important to provide patients with sustainable motivational concepts after an intervention (27). Activity diaries alone might offer some motivation, but there are considerable discrepancies between this form of self-assessment and other methods to quantify physical activity (28).

The use of fitness trackers has been recommended for a more objective assessment (29, 30). Fitness trackers have been successfully used to quantify physical activity by tracking step counts of patients undergoing oncological treatment (31). Furthermore, fitness trackers continuously measure the heart rate and determine the resting heart rate. The resting heart rate is an important marker not only for physical fitness but correlates with longevity and with the risk of all-cause mortality in breast cancer patients (32, 33). A systematic review showed that the use of a fitness tracker in combination with motivational interviews had the most consistent positive effect on adherence to physical activity (34). During the COVID-19 pandemic, an increased use of fitness trackers was observed that was positively associated with physical activity (35). However, multiple studies showed that physical activity decreased, and body weight increased during the Covid-19 pandemic in German and US-American population (36-38). The aim of the BEGYN-1 study was to assess the physical activity, resting heart rate and body weight, as well as body composition during the first year of newly diagnosed nonmetastatic breast cancer patients. We aimed to detect differences between the first and the

last three months during the first year after breast cancer diagnosis, thus after completion of most acute oncologic therapies (e.g., chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery). According to the national guideline for breast cancer, moderate physical activity was recommended to all patients (39, 40). Physical activity was measured by using a fitness tracker as well as a personal activity diary. Quarterly routine follow-up visits were used for monitoring physiological parameters and body composition and for evaluation of physical activity.

## 2 Patients and methods

#### 2.1 Patients

Patients were enrolled in the BEGYN-1 study between September 2019 and January 2021 (11). The baseline study visit was scheduled before the start of any antineoplastic therapy or intervention (e.g., surgery, chemotherapy) but after information by a medical doctor about the diagnosis. The BEGYN-1 study lasted one year in total, and all patients presented themselves every three months for their follow-up visits. Clinical assessment was obtained at each visit, including anamnesis, measuring body weight, as well as blood pressure, resting heart rate, and bioelectrical impedance analysis. Therefore, nutritional and hydration deprivation (except water) was required prior to the measurements and patients presented themselves in sportswear. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical Association of Saarland (study # 229/18). Inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Figure 1. All patients provided written consent. Documentation of clinical characteristics (e.g., age, BMI, Karnofsky performance status scale), as well as histopathological parameters was performed.

#### 2.2 Methods

All patients received their own fitness tracker (Fitbit charge 3<sup>TM</sup>, Fitbit Inc., San Francisco) at the baseline visit. The fitness tracker was linked to a smartphone and patients were motivated to wear the fitness tracker during the whole day, ideally at any time (including nights) to measure steps, physical activity, and heart rate (29). Weekly fitness reports of the fitness tracker were read out during the follow-up visits.

Additionally, all patients documented their sporting activities and calorie consumption in their individual study diary. The diary included daily notes on the type and length of physical activities. The patients were asked to transfer the measurement results from the fitness tracker to the diary. They could add comments in a free text field. The diary was evaluated during the follow-up visits by study staff together with the patients.

METs (Metabolic Equivalents of Task) can be used to compare different activities regarding their energy consumption. One MET corresponds to the conversion of 3.15 ml oxygen per kilogram of



#### FIGURE 1

Recruitment and flow of participants in the BEGYN-1 trial.

10.3389/fonc.2023.1198157

body weight per minute in women. In the current study, METs were calculated using information from the fitness tracker, as well as the study diary. METs were calculated for all days throughout the year and mean values were calculated for individual days, weeks, and months. MET minutes (MET-min) were obtained by multiplying MET activity with duration of the activity (MET-min<sub>activity</sub> =  $MET_{activity} \times duration_{activity}$ ) (41, 42). When "MET-min" is mentioned in the following manuscript, this term refers to METminactivity. The energy expenditure (kcal) and the duration (minutes) of certain activities were documented from the fitness tracker's actigraphy. Body weight (kg) was measured weekly by the patients themselves. A distinction was made between total activity and workout. The daily calorie consumption, measured by the fitness tracker, was defined as the total activity. Periods of rest, or periods where the fitness tracker was not worn (e.g., nights) were included and the Fitbit app calculated the basal metabolic rate for these periods.

In the case of missing data imputation was carried out according to previously published methods by Stephens et al. (43). Missing values for the total activity occurred when the tracker was not worn or only partially worn during the day or when no value could be determined due to technical problems and/ or lack of cooperation on the part of the patients. Where possible, the missing values were imputed according to standard procedures (43). Briefly, the requirement was that at least 50% of the data on daily calorie consumption was available in the relevant diary. At least three (if possible five) plausible references were used, and the arithmetic mean of the reference values was used as the estimated value for the missing value. Days with the same activities (e.g., walking and swimming or no sport) or with the same general condition (e.g., nausea or hospitalization) as on the day with the missing value were selected as references. To impute the activities as completely as possible, missing recordings were supplemented according to the following algorithm: If energy expenditure was not reported for an activity, an estimate was calculated based on other recorded exercises of the same type and intensity (at least three, if possible five references). For this purpose, an arithmetically averaged quotient was calculated with the duration or the route of the references. Implausible outlier values measured by the fitness tracker (e.g., an apparent extremely long walking distance), that were in conflict with the activity diary, were replaced by the patient's self-assessment in consultation with the patient, so that the energy consumption was estimated based on the patient information according to the above scheme. Consideration of both, fitness tracker measurements and activity diary thus lead to the most complete assessment of physical activity (43). In accordance with the published recommendations, we differentiated between data that was missing at random or systematically, e.g., when the patient only wore the fitness tracker during sporting activities but not during daily life (43).

Body composition was assessed using bioelectrical impedance analysis. For the bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) (TANITA 601 scale<sup>TM</sup>, Tanita Europe BV, Stuttgart), the patients stood barefoot on a body scale and holding sensors in both hands. Based on a dual frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis at four measuring points, the scale yields information about the muscle, fat, bone, and water content of the whole body as well as individual compartments (44, 45).

#### 2.3 Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS as IBM Corp. Released 2021. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 28.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Qualitative parameters (e.g., tumor stage) are presented as frequencies and quantitative parameters are given as mean with standard deviation or as median with range. Normal distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk testing. Inter-group comparison was performed using the Mann-Whitney-U test. Assessments over time were compared with the Wilcoxon signedrank test and repeated measures analysis of variance (Friedman test) combined with pair-wise comparisons adjusted for multiple testing according to the Bonferroni method. Correlations were analyzed using Spearman Rank correlation. Thus, AMET-min/ week ( $\Delta$ MET-min/week = MET-min/week [week 40-52] minus MET-min/week [week 1-13]) were used to correlate physical activity with weight, visceral fat, body fat, muscle mass and BMI between the first three months and the last three months within the first year after diagnosis.

## **3** Results

In total, 110 patients were included in the BEGYN-1 trial (Figure 1). In the period between September 2019 and January 2021, n=387 patients with high suspicion or newly diagnosed breast cancer were presented to the tumor board at the breast center of the Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg/Saar, Germany. Of these n=387 patients, n=181 met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). N=110 patients signed the declaration of consent after being informed by a physician. N=20 patients dropped out of the study (Figure 1). The patients were 26 to 81 years old when included in the study.

Ninety patients completed the study until the final one year follow up assessment and were used for the analysis. Of these n=90 patients, n=53 patients received chemotherapy (CHT). N=37 patients did not receive chemotherapy (NCHT). Median length of chemotherapy was 147 days (min. 76, max. 189 days). All patients received surgical treatment and n=75 patients (83%) underwent radiotherapy. Median length of radiotherapy was 37 days (min. 18, max. 69 days). All patients received surgical treatment throughout the year is illustrated in Table 2.

Overall, physical activity increased significantly from 16845 MET-min/week (median) in the first quarter of the study to 18114 MET-min/week in the last quarter of the study (p<0.001) (Figure 2). In the two subgroups, physical activity increased from 16804 MET-min/week to 17697 MET-min/week (CHT, p<0.001) and from 16973 MET-min/week to 18357 MET-min/week (NCHT,

		CHT (n=53)	NCHT (n=37)	All patients (n=90)
Tumor entity	NST	44 (83.0%)	31 (83.8%)	75 (83.3%)
	invasive lobular	7 (13.2%)	2 (2.7%)	9 (10.0%)
	others	2 (3.8%)	4 (10.8%)	6 (6.7%)
cT	T0*	2 (3.8%)	1 (2.7%)	3 (3.3%)
	T1	29 (54.7%)	34 (91.8%)	63 (70.0%)
	Т2	19 (35.8%)	2 (5.4%)	21 (23.3%)
	Т3	1 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.1%)
	Τ4	2 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.2%)
cN	N0	38 (71.7%)	35 (94.6%)	73 (81.1%)
	N+	15 (28.3%)	2 (5.4%)	17 (18.9%)
cM	M0	53 (100%)	37 (100%)	90 (100%)
Grading**	G1	0 (0.0%)	10 (27.0%)	10 (11.1%)
	G2	19 (35.8%)	23 (62.2%)	42 (46.7%)
	G3	34 (64.2%)	3 (8.1%)	37 (41.1%)
Age	26-30 years	2 (3.8%)	1 (2.7%)	3 (3.3%)
	31-40 years	10 (18.9%)	3 (8.1%)	13 (14.4%)
	41-50 years	13 (24.5%)	9 (24.3%)	22 (24.4%)
	51-60 years	15 (28.3%)	10 (27.0%)	25 (27.8%)
	61-70 years	11 (20.8%)	10 (27.0%)	21 (23.3%)
	71-78 years	2 (3.8%)	4 (10.8%)	6 (6.7%)

Table 1 Patient characteristics including tumor entity (histology, grading), tumor stage (TNM-classification) and age in all patients/chemotherapy (CHT)/no chemotherapy (NCHT).

\*3 patients had a recurrent tumor in the lymph nodes without tumor manifestation in the breast, thus T0\*\*Grading not available in one NCHT patient.

p=0.07), respectively. Furthermore, the ranges of activity increased over time in both therapy groups: 11860 to 22037 MET-min/week (CHT) and 13564 to 23443 MET-min/week (NCHT) in the first quarter of the study to 11093 to 24736 MET-min/week (CHT) and 12738 to 24300 MET-min/week (NCHT) in the last quarter of the study. There was no significant difference related to physical activity between the two therapy groups (Table 3).

The resting heart rate of all patients decreased significantly during the study period from 77/min at the baseline visit to 72/min at the final visit at the end of the study period (p<0.001) (Figure 3, Table 3). More precisely, the patients who received chemotherapy (CHT) as well as those who did not receive chemotherapy (NCHT)

therefore also showed a significant reduction of the heart rate during the investigation period from 78/min to 72/min (median, CHT, p<0.001) and 74/min to 71/min (median, NCHT, p=0.037), respectively. Regarding the patients who received chemotherapy an additional increase in heart rate occurred from first week to week 13. This represents an increase in heart rate during chemotherapy (Figure 3).

The average weight of all patients decreased not significantly by 0.4 kg (p = 0.259) during the study period (Figure 4, Table 3). The weight changes of the patients receiving chemotherapy (CHT) and of those who did not receive chemotherapy (NCHT) also showed no significant differences over time (p = 0.145/p = 0.850) nor between

TABLE 2 Treatment (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery) according to time quartiles.

Therapy	0-3 months	3-6 months	6-9 months	9-12 months	Total therapy
Chemotherapy	53 (100%)	52 (98%)	15 (29%)	2 (4%)	53 (100%)
Surgery	55 (61%)	12 (13%)	22 (25%)	1 (1%)	90 (100%)
Radiotherapy	27 (36.5%)	7 (9.5%)	37 (50%)	3 (4%)	74 (100%)
Endocrine therapy	37 (41%)	46 (51%)	65 (72%)	72 (100%)	72 (100%)

All in all, n=53 patients underwent chemotherapy, all (n=90) patients had surgery, n=74 patients received radiotherapy, and n=72 patients received endocrine therapy. Values are given as n= number of patients and percentage (%).



Development of the physical activity over one year. MET (Metabolic equivalents of task). Over all patients, the MET-mins significantly increased between week 1-13 compared to weeks 27-39 and 40-52 (p<0.01). In patients receiving chemotherapy, MET increased significantly between weeks 1-13 and 14-26 compared to week 40-52 (p<0.01). In patients who did not receive chemotherapy, MET increased significantly between week 1-13 compared to weeks 14-26 and 27-39 (p<0.05). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001.

CHT and NCHT (p = 0.650). CHT- patients had no significant loss of weight (median -0.9kg), body fat (median -0.7kg), muscle mass (median -0,1kg) and reduction of their BMI (median -0.2kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (Table 3). There was no significant difference between the NCHT and CHT groups for the body composition (Table 3).

To test the hypothesis that the quantity of physical activity might influence physiological parameters, we studied the correlation between the physiological parameters with the deviation of physical activity during the study period.

Over the course of the study year, a significant correlation for the entire patient collective concerning weight, visceral fat, body fat, muscle mass and BMI was observed comparing week 1-13 to week 40-52. Correlating the examined variables with the  $\Delta$ MET-min/week ( $\Delta$ MET-min/week = MET-min/week [week 40-52] minus MET-min/week [week 1-13]) over the course of the study year yielded a significant correlation for the entire patient collective for weight, visceral fat, body fat, muscle mass and BMI (Figure 5). The heart rate did not correlate significantly with the  $\Delta$ MET-min/week, neither when considering all patients nor when examining the different therapy groups. The muscle mass of the NCHT patients, as well as the body fat and the visceral fat of the CHT patients, did not correlate significantly with the  $\Delta$ MET-min/week over the course of the study year.

## 4 Discussion

The BEGYN-1 study revealed that the use of a fitness tracker and an activity diary are associated with an increase in physical activity and physical fitness as well as a stable body weight in breast cancer patients after the first year of therapy. Moreover, we observed a negative correlation between the extent of physical activity and changes of body weight, BMI, body fat, and visceral fat over time.

The influence of physical activity during anticancer therapy on fitness, remains poorly understood (10). Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the physical activity, fitness, physiological parameters, and body composition in newly diagnosed non-metastatic breast cancer patients during the first year of treatment. Since other studies reported a decrease in physical activity and fitness and an increase in weight gain during anticancer therapy (1, 2), routine counselling appears to be insufficient to achieve a long-lasting effect on the patient's motivation. Guided successful short-term interventions were either not assessed with a long-term follow up (46) or they had little long-term effect on physical activity (26). Thus, we sought to identify factors that might motivate the patients to improve their lifestyle over the long term.

	Median first visit	Range first visit	Median deviation after one year	First versus last visit*	CHT versus NCHT ∆final-first visit*
MET-min/week					
All (n=90)	16845	11860 - 23443	+995	p < 0.001	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	16804 16973	11860 - 22037 13564 - 23443	+1209 +709	p < 0.001 p = 0.07	p = 0.396
Resting heart rate [1/min]					
All (n=90)	77	58 - 138	-5	p < 0.001	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	78 74	58 - 138 62 - 114	-5 -5	p < 0.001 p = 0.037	p = 0.625
Bodyweight [kg]					
All (n=90)	69.4	45.6 - 107.4	-0.4	p = 0.259	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	70.2 69.3	51.0 - 107.4 45.6 - 92.8	-0.9 +0.6	p = 0.145 p = 0.850	p = 0.650
Visceral fat [absolute]					
All (n=90)	7	2 - 14	0	p = 0.509	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	7 7	2 - 14 2 - 13	0 0	p = 0.943 p = 0.325	p = 0.281
Body fat [%]					
All (n=90)	34.8	16.9 - 48.5	+0.1	p = 0.936	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	34.9 33.4	16.9 - 48.5 16.9 - 44.4	-0.7 +0.6	p = 0.247 p = 0.165	p = 0.800
Muscle mass [kg]					
All (n=90)	43.6	34.4 - 55.8	-0.2	p = 0.180	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	44.4 42.3	34.4 - 55.8 35.2 - 51.2	-0.1 -0.6	p = 0.479 p = 0.216	p = 0.628
BMI [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ]					
All (n=90)	26	19 - 39	0	p = 0.250	
CHT (n=53) NCHT (n=37)	26 26	19 - 39 19 - 35	0 0	p = 0.148 p = 0.898	p = 0.256

TABLE 3 Physical activity and body composition in all patients/chemotherapy (CHT)/no chemotherapy (NCHT) at two different time points (baseline visit/end of trial) and difference between baseline visit and end of the study ( $\Delta$ ).

MET, Metabolic equivalents of task. BMI, body mass index. \*2-sided Mann Whitney-U test.

The BEGYN-1 study was designed as an observational study. All patients received a fitness tracker, were supposed to write an activity diary and were undergoing quarterly fitness assessments. While in intervention studies it is usually unclear how much the participants exercise outside of the interventions, the BEGYN study starts right here and aims to fully record physical activity throughout all days of the year. Although, patients did not receive guided exercise, we found evidence that the study participants were highly motivated to improve their lifestyle. Notably, 60% of the eligible patients agreed to participate in the BEGYN-1 study. This indicates that the majority of the newly diagnosed breast cancer patients were interested in actively addressing their physical condition even during the earliest stage of their disease and prior to the initiation of anticancer treatment. In agreement with this finding, the positive short-term and long-term effect of motivational interviews had been previously shown (34). We hypothesize that addressing the positive effects of

physical activity during the initial disclosure of the diagnosis was perceived by the patients as a possibility to take control over the course of the disease and improve the feeling of self-efficiency. This has been shown to have a long-lasting positive effect on the psychological coping with the disease and to correlate with an improved outcome (47). The effect of higher self-efficiency and autonomy in cancer patients undergoing counseling for physical activity has been previously shown in different cancer entities (48).

In our cohort, a higher increase of weekly MET-min during the study period was associated with an improved physical status: We found a beneficial correlation between the extent of physical activity, bodyweight, BMI, and body fat mass. Thus, the BEGYN-1 study patients experienced similar benefits over a one-year period as interventional studies with supervised exercise (7, 17–20). Importantly, Zhou et al. have shown that a three-month wearable-based lifestyle intervention may help reduce weight and



improve body composition in breast cancer survivors (20). Similar to our findings, Zhou et al. reported a decrease in overall muscle mass in patients with elevated physical activity. This apparent paradox might be due to suboptimal protein intake during antineoplastic therapy, due to the predominance of endurance sports overweight training- especially in patients that underwent surgery- and/or due to a loss of postural muscles as part of the weight loss (20).



Development of the bodyweight over one year. Median body weight slightly decreased from 69.4kg (baseline visit) to 69.0kg (final visit) during the first year in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients, without reaching statistical significance.



Recommendation of physical activity is already included in national and international guidelines (7, 39, 40, 49). However, optimal intensity and duration of physical activity is not clear (49). Thus, according to the guidelines (7, 39, 40), patients were advised to engage in moderate physical activity, but no upper limit was mentioned. Even though, none of the BEGYN-1 study patients showed any sign of overexertion or other negative side effects of too much physical activity, further research is needed to individualize recommendations for the level of physical activity to aim for (50, 51). The increased physical activity and fitness achieved in the BEGYN-1 study might have multiple other consequences that are known to be associated with increased physical activity in breast cancer patients, e.g., increased bone health (52), reduced cancer related fatigue (53), and reduced cancer related cognitive impairment (54).

Strengths of our study are the high number of patients, the inclusion prior to therapy induction, the dual assessment of physical activities by using a fitness tracker and a diary, and the long follow up period of one year. METs are as a standardized quantification of physical activity, a validated method to summarize and compare differing physical activities (e.g., Nordic walking, swimming, cycling and weight training) (41, 42). This design allows to identify longlasting effects on health parameters and minimizes potential transient confounders such as effects of perioperative immobility. Furthermore, improved physical activity was seen despite the Covid-19 pandemic. Previous studies revealed a reduction of physical activity during Covid-19 pandemic and especially during lockdowns (55). The biggest part of the BEGYN-1 study was carried out during Covid-19 pandemic, so patients were not able to participate in group sport or go to the gym, as most group activities were not allowed during Covid-19 pandemic.

The study results must be interpreted with caution due to some limitations: Due to ethical concerns, we did not include a control group that was deprived of counselling according to the guidelines (7, 39, 40). Thus, we can only hypothesize that the improvement of physical activity and fitness and the stability of body weight was a consequence of the motivation that was caused by participating in the study. Medical guidance, such as motivational interviews, using an activity diary or wearing a fitness tracker might contribute to additional motivation since patients knew, that corresponding data was evaluated. However, this hypothesis is strongly supported by other studies (20). Furthermore, physiological parameter (like heart rate) might be influenced due to higher level of function in some patients. These individuals might be more capable in participating in physical activity. Moreover, bioimpedance measurements and the use of fitness trackers are readily available, but they also have technical limitations (20) and missing values had to be calculated to approximate the total MET-mins (41, 42).

## 5 Conclusion

We conclude that prevention of decreased physical activity and fitness, as well as gain of weight during the first year after diagnosis of early breast cancer, even during the Covid-19 pandemic, is possible. Improved awareness of physical activity could lead to an increase in physical activity, fitness, and a stable body weight during the first year after diagnosis of early breast cancer. Patients should be encouraged to exercise, wear a fitness tracker, and/or document activities. By positively influencing their lifestyle, patients get back their self-control, increase their quality of life and longterm outcome.

#### Data availability statement

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by ethics committee of the Medical Association of Saarland (study # 229/ 18). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

#### Author contributions

CZ wrote the study conception and design. CZ implemented the study in the clinic, recruited the patients and supervised the study. E-FS and MZ gave advice for the study design and supervised the study. Material preparation and data collection were performed by JTS, LA, CS, CW, ML, L-SS, IT, LS, JAS, AW, EK, RW, and SG-F. The data analysis was performed by CZ and GW. The first draft of the manuscript was written by CM and CZ. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This work was supported by *miteinander gegen Krebs e.V. (to CZ)*, by a grant from the federal state of Saarland (to CM and CZ, grant number W/2 - LFFP 21/13) and by intramural funds of the Saarland University Medical Center.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Maria Cacacciola-Ketter, Cross against Cancer – *miteinander gegen Krebs e.V.*, Bernd Neuhardt (Laufschule Saarpfalz, Runners Gym Zweibrücken). Furthermore, we acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) and Saarland University within the "Open Access Publication Funding" program.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Cathcart-Rake EJ, Sanft T, Tevaarwerk AJ. Weight gain after breast cancer diagnosis: It's complicated. *Cancer* (2022) 128:3152-4. doi: 10.1002/cncr.34343

2. Makari-Judson G. Weight gain following breast cancer diagnosis: Implication and proposed mechanisms. *World J Clin Oncol* (2014) 5:272. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v5.i3.272

3. van den Berg MMGA, Winkels RM, de Kruif J, van Laarhoven HWM, Visser M, de Vries JHM, et al. Weight change during chemotherapy in breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* (2017) 17:259. doi: 10.1186/s12885-017-3242-4

4. Playdon MC, Bracken MB, Sanft TB, Ligibel JA, Harrigan M, Irwin ML. Weight gain after breast cancer diagnosis and all-cause mortality: systematic review and metaanalysis. J Natl Cancer Inst (2015) 107:djv275. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv275

5. Lee K, Kruper L, Dieli-Conwright CM, Mortimer JE. The impact of obesity on breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep* (2019) 21:41. doi: 10.1007/s11912-019-0787-1

6. Rose DP, Vona-Davis L. Influence of obesity on breast cancer receptor status and prognosis. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* (2009) 9:1091–101. doi: 10.1586/era.09.71

7. Ligibel JA, Bohlke K, May AM, Clinton SK, Demark-Wahnefried W, Gilchrist SC, et al. Exercise, diet, and weight management during cancer treatment: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol* (2022) 40:2491–507. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00687

8. Picon-Ruiz M, Morata-Tarifa C, Valle-Goffin JJ, Friedman ER, Slingerland JM. Obesity and adverse breast cancer risk and outcome: Mechanistic insights and strategies for intervention. CA Cancer J Clin (2017) 67:378–97. doi: 10.3322/caac.21405

9. de Boer MC, Wörner EA, Verlaan D, van Leeuwen PAM. The mechanisms and effects of physical activity on breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* (2017) 17:272–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2017.01.006

10. Cannioto RA, Hutson A, Dighe S, McCann W, McCann SE, Zirpoli GR, et al. Physical activity before, during, and after chemotherapy for high-risk breast cancer: relationships with survival. *JNCI: J Natl Cancer Institute* (2021) 113:54–63. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djaa046

11. Zemlin C, Stuhlert C, Schleicher JT, Wörmann C, Altmayer L, Lang M, et al. Longitudinal assessment of physical activity, fitness, body composition, immunological biomarkers, and psychological parameters during the first year after diagnosis in women with non-metastatic breast cancer: The BEGYN study protocol. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:762709. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.762709

12. Zemlin C, Altmayer L, Stuhlert C, Schleicher JT, Wörmann C, Lang M, et al. Prevalence and relevance of vitamin D deficiency in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients: A pilot study. *Nutrients* (2023) 15:1450. doi: 10.3390/nu15061450

13. van Gemert WA, Lanting CI, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Grooters HG, Kampman E, et al. The proportion of postmenopausal breast cancer cases in the Netherlands attributable to lifestyle-related risk factors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2015) 152:155–62. doi: 10.1007/s10549-015-3447-7

14. Kraschnewski JL, Schmitz KH. Exercise in the prevention and treatment of breast cancer. *Curr Sports Med Rep* (2017) 16:263-7. doi: 10.1249/JSR.00000000000388

15. Ho M, Ho JWC, Fong DYT, Lee CF, Macfarlane DJ, Cerin E, et al. Effects of dietary and physical activity interventions on generic and cancer-specific health-related quality of life, anxiety, and depression in colorectal cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *J Cancer Survivorship* (2020) 14:424–33. doi: 10.1007/s11764-020-00864-0

16. Avancini A, Sartori G, Gkountakos A, Casali M, Trestini I, Tregnago D, et al. Physical activity and exercise in lung cancer care: will promises be fulfilled? *Oncologist* (2020) 25:e555–69. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0463

17. An K, Morielli AR, Kang D, Friedenreich CM, McKenzie DC, Gelmon K, et al. Effects of exercise dose and type during breast cancer chemotherapy on longer-term patient-reported outcomes and health-related fitness: A randomized controlled trial. *Int J Cancer* (2020) 146:150–60. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32493

18. Carayol M, Ninot G, Senesse P, Bleuse J-P, Gourgou S, Sancho-Garnier H, et al. Short- and long-term impact of adapted physical activity and diet counseling during adjuvant breast cancer therapy: the "APAD1" randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19:737. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5896-6

19. Leach HJ, Potter KB, Hidde MC. A group dynamics-based exercise intervention to improve physical activity maintenance in breast cancer survivors. *J Phys Act Health* (2019) 16:785–91. doi: 10.1123/jpah.2018-0667

20. Zhou C, Mo M, Wang Z, Shen J, Chen J, Tang L, et al. A short-term effect of wearable technology-based lifestyle intervention on body composition in stage I–III postoperative breast cancer survivors. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:563566. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.563566

21. Andersen HH, Vinther A, Lund CM, Paludan C, Jørgensen CT, Nielsen D, et al. Effectiveness of different types, delivery modes and extensiveness of exercise in patients with breast cancer receiving systemic treatment – A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2022) 178:103802. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2022.103802

22. Pérez-Bilbao T, Alonso-Dueñas M, Peinado AB, San Juan AF. Effects of combined interventions of exercise and diet or exercise and supplementation on

breast cancer patients: A systematic review. Nutrients (2023) 15:1013. doi: 10.3390/nu15041013

23. Joaquim A, Leão I, Antunes P, Capela A, Viamonte S, Alves AJ, et al. Impact of physical exercise programs in breast cancer survivors on health-related quality of life, physical fitness, and body composition: Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:955505. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.955505

24. Li X, Wang J, Zhang J, Zhang N, Wu C, Geng Z, et al. The effect of exercise on weight and body composition of breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. *Cancer Nurs* (2023) 1. doi: 10.1097/NCC.000000000001196

25. Voskuil DW, van Nes JGH, Junggeburt JMC, van de Velde CJH, van Leeuwen FE, de Haes JCJM. Maintenance of physical activity and body weight in relation to subsequent quality of life in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* (2010) 21:2094–101. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdq151

26. Goldschmidt S, Schmidt ME, Steindorf K. Long-term effects of exercise interventions on physical activity in breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Supportive Care Cancer* (2023) 31:130. doi: 10.1007/s00520-022-07485-6

27. Juvet LK, Thune I, Elvsaas IKØ, Fors EA, Lundgren S, Bertheussen G, et al. The effect of exercise on fatigue and physical functioning in breast cancer patients during and after treatment and at 6 months follow-up: A meta-analysis. *Breast* (2017) 33:166–77. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2017.04.003

28. Helbrich H, Braun M, Hanusch C, Mueller G, Falk H, Flondor R, et al. Congruence and trajectories of device-measured and self-reported physical activity during therapy for early breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2021) 188:351–9. doi: 10.1007/s10549-021-06195-7

29. Fuller D, Colwell E, Low J, Orychock K, Tobin MA, Simango B, et al. Reliability and validity of commercially available wearable devices for measuring steps, energy expenditure, and heart rate: systematic review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* (2020) 8:e18694. doi: 10.2196/18694

30. Wagoner CW, Choi SK, Deal AM, Lee JT, Wood WA, Muss HB, et al. Establishing physical activity in breast cancer: self-report versus activity tracker. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2019) 176:395–400. doi: 10.1007/s10549-019-05263-3

31. Purswani JM, Ohri N, Champ C. Tracking steps in oncology: the time is now. Cancer Manag Res (2018) 10:2439–47. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S148710

32. Jensen MT. Resting heart rate and relation to disease and longevity: past, present and future. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* (2019) 79:108-16. doi: 10.1080/00365513.2019.1566567

33. Lee DH, Park S, Lim SM, Lee MK, Giovannucci EL, Kim JH, et al. Resting heart rate as a prognostic factor for mortality in patients with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2016) 159:375–84. doi: 10.1007/s10549-016-3938-1

34. Pudkasam S, Feehan J, Talevski J, Vingrys K, Polman R, Chinlumprasert N, et al. Motivational strategies to improve adherence to physical activity in breast cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas* (2021) 152:32–47. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2021.06.008

35. Tong HL, Maher C, Parker K, Pham TD, Neves AL, Riordan B, et al. The use of mobile apps and fitness trackers to promote healthy behaviors during COVID-19: A cross-sectional survey. *PloS Digital Health* (2022) 1:e0000087. doi: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000087

36. Khubchandani J, Price JH, Sharma S, Wiblishauser MJ, Webb FJ. COVID-19 pandemic and weight gain in American adults: A nationwide population-based study. *Diabetes Metab Syndrome: Clin Res Rev* (2022) 16:102392. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2022.102392

37. Schienkiewitz A, Damerow S, Richter A, Mensink Gert BM. How has body weight changed since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic? *J Health Monit* (2022) 7:54–61. doi: 10.25646/10670

38. Beck F, Siefken K, Reimers A. Physical activity in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic: changes in physical activity prevalence in Germany. *Deutsche Z Für Sportmedizin/German J Sports Med* (2022) 73:175–83. doi: 10.5960/ dzsm.2022.537

39. Wöckel A, Festl J, Stüber T, Brust K, Stangl S, Heuschmann P, et al. Interdisciplinary screening, diagnosis, therapy and follow-up of breast cancer. Guideline of the DGGG and the DKG (S3-level, AWMF registry number 032/045OL, december 2017) – part 1 with recommendations for the screening, diagnosis and therapy of breast cancer. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* (2018) 78:927–48. doi: 10.1055/a-0646-4522

40. Wöckel A, Festl J, Stüber T, Brust K, Krockenberger M, Heuschmann P, et al. Interdisciplinary screening, diagnosis, therapy and follow-up of breast cancer. Guideline of the DGGG and the DKG (S3-level, AWMF registry number 032/ 045OL, december 2017) – part 2 with recommendations for the therapy of primary, recurrent and advanced breast cancer. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* (2018) 78:1056–88. doi: 10.1055/a-0646-4630

41. Jetté M, Sidney K, Blümchen G. Metabolic equivalents (METS) in exercise testing, exercise prescription, and evaluation of functional capacity. *Clin Cardiol* (1990) 13:555–65. doi: 10.1002/clc.4960130809

42. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, Meckes N, Bassett DR, Tudor-Locke C, et al. Compendium of physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2011) 43:1575–81. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12

43. Stephens S, Beyene J, Tremblay MS, Faulkner G, Pullnayegum E, Feldman BM. Strategies for dealing with missing accelerometer data. *Rheumatic Dis Clinics North America* (2018) 44:317–26. doi: 10.1016/j.rdc.2018.01.012

44. Ward LC, Müller MJ. Bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr* (2013) 67:S1–1. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2012.148

45. Ward LC. Bioelectrical impedance analysis for body composition assessment: reflections on accuracy, clinical utility, and standardisation. *Eur J Clin Nutr* (2019) 73:194–9. doi: 10.1038/s41430-018-0335-3

46. Zhu C, Lian Z, Chen Y, Wang J. Physical activity and cancer status among middle-aged and older chinese: A population-based, cross-sectional study. *Front Physiol* (2022) 12:812290. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.812290

47. Chen L, Ren T, Tan Y, Li H. Global trends of research on depression in breast cancer: A bibliometric study based on VOSviewer. *Front Psychol* (2022) 13:969679. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.969679

48. Huizinga F, Westerink N-DL, Berendsen AJ, Walenkamp AME, De Greef MHG, Oude Nijeweeme JK, et al. Home-based physical activity to alleviate fatigue in cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* (2021) 53:2661–74. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000002735

49. Pollán M, Casla-Barrio S, Alfaro J, Esteban C, Segui-Palmer MA, Lucia A, et al. Exercise and cancer: a position statement from the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology. *Clin Trans Oncol* (2020) 22:1710–29. doi: 10.1007/s12094-020-02312-y

50. Lavín-Pérez AM, Collado-Mateo D, Hinojo González C, de Juan Ferré A, Ruisánchez Villar C, Mayo X, et al. High-intensity exercise prescription guided by heart rate variability in breast cancer patients: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil* (2023) 15:28. doi: 10.1186/s13102-023-00634-2

51. Ryu J, Lee E-Y, Min J, Yeon S, Lee J-W, Chu SH, et al. Effect of a 1-year tailored exercise program according to cancer trajectories in patients with breast cancer: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer* (2023) 23:200. doi: 10.1186/ s12885-023-10664-1

52. de Sire A, Lippi L, Marotta N, Folli A, Calafiore D, Moalli S, et al. Impact of physical rehabilitation on bone biomarkers in non-metastatic breast cancer women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24:921. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24020921

53. Liu Y-C, Hung T-T, Konara Mudiyanselage SP, Wang C-J, Lin M-F. Beneficial exercises for cancer-related fatigue among women with breast cancer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 15:151. doi: 10.3390/ cancers15010151

54. Liu Y, Liu J-E, Chen S, Zhao F, Chen L, Li R. Effectiveness of nonpharmacologic interventions for chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment in breast cancer patients. *Cancer Nurs* (2022) 1. doi: 10.1097/NCC.00000000001152

55. Wilke J, Rahlf AL, Füzéki E, Groneberg DA, Hespanhol L, Mai P, et al. Physical activity during lockdowns associated with the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and multilevel meta-analysis of 173 studies with 320,636 participants. *Sports Med Open* (2022) 8:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s40798-022-00515-x